

Higher-Order Termination From Kruskal to Computability

Jean-Pierre Jouannaud
École Polytechnique
91400 Palaiseau, France

Project LogiCal, Pôle Commun de Recherche en
Informatique du Plateau de Saclay, CNRS, École
Polytechnique, INRIA, Université Paris-Sud.

Joint work with Frédéric Blanqui and Albert Rubio

LPAR 2006, Pnomh Penh, november 17, 2006

Outline

- 1 Higher-order algebras
- 2 Tait's method
- 3 Recursive path ordering
- 4 General Schema
- 5 Higher Order Recursive Path Ordering
- 6 HORPO and Closure

Higher-order algebras [Jouannaud, Rubio, JACM to appear]

Types, signatures and terms

- \mathcal{S} : set of **sort symbols** of a fixed arity, denoted by $s : *^n \Rightarrow *$
- \mathcal{S}^\forall : set of **sort variables**
- **Types**

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} := \alpha \mid s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^n) \mid (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^\forall$ and $s : *^n \Rightarrow * \in \mathcal{S}$

- **Terms**

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{X} \mid (\lambda \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}. \mathcal{T}) \mid @(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \mid \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}, \dots, \mathcal{T}).$$

We will sometimes write $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T})$ for $@(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

- \mathcal{S} : set of **sort symbols** of a fixed arity, denoted by $s : *^n \Rightarrow *$
- \mathcal{S}^\forall : set of **sort variables**
- **Types**

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} := \alpha \mid s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^n) \mid (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^\forall$ and $s : *^n \Rightarrow * \in \mathcal{S}$

- **Terms**

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{X} \mid (\lambda \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}. \mathcal{T}) \mid @(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \mid \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}, \dots, \mathcal{T}).$$

We will sometimes write $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T})$ for $@(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

- \mathcal{S} : set of **sort symbols** of a fixed arity, denoted by $\mathbf{s} : *^n \Rightarrow *$
- \mathcal{S}^\forall : set of **sort variables**
- **Types**

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} := \alpha \mid \mathbf{s}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^n) \mid (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^\forall$ and $\mathbf{s} : *^n \Rightarrow * \in \mathcal{S}$

- **Terms**

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{X} \mid (\lambda \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}. \mathcal{T}) \mid @(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \mid \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}, \dots, \mathcal{T}).$$

We will sometimes write $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T})$ for $@(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

- \mathcal{S} : set of **sort symbols** of a fixed arity, denoted by $\mathbf{s} : *^n \Rightarrow *$
- \mathcal{S}^\forall : set of **sort variables**
- **Types**

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} := \alpha \mid \mathbf{s}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^n) \mid (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}})$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^\forall$ and $\mathbf{s} : *^n \Rightarrow * \in \mathcal{S}$

- **Terms**

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{X} \mid (\lambda \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}. \mathcal{T}) \mid @(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \mid \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}, \dots, \mathcal{T}).$$

We will sometimes write $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T})$ for $@(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

Variables:

$$\frac{x : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma}$$

Abstraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \sigma\} \vdash t : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \sigma. t) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

Functions:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} f : \sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n \Rightarrow \sigma \\ \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \dots \Gamma \vdash t_n : \tau_n \\ \theta = mgu(\sigma_1 = \tau_1 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ \sigma_n = \tau_n) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n) : \sigma\theta}$$

Application:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash s : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \tau_1 \\ \theta = mgu(\sigma_1 = \tau_1) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash @(s, t) : \sigma\theta}$$

$\mathbf{N}, \alpha : *$

$0, x : \mathbf{N}$

$s : \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{N}$

$rec : \mathbf{N} \times \alpha \times (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

$U : \alpha \quad X : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$rec(0, U, X) \rightarrow U$

$rec(s(x), U, X) \rightarrow @(X, x, rec(x, U, X))$

Rules use first-order pattern matching

Brouwer's ordinals

$Ord, \alpha : *$

$0 : Ord$ $s : Ord \rightarrow Ord$ $lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord$

$rec : Ord \times \alpha \times (Ord \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha)$
 $\rightarrow \alpha$

