The double Eulerian polynomial

Erik Aas KTH

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

$$A_n(x,y) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{des}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{des}(\pi^{-1})}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

$$A_n(x,y) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{des}(\pi)} y^{\operatorname{ides}(\pi)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●



 $\pi = 325641$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ のへの



$\pi = 325641$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

$$\pi = 325641$$







$$\pi = 325641$$



 $des(\pi) = 3$ DES(π) = {1, 4, 5}



$$\pi = 325641$$



 $\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{des}(\pi) = 3\\ &\mathsf{DES}(\pi) = \{1,4,5\}\\ &\mathsf{ides}(\pi) = 3 \end{aligned}$



$$\pi = 325641$$



$$des(\pi) = 3DES(\pi) = \{1, 4, 5\}ides(\pi) = 3 $x^{des(\pi)}y^{ides(\pi)} = x^{3}y^{3}$$$

$$\pi = 325641$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{des}(\pi) = 3\\ &\operatorname{DES}(\pi) = \{1, 4, 5\}\\ &\operatorname{ides}(\pi) = 3\\ & x^{\operatorname{des}(\pi)}y^{\operatorname{ides}(\pi)} = x^3y^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$x^{\mathsf{DES}(\pi)}y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = x_1x_3x_5y^3$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

$$A_3(x, y) = 1 + 4xy + x^2y^2$$
$$A_4(x, y) = 1 + 10xy + xy^2 + x^2y + 10x^2y^2 + x^3y^3$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

$$A_{3}(x, y) = 1 + 4xy + x^{2}y^{2}$$

$$A_{4}(x, y) = 1 + 10xy + xy^{2} + x^{2}y + 10x^{2}y^{2} + x^{3}y^{3}$$

$$A_{3}(x, 1) = 1 + 4x + x^{2}$$

$$A_{4}(x, 1) = 1 + 11x + 11x^{2} + x^{3}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

First studied by Euler (?)

First studied by Euler (?)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Explicit formula

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i>0} i^n x^i)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Symmetry, unimodality

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i>0} i^n x^i)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Symmetry, unimodality
- All roots are real

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x,1) = n \times A_{n-1}(x,1) + x(1-x) \frac{d}{dx} A_n(x,1))$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x, 1) = n \times A_{n-1}(x, 1) + x(1-x) \frac{d}{dx} A_n(x, 1))$.
- Better: $A_n(x, 1)$ is positive in the basis $x^i(1+x)^{n-1-2i}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x, 1) = n \times A_{n-1}(x, 1) + x(1-x) \frac{d}{dx} A_n(x, 1))$.
- Better: $A_n(x, 1)$ is positive in the basis $x^i(1+x)^{n-1-2i}$.

▶ It is the *h*-polynomial of the type A Coxeter complex.

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x,1) = n \times A_{n-1}(x,1) + x(1-x) \frac{d}{dx} A_n(x,1))$.
- Better: $A_n(x, 1)$ is positive in the basis $x^i(1+x)^{n-1-2i}$.
- ▶ It is the *h*-polynomial of the type A Coxeter complex.
- It is the partition function of the PASEP on a line segment with n sites [Corteel-Williams]

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1 x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x,1) = nxA_{n-1}(x,1) + x(1-x)\frac{d}{dx}A_n(x,1))$.
- Better: $A_n(x, 1)$ is positive in the basis $x^i(1+x)^{n-1-2i}$.
- ▶ It is the *h*-polynomial of the type A Coxeter complex.
- It is the partition function of the PASEP on a line segment with n sites [Corteel-Williams]
- ► |{π : DES(π) = S}| is |Möbius| evaluated on the S-selection of the Boolean poset.

- First studied by Euler (?)
- Explicit formula $(A_n(x, 1) = (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} i^n x^i)$.
- Symmetry, unimodality
- ► All roots are real $(A_n(x,1) = nxA_{n-1}(x,1) + x(1-x)\frac{d}{dx}A_n(x,1))$.
- Better: $A_n(x, 1)$ is positive in the basis $x^i(1+x)^{n-1-2i}$.
- ▶ It is the *h*-polynomial of the type A Coxeter complex.
- It is the partition function of the PASEP on a line segment with n sites [Corteel-Williams]
- ► |{π : DES(π) = S}| is |Möbius| evaluated on the S-selection of the Boolean poset.

