An algebraic approach to the Rank Support Learning problem

Magali BARDET, Pierre BRIAUD

GT Oisillons, March 26



What is this talk about ?

Attack on a hard problem from code-based cryptography.

What is this talk about ?

Attack on a hard problem from code-based cryptography.

- In the rank metric.
- An algebraic attack.

\mathbb{F}_{q^m} -linear codes in the rank metric

 $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q$ finite field extension of degree *m*, basis $\mathcal{B} := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m)$.

\mathbb{F}_{q^m} -linear code

- \mathbb{F}_{q^m} -linear subspace $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$, dim. k.
- Words \leftrightarrow Matrices in $\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$.

$$\boldsymbol{c} := (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Mat}_{\boldsymbol{c}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m,1} & \cdots & c_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } c_i := \sum_{j=1}^m c_{j,i}\beta_j.$$

Support and rank weight for $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{c}) := \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}. \end{bmatrix}$ $\omega(\boldsymbol{c}) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{c})) = \operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Mat}_{\boldsymbol{c}}).$

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU)

The Rank Decoding problem (RD)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C} &:= \{ x \boldsymbol{G}, \ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n \} & \boldsymbol{G} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{k \times n} \text{ generating matrix.} \\ \mathcal{C} &:= \{ \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n, \ \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{H}^\mathsf{T} = 0 \} & \boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{(n-k) \times n} \text{ parity-check matrix.} \\ & (\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{H}^\mathsf{T} = 0) \end{split}$$

Fixed weight decoding

Given
$$\boldsymbol{G} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$$
 full-rank, $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$, find $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ s.t.

$$\omega(\mathbf{y} - x\mathbf{G}) := \omega(\mathbf{e}) = r$$
, where \mathbf{e} is an error.

Syndrome decoding

Given $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{(n-k) \times n}$ full-rank, a syndrome $\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{n-k}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, find $\boldsymbol{e} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ s.t.

$$eH^{T} = s$$
 and $\omega(e) = r$.

0).

RD and the MinRank problem

MinRank

Input: an integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and K matrices $M_1, \ldots, M_K \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$. Output: $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K \in \mathbb{F}_q$ not all zero s.t.

$$\operatorname{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{K}} x_i \mathbf{M}_i\right) \leq r.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{RD} = \mathsf{MinRank} \text{ with } \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \text{-linearity:} \\ (\pmb{g}_1, \ldots, \pmb{g}_k) \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \text{-basis of } \mathcal{C}, \text{ target } \pmb{y} := \pmb{g}_{k+1}, \ \mathcal{K} := (k+1)m. \end{array}$

$$orall i \in \{1..k+1\}, orall j \in \{1..m\}, \ oldsymbol{M}_{(i-1)m+j} := \operatorname{Mat}_{eta_j oldsymbol{g}_i} .$$

MinRank : NP-hard, RD : not (a priori) NP-hard.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

5/15

Outline of the talk

1 Our modeling to attack RSL

2 Solving the system



э

Rank Support Learning problem (RSL)

Rank Support Learning (RSL)

Input: $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{(n-k) \times n}$ full-rank, N syndromes $\boldsymbol{s}_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{(n-k)}$ s.t.

$$orall i, \exists oldsymbol{e}_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n, \; (oldsymbol{e}_ioldsymbol{H}^\mathsf{T} = oldsymbol{s}_i, \; \mathsf{Supp}(oldsymbol{e}_i) = \mathcal{V}),$$

where dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{V}) = r. Output: the common support \mathcal{V}

This is RD when N = 1. How easier when $N \nearrow ?$

▲ 御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ─ 臣

Motivation

Crypto !

- RSL introduced for crypto (IBE in rank metric [Gab+17]).
- Durandal signature scheme ([Ara+19]) relies on RSL.

Known algos

- $N \ge nr$: polynomial (linear algebra, [Gab+17]).
- N ≥ kr : subexponential (GB, very overdetermined system, [DAT18]).
- Any RD solver on 1 syndrome ... the best so far when N < kr (!)

 \rightarrow This talk : an algo for any N < kr.