$x : Ord$ $F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord$

$U : \alpha$ $X : Ord \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ $W : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$

$rec(0, U, X, W) \rightarrow U$

$rec(s(x), U, X, W) \rightarrow @(X, x, rec(x, U, X, W))$

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \rightarrow @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$

Automate
strong normalization
proofs

Tait and Girard's computability predicate method

- Simple type discipline
- One rewrite schema:

$$@(\lambda x.u, v) \rightarrow u\{x \mapsto v\}$$

- $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$, the **computability predicate** of type σ s.t.:
- (i) computable terms are **strongly normalizing**;
 - (ii) reducts of computable terms are computable;
 - (iii) a **neutral term** u is computable iff all its reducts are computable;
 - (iv) $u : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ is computable iff so is $@(u, v)$ for all computable v ;
 - (v) (optionnal) $\lambda x. u$ is computable iff so is $u\{x \mapsto v\}$ for all computable v .

Except (v), no explicit mention of β -reduction.

- **Basic types:** there are two possibilities

$s : \sigma \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ iff s is strongly normalizing
or

$s : \sigma \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ iff $\forall t : \tau$ s.t. $s \longrightarrow t$ then $t \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$
or ...

- **Functional types:**

$s : \theta \rightarrow \tau \in \llbracket \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket$ iff $@(s, u) : \tau \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ for every $u : \theta \in \llbracket \theta \rrbracket$.

Given term s and computable substitution γ , then $s\gamma$ is computable.

By induction on the structure of terms.

- 1 $s \in \mathcal{X}$. $s\gamma$ computable by assumption.
- 2 $s = @(u, v)$. $u\gamma$ and $v\gamma$ are computable by induction hypothesis, hence $s\gamma = @(u\gamma, v\gamma)$ is computable by computability property (iv).
- 3 $s = \lambda x.u$. By property (v), $s\gamma = \lambda x.u\gamma$ is computable iff $u\gamma\{x \mapsto v\} = u(\gamma \cup \{x \mapsto v\})$ is computable for all computable v . We conclude by induction hypothesis.

Recursive path ordering

Recursive path ordering: $s \succ_{rpo} t$ iff

- 1 $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and $u \underset{rpo}{\succ} t$ for some $u \in \bar{s}$
- 2 $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g$, and A
- 3 $s = f(\bar{s})$ and $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g$, and A and $\bar{s} \underset{rpo}{\succ} \bar{t}$

where $s \underset{rpo}{\succ} t$ iff $s \succ_{rpo} t$ or $s = t$

Computability is defined as strong normalization, implying all computability properties trivially. We add a new computability property:

(vi) Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and \bar{s} be computable terms. Then $f(\bar{s})$ is computable.

First: proof of property (vi)

The restriction of \succ_{rpo} to terms smaller than or equal to the terms in \bar{s} w.r.t. \succ_{rpo} is a well-founded ordering which we use for building an outer induction on the pairs (f, \bar{s}) ordered by $(\succ_{\mathcal{F}}, (\succ_{rpo})_{stat_f})_{lex}$.

We now show that $f(\bar{s})$ is computable by proving that t is computable for all t such that $f(\bar{s}) \succ_{rpo} t$. This property is itself proved by an inner induction on $|t|$, and by case analysis upon the proof that $f(\bar{s}) \succ_{rpo} t$.

- 1 **subterm**: $\exists u \in \bar{s}$ such that $u \succ_{rpo} t$. By assumption, u is computable. Reduct t too.
- 2 **precedence**: $t = g(\bar{t})$, $f >_{\mathcal{F}} g$, and $s \succ_{rpo} \bar{t}$. By inner induction, \bar{t} is computable. By outer induction, $g(\bar{t}) = t$ is computable.
- 3 **status**: $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g \in Lex$, $\bar{s}(\succ_{rpo})_{lex} \bar{t}$, and $s \succ_{rpo} \bar{t}$. By inner induction, \bar{t} is computable. By outer induction, $g(\bar{t}) = t$ is computable. \square

Second (Main Lemma) : every term is computable.

Proof by induction on the structure of terms. If t is a variable, done. Otherwise $t = f(\bar{t})$.