•
$$A_n(x,1) = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\operatorname{asc}(e)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\operatorname{row}(e)}$$

First studied by Carlitz and Scoville (1966)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

- First studied by Carlitz and Scoville (1966)
- Explicit formula $\left((1-x)^{n+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\sum_{i,j\geq 0} {\binom{j+n-1}{n}x^iy^j}\right)$ [Garsia-Gessel].

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- First studied by Carlitz and Scoville (1966)
- Explicit formula $((1-x)^{n+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\sum_{i,j>0} {\binom{ij+n-1}{n}x^iy^j}$ [Garsia-Gessel].

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

▶ Is $A_n(x, y)$ positive in the basis $(xy)^i(y+x)^j(1+yx)^{n-1-2i-j}$? [Gessel].

- First studied by Carlitz and Scoville (1966)
- Explicit formula $\left((1-x)^{n+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\sum_{i,j>0} {\binom{ij+n-1}{n}x^iy^j}\right)$ [Garsia-Gessel].

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

- ▶ Is $A_n(x, y)$ positive in the basis $(xy)^i(y+x)^j(1+yx)^{n-1-2i-j}$? [Gessel].
- $A_n(x,y) = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\operatorname{asc}(e)} y^{\operatorname{row}(e)}$ [Visontai].



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶



 $\mathsf{ASC}(e) = \{i : e_i > e_{i+1}\} = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲臣 ト ▲臣 ト → 臣 → の々ぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Proof

Want to prove

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{des}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\mathsf{asc}(e)} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}.$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

Proof

Want to prove

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{des}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\mathsf{asc}(e)} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}$$

Stronger but true:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{DES}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\mathsf{ASC}(e)} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Proof

Want to prove

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{des}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\mathsf{asc}(e)} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}$$

Stronger but true:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n} x^{\mathsf{DES}(\pi)} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n} x^{\mathsf{ASC}(e)} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}.$$

Fix *S*. Sufficient to prove:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n, \mathsf{DES}(\pi) \supseteq S} y^{\mathsf{ides}(\pi)} = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{I}_n, \mathsf{ASC}(e) \supseteq S} y^{\mathsf{row}(e)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @



ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

æ

 $\mathsf{ides}(\pi) = \mathsf{row}(e)$ $S \subseteq \mathsf{DES}(\pi), \mathsf{ASC}(e)$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - の々ぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

1 + (2 - 1) new inverse descents. 2 new occupied rows.

$$\sum_{a=0}^{p} \binom{r-a-1}{a} \binom{a+s-t-1}{a} = \binom{r+s-t-1}{p}$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

,,

,,

•
$$A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$$
 (RSK)

- $A_n(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$ (RSK)
- Fix τ. Look at (π, σ) such that πσ = τ. Depends only on des(τ)! [Gessel]

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

- $A_n(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$ (RSK)
- Fix τ. Look at (π, σ) such that πσ = τ. Depends only on des(τ)! [Gessel]

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

• (DES, ides)
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 (ASC, row)

- $A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$ (RSK)
- Fix τ. Look at (π, σ) such that πσ = τ. Depends only on des(τ)! [Gessel]

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

• (DES, ides)
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 (ASC, row)

• (maj, ides)
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 (amaj, row)
Here, maj = $\sum DES$, amaj = $\sum ASC$

- $A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$ (RSK)
- Fix τ. Look at (π, σ) such that πσ = τ. Depends only on des(τ)! [Gessel]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• (DES, ides)
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 (ASC, row)

- (maj, ides) \longleftrightarrow (amaj, row) Here, maj = $\sum DES$, amaj = $\sum ASC$
- (DES, IDES) \leftarrow / \rightarrow (ASC, ROW)

- $A_n(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)$ (RSK)
- Fix τ. Look at (π, σ) such that πσ = τ. Depends only on des(τ)! [Gessel]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• (DES, ides)
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 (ASC, row)

- (maj, ides) \longleftrightarrow (amaj, row) Here, maj = $\sum DES$, amaj = $\sum ASC$
- (DES, IDES) \leftarrow / \rightarrow (ASC, ROW)
- $(\sum \text{DES}, \sum \text{IDES}) \leftarrow / \rightarrow (\sum \text{ASC}, \sum \text{ROW})$

Thanks!

(ロ) (型) (主) (主) (三) のへで