Quantitative Information Leakage

Lecture 9

The basic model:

Systems = Information-Theoretic channels



Towards a quantitative notion of leakage

A general principle:

Leakage	=	difference between
		the a priori vulnerability
		and
		the a posteriori vulnerability

- vulnerability = vulnerability of the secret,
- a priori / a posteriori = before / after the observation

Intuitively the vulnerability depends on the distribution: the more uncertainty there is about the exact value of the secret, the less vulnerable the secret is.

Note that the observation updates the input probability:

$$p(s|o) = p(s) \frac{p(o|s)}{p(o)}$$
 Bayes theorem

Information theoretic approach

- Entropy H(X) of a random variable X
 - Information theory: H(X) measures the degree of uncertainty of the events
 - Security: H(X) can be used to measure the vulnerability of the secret
- Mutual information I(S;O)
 - Information theory: I(S;O) measures the correlation of S and O
 - formally I(S;O) is defined as difference between:
 - H(S), the entropy of S *before* knowing, and
 - H(S|O), the entropy of S *after* knowing O
 - Security: I(S;O) can be used to measure the leakage:

Leakage = I(S;O) = H(S) - H(S|O)

• H(S) depends only on the prior; H(S|O) can be computed using the prior and the channel matrix

Shannon entropy

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{A \ priori} & H(S) = -\sum_{s} p(s) \log p(s) \\ \\ \mathsf{A \ posteriori} & H(S \mid O) = -\sum_{o} p(o) \sum_{s} p(s \mid o) \log p(s \mid o) \\ \\ \\ \mathsf{Leakage} \ = \ \mathsf{Mutual \ Information} & I(S;O) = H(S) - H(S \mid O) \end{array}$$

- In general $H(S) \ge H(S|O)$
 - the entropy may increase after one single observation, but in the average it decreases or remains the same
- H(S) = H(S|O) if and only if S and O are independent
 - This is the case if and only if all rows of the channel matrix are the same
 - This case corresponds to strong anonymity in the sense of Chaum
- Shannon capacity C = max I(S;O) over all priors (worst-case leakage)

Entropy: Alternative notions

As we argued before, there is no unique notion of vulnerability. It depends on:

- the model of attack, and
- how we measure its success

Consider again the general **model of adversary** proposed by [Köpf and Basin CCS'07] that we saw before:

- Assume an oracle that answers yes/no to questions of a certain form.
- The adversary is defined by the form of the questions and the measure of success.
- In general we consider the best strategy for the adversary, with respect to a given measure of success.

Entropy: Alternative notions

We saw that if

- the questions are of the form: "is $S \in P$?", and
- the measure of success is: the expected number of questions needed to find the value of S in the adversary's best strategy

then the natural measure of protection is Shannon's entropy

However, this model of attack does not seem so natural in security, and alternatives have been considered. In particular, the **limited-try attacks**

- The adversary has a limited number of attempts at its disposal
- The measure of success is the probability that he discovers the secret during these attempts (in his best strategy)

Obviously the best strategy for the adversary is to try first the values which have the highest probability

One try attacks: Rényi min-entropy

One-try attacks

- The questions are of the form: "is S = s?"
- The measure of success is: $-\log(\max p(s))$

The measure of success is Rényi min-entropy:

$$H_{\infty}(S) = -\log(\max_{s} p(s))$$

Like in the case of Shannon entropy, $H_{\infty}(S)$ is highest when the distribution is uniform, and it is 0 when the distribution is a delta of Dirac (no uncertainty). Towards a notion of leakage based on min-entropy

Leakage	=	difference between
		the a priori vulnerability
		and
		the a posteriori vulnerability

Leakage =
$$H_{\infty}(S) - H_{\infty}(S \mid O)$$

How should we define the conditional minentropy $H_{\infty}(S \mid O)$?