By induction hypothesis, \bar{t} is computable. By property (vi), t is computable. Done.

The well-foundedness of \succ_{rpo} follows by Property (i).

General Schema

The **computability closure** $\mathcal{CC}(t = f(\bar{t}))$, with $f \in \mathcal{F}$, is the set $\mathcal{CC}(t, \emptyset)$, s.t. $\mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$, with $\mathcal{V} \cap \text{Var}(t) = \emptyset$, is the **smallest set** of typable terms containing **all variables in \mathcal{V} and terms in \bar{t}** , closed under:

- 1 **basic type subterm; application; abstraction;**
- 2 **precedence:** let $f >_{\mathcal{F}} g$, and $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$; then $g(\bar{s}) \in \mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$;
- 3 **recursive call:** let $f(\bar{s})$ be a term s.t. terms in \bar{s} belong to $\mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$ and $\bar{t}(\longrightarrow_{\beta_{\text{UD}}})_{\text{stat}_f} \bar{s}$; then $g(\bar{s}) \in \mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$ for every $g =_{\mathcal{F}} f$;
- 4 **reduction:** let $u \in \mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$, and $u \longrightarrow_{\beta_{\text{UD}}} v$; then $v \in \mathcal{CC}(t, \mathcal{V})$.

We say that a rewrite system R satisfies the *general schema* if

$$R = \{f(\bar{I}) \rightarrow r \mid r \in \mathcal{CC}(f(\bar{I}))\}$$

We now consider computability with respect to the rewrite relation $\longrightarrow_R \cup \longrightarrow_\beta$, and add the computability property (vii) whose proof can be easily adapted from the previous one. We can then add a new case in Tait's Main Lemma, for terms headed by an algebraic function symbol.

Conclusion: $\longrightarrow_\beta \cup \longrightarrow_R$ is SN.

$$\text{rec}(s(x), U, X) \rightarrow @ (X, x, \text{rec}(x, U, X))$$

Higher Order Recursive Path Ordering

Higher-Order Recursive Path Ordering: Ingredients

- A **type quasi-ordering** $\geq_{\mathcal{T}_S}$ s.t.
 - (i) $>_{\mathcal{T}_S}$ is well-founded;
 - (ii) **Arrow preservation**: $\tau \rightarrow \sigma =_{\mathcal{T}_S} \alpha$ iff $\alpha = \tau' \rightarrow \sigma'$, $\tau' =_{\mathcal{T}_S} \tau$ and $\sigma =_{\mathcal{T}_S} \sigma'$;
 - (iii) **Arrow decreasingness**: $\tau \rightarrow \sigma >_{\mathcal{T}_S} \alpha$ implies $\sigma \geq_{\mathcal{T}_S} \alpha$ or $\alpha = \tau' \rightarrow \sigma'$, $\tau' =_{\mathcal{T}_S} \tau$ and $\sigma >_{\mathcal{T}_S} \sigma'$;
 - (iv) **Arrow monotonicity**: $\tau \geq_{\mathcal{T}_S} \sigma$ implies $\alpha \rightarrow \tau \geq_{\mathcal{T}_S} \alpha \rightarrow \sigma$ and $\tau \rightarrow \alpha \geq_{\mathcal{T}_S} \sigma \rightarrow \alpha$;
- A well-founded **precedence** $\geq_{\mathcal{F}}$ s.t.
 $@ <_{\mathcal{F}} f \in \mathcal{F}$
- A **status** $stat_f \in \{Mul, Lex\}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition : $s \succ_{horpo}$ iff $\sigma \geq_{T_S} \tau$ and **Case**

1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\text{@}\}$

① $u \succ_{horpo} t$ for $u \in \bar{s}$

② $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g$ and $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$

③ $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g$, $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$ and
 $\bar{s} (\succ_{horpo})_{stat_f} \bar{t}$

2: $s = \text{@}(v, w)$ $v = \lambda x.u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ_{horpo} t$

3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha.u$ and

① $u\{x \mapsto y\} \succ_{horpo} t$, for some fresh $y : \alpha$

② $t = \lambda y : \beta.v$, $y \notin \text{Var}(v)$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and
 $u \succ_{horpo} v$

③ $u = \text{@}(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ_{horpo} t$

where $s \preceq_{horpo} t$ iff $s \succ_{horpo} t$ or $s =_{\alpha} t$

Definition : $s \succ_{horpo}$ iff $\sigma \geq_{T_S} \tau$ and **Case**

1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\textcircled{\ast}\}$

① $u \succeq_{horpo} t$ for $u \in \bar{s}$

② $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g$ and $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$

③ $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g$, $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$ and
 $\bar{s} (\succ_{horpo})_{stat_f} \bar{t}$

2: $s = \textcircled{\ast}(v, w)$ $v = \lambda x.u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ_{horpo} t$

3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha.u$ and

① $u\{x \mapsto y\} \succ_{horpo} t$, for some fresh $y : \alpha$

② $t = \lambda y : \beta.v$, $y \notin \text{Var}(v)$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and
 $u \succ_{horpo} v$

③ $u = \textcircled{\ast}(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ_{horpo} t$

where $s \succeq_{horpo} t$ iff $s \succ_{horpo} t$ or $s =_{\alpha} t$

Definition : $s \succ_{horpo} t$ iff $\sigma \geq_{T_S} \tau$ and **Case**

1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\text{@}\}$

① $u \succ_{horpo} t$ for $u \in \bar{s}$

② $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g$ and $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$

③ $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g$, $s \succ_{horpo} \bar{t}$ and
 $\bar{s} (\succ_{horpo})_{stat_f} \bar{t}$

2: $s = \text{@}(v, w)$ $v = \lambda x.u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ_{horpo} t$

3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha.u$ and

① $u\{x \mapsto y\} \succ_{horpo} t$, for some fresh $y : \alpha$

② $t = \lambda y : \beta.v$, $y \notin \text{Var}(v)$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and
 $u \succ_{horpo} v$

③ $u = \text{@}(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ_{horpo} t$

where $s \preceq_{horpo} t$ iff $s \succ_{horpo} t$ or $s =_{\alpha} t$

Example: simple proof of system T

$$\text{rec}(s(x), U, X) \rightarrow @ (X, x, \text{rec}(x, U, X))$$

HORPO and Closure

We change the subterm case:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad s = f(\bar{s}) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } u \underset{\text{horpo}}{\succeq} t \text{ for } u \in \bar{s}$$

in

$$s = f(\bar{s}) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } u \underset{\text{horpo}}{\succeq} t \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{CC}(f(\bar{s}))$$

Drawbacks:

- $\textcircled{1}$ Decidability of HORPO is lost;
- $\textcircled{2}$ There are many repetitions;
- $\textcircled{3}$ Type checking is no much help, but a lot of burden;
- $\textcircled{4}$ Treatment of abstractions remains weak.

Ingredients:

- 1 A set of strictly positive inductive types inducing an accessibility relationship $\bar{s} \triangleq_{acc} V$ such that $v \in \bar{u}$ or v is accessible from $u \in \bar{s}$
- 2 a precedence on function symbols
- 3 a congruence on types
- 4 $s \succ^X t$ for the main ordering
- 5 $s : \sigma \succ_{I_S}^X t : \tau$ for $s \succ^X t$ and $\sigma =_{I_S} \tau$
- 6 $l \succ_{I_S}^{\emptyset} r$ as initial call for each $l \rightarrow r \in R$

Case 1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in X$ or

- ① $u \preceq_{T_S}^X t$ for some u such that $\bar{s} \triangleright_{acc} u$
- ② $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{@\}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$
- ③ $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$ and $\bar{s} (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{stat_f} \bar{t}$
- ④ $t = \lambda x. u$ with $x \notin X$ and $f(\bar{s}) \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} u$

Case 2: $s = @(v, w)$ and

- ① $t = @(u, r)$ and $(v, w) (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{mon} (u, r)$
- ② $v = \lambda x. u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ^X t$

Case 3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha. u$ and

- ① $t = \lambda x : \beta. v$, $x \notin X$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and $u \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} v$
- ② $u = @(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ^X t$