Let us recall the conditional entropy in Shannon's case

$$H(S) = -\sum_{s} p(s) \log p(s)$$
 Shannon entropy

An observable o determines a new distribution on S:

$$p(s|o) = p(s)\frac{p(o|s)}{p(o)}$$

Bayes theorem

Define the entropy of the new distribution on S, given that O = o, as:

$$H(S|O = o) = -\sum_{s} p(s|o) \log p(s|o)$$

Define conditional entropy as the expected value of the updated entropies:

$$H(S|O) = \sum_{o} p(o) H(S|O = o)$$
$$= -\sum_{o} p(o) \sum_{s} p(s|o) \log p(s|o)$$

Let us try to do the same for the min-entropy case

$$H_{\infty}(S) = -\log(\max_{s} p(s))$$
 Rényi min-entropy

Define the entropy of the new distribution on S, given that O = o, as: $H_{\infty}(S|O = o) = -\log(\max p(s|o))$

Define conditional entropy as the expected value of the updated entropies:

$$H_{\infty}(S|O) = \sum_{o} p(o) H_{\infty}(S|O = o)$$
$$= -\sum_{o} p(o) \log(\max_{s}(s|o))$$

However this approach does not work: we would obtain negative leakage!

Conditional min-entropy

Probability of success of an attack on S, given that
$$O = o$$
:

$$\Pr_{succ}(S|O=o) = \max_{s} p(s|o)$$

The expected value of the prob. of success (aka converse of the Bayes risk): $\Pr_{succ}(S|O) = \sum_{o} p(o) \Pr_{succ}(S|O = o)$ $= \sum_{o} p(o) \max_{s} p(s|o)$ $= \sum_{o} \max_{s} (p(o|s) p(s))$

Now define
$$H_{\infty}(S|O) = -\log \Pr_{succ}(S|O)$$
 [Smith 2009]

Leakage in the min-entropy approach



Example: DC nets. Ring of 2 nodes, b = 1, biased coin



Properties of the leakage in the min-entropy approach

- In general $I_{\infty}(S;O) \ge 0$
- $I_{\infty}(S;O) = 0$ if all rows are the same (but not viceversa)
- Define min-capacity: $C_{\infty} = \max I_{\infty}(S;O)$ over all priors. We have:

I. $C_{\infty} = 0$ if and only if all rows are the same

- 2. C_{∞} is obtained on the uniform distribution (but, in general, there can be other distribution that give maximum leakage)
- 3. C_{∞} = the log of the sum of the max of each column
- 4. $C_{\infty} = C$ in the deterministic case
- 5. $C_{\infty} \ge C$ in general

Leakage in the min-entropy approach

- $\bullet\ C_\infty$ is obtained on the uniform distribution
- C_{∞} = the sum of the max of each column

Proof (a) $I_{\infty}(S;O) = H_{\infty}(S) - H_{\infty}(S|O)$ $= -\log \max_{s} p(s) - (-\log(\sum_{o} \max_{s}(p(o|s) p(s))))$ $= \log \frac{\sum_{o} \max_{s} (p(o|s) p(s))}{\max_{s} p(s)}$ $\leq \log \frac{\sum_{s} (\max_{s} p(o|s)) (\max_{s} p(s))}{\max_{s} p(s)}$ $= \log \sum \max_{s} p(o|s)$

(b) This expression is also given by $I_{\infty}(S;O)$ on the uniform input distribution

More properties of the leakage

- $H(S) = H_{\infty}(S) = 0$ iff S is a point probability distribution (aka delta of Dirac), i.e., all the probability mass is in one single value
- The maximum value of H(S) and $H_{\infty}(S)$ is log #S
- Shannon mutual information is symmetric: I(S;O) = I(O;S) Namely: H(S) - H(S|O) = H(O) - H(O|S). This does not hold for the min-entropy case
- If the channel is deterministic, then I(S;O) = H(O)
- If the channel is deterministic, then $C_{\infty} = C = \log \# O$

Rényi min-entropy vs. Shannon entropy



Rényi min entropy and conditional entropy are the log of piecewise linear functions

Shannon capacity vs. Rényi min-capacity

binary channel

а	1-a
b	1-b



 $0.8^{-}_{0.6^{-}_{0.4^{-}_{0$

Shannon capacity

Rényi min-capacity

In general, Rényi min capacity is an upper bound for Shannon capacity

Exercises

- I. Prove that $I_{\infty}(S;O) \ge 0$
- 2. Prove that if all rows of the channel matrix are equal, then $I_{\infty}(S;O) = 0$
- 3. Prove that all rows of the channel matrix are equal if and only if $C_{\infty} = 0$
- 4. Compute Shannon leakage and Rényi min-leakage for the password checker (the version where the adversary can observe the execution time), assuming a uniform distribution on the passwords