Definition : $s \succ^X t$ iff

Case 1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in X$ or

- 1 $u \succeq_{T_S}^X t$ for some u such that $\bar{s} \triangleright_{acc} u$
- 2 $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\text{@}\}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$
- 3 $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$ and $\bar{s} (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{stat_f} \bar{t}$
- 4 $t = \lambda x. u$ with $x \notin X$ and $f(\bar{s}) \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} u$

Case 2: $s = \text{@}(v, w)$ and

- 1 $t = \text{@}(u, r)$ and $(v, w) (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{mon} (u, r)$
- 2 $v = \lambda x. u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ^X t$

Case 3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha. u$ and

- 1 $t = \lambda x : \beta. v$, $x \notin X$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and $u \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} v$
- 2 $u = \text{@}(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ^X t$

Case 1: $s = f(\bar{s})$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in X$ or

- ① $u \succeq_{T_S}^X t$ for some u such that $\bar{s} \triangleright_{acc} u$
- ② $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f \succ_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\text{@}\}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$
- ③ $t = g(\bar{t})$ with $f =_{\mathcal{F}} g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $s \succ^X \bar{t}$ and $\bar{s} (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{stat_f} \bar{t}$
- ④ $t = \lambda x. u$ with $x \notin X$ and $f(\bar{s}) \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} u$

Case 2: $s = \text{@}(v, w)$ and

- ① $t = \text{@}(u, r)$ and $(v, w) (\succ_{T_S}^X)_{mon} (u, r)$
- ② $v = \lambda x. u$ and $u\{x \mapsto w\} \succ^X t$

Case 3: $s = \lambda x : \alpha. u$ and

- ① $t = \lambda x : \beta. v$, $x \notin X$, $\alpha =_{T_S} \beta$ and $u \succ^{X \cup \{x\}} v$
- ② $u = \text{@}(v, x)$, $x \notin \text{Var}(v)$ and $v \succ^X t$

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{Ts}^{\emptyset} @ (W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:



$\alpha =_{Ts} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{(n)} rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields



$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{Ts}^{(n)} mul\{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, hence



$lim(F) \succ_{Ts}^{(n)} @ (F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields



$lim(F) \succ^{(n)} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and



$lim(F) \succ^{(n)} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.



$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ^{(n)} \{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2. 1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2. 1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n.rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n.rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n.rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @(W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@(F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul}\{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @(F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@(F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @ (W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @ (F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @ (W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@ (F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @ (F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@ (F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @ (W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \text{mul} \{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @ (F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{ @ (F, n), U, X, W \}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2.1 and 1.1

Brouwer's ordinals

$lim : (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord) \Rightarrow Ord \quad F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Ord \quad n : \mathbf{N}$
 $rec : Or \times \alpha \times (Or \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times ((\mathbf{N} \rightarrow Or) \rightarrow (\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha$

1

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} @ (W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W))$
yields 2 subgoals:

2

$\alpha =_{\mathcal{I}_S} \alpha$ which is trivially satisfied, and

3

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \{W, F, \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)\}$
which simplifies to:

4

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} W$ which succeeds by Case 1.1,

5

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} F$, which succeeds by Case 1.1,

6

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\emptyset} \lambda n. rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

7

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} rec(@ (F, n), U, X, W)$ yields

8

$\{lim(F), U, X, W\} \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@ (F, n), U, X, W\}$, hence

9

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} @ (F, n)$ whose type-check succeeds, and yields

10

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} F$ which succeeds by Case 1.2, and

11

$lim(F) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} n$ which succeeds by Case 1.

12

$rec(lim(F), U, X, W) \succ_{\mathcal{I}_S}^{\{n\}} \{@ (F, n), U, X, W\}$, our remaining goal, succeeds easily by Cases 1.2. 1 and 1.1

Achievements: A quite powerful powerful which adapts easily to higher-order rewriting based on higher-order pattern matching. See [Jouannaud and Rubio, RTA'2006]

Remaining problems:

- Use term interpretations instead of a precedence on function symbols;
- Integrate AC;
- Generalization to the Calculus of Inductive Constructions;
- Develop the tool (see our Web page).

Acknowledgments: to **Mitsuhiro Okada** for our long standing collaboration on these matters.