[DAT18] Debris-Alazard and Tillich. "Two attacks on rank metric code-based schemes: RankSign and an

Identity-Based-Encryption scheme". ASIACRYPT 2018.

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

[[]Gab+17] Gaborit et al. "Identity-based Encryption from Rank Metric". CRYPTO 2017.

[[]Ara+19] Aragon et al. "Durandal: a rank metric based signature scheme". EUROCRYPT 2019.

RSL-Minors modeling

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall i, \ \boldsymbol{y}_i \boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}} = \boldsymbol{s}_i \ (\text{no weight constraint}). \\ \\ \mathcal{C}_{\textit{aug}} := \mathcal{C} + \langle \boldsymbol{y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{y}_N \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q} = \mathcal{C} + \langle \boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_N \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q} := \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n. \end{array}$$

Strategy ([Gab+17])

Target : $e \in C_{aug}, w(e) := w \leq r \ (\approx q^N \text{ such words}).$

 \Rightarrow MinRank with km + N matrices, rank w.

$$\boldsymbol{e} := \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{G} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{y}_i = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) \mathsf{Mat}_{\boldsymbol{e}} := (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) \boldsymbol{CR}.$$

$$(\mathsf{Unknowns}\; \pmb{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k, \; \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, \; \pmb{C} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times w} \; \text{and} \; \pmb{R} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{w \times n}).$$

[Gab+17] Gaborit et al. "Identity-based Encryption from Rank Metric". CRYPTO 2017 > 4 🗄 > 4 🗄 > 4 🗄 > 🛓 🤊 🔍 🔿

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU) Ai

RSL-Minors modeling

Multiply by $\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}}$ to remove the $\boldsymbol{\times}\boldsymbol{G}$ term:

$$\boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{H}^\mathsf{T} := \boldsymbol{s} = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \boldsymbol{s}_i := (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{H}^\mathsf{T}.$$

The matrix
$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{H}} := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{s}_i \\ \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{y}_i \\ \boldsymbol{R} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

has rank $\leq w$!

System over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} (variables over \mathbb{F}_q) $\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f = 0 \middle| f \in \mathsf{MaxMinors}(\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}) \right\}.$ #eqs over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \binom{n-k}{w+1}.$

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU)

An algebraic approach to the RSL probler

RSL-Minors modeling

Degree ?

Bilinear in λ_i and in the maximal minors of \boldsymbol{R} $(\boldsymbol{r}_T = |\boldsymbol{R}|_{*,T})$.

$$\rightarrow \text{Sum of products} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{y}_i}{\boldsymbol{R}} \right|_{*,I} \times \left| \boldsymbol{H} \right|_{J,I} \text{ (Cauchy-Binet formula).}$$

 \rightarrow Compute left factors by Laplace expansion along the first row.

RSL-Minors system

$$\mathcal{F}_{ext} := \mathsf{Exp}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F}) := \{ [\beta_i] f = 0 \mid i \in \{1..m\}, \ f \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

#eqs over $\mathbb{F}_q = m \binom{n-k}{w+1}$ #{monomials $\lambda_i r_T \} = N \binom{n}{w}.$

3

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Solving the system

Need to restrict the number of solutions !
→ If possible, choose smaller w ≤ r and/or shorten C_{aug}.

(as in [Bar+20]): multiply by monomials in λ_i + linearize at bi-degree (b, 1).
→ Find b ? How many independent eqs ? Syzygies ?

Solve the linear system with Strassen/Wiedemann.
→ Very few sols, easy to recover the true RSL ones.

[Bar+20] Bardet et al. "Improvements of Algebraic Attacks for solving the Rank Decoding and MinRank problems".

ASIACRYPT 2020.

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU)

ヘロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

At bi-degree $(\underbrace{b}_{\lambda}, \underbrace{1}_{r_{\mathcal{T}}})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ (system \mathcal{F}) Syndrome matrix $\boldsymbol{S} := (\boldsymbol{s}_{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{s}_{N}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{(n-k) \times N}$.

Assumption 1 (cheap)

We assume that
$$\mathsf{Rank}(m{s}_{\{1..n-k-w\},*}) = n-k-w.$$

Assumption $1 \Rightarrow$ "control" on the staircase for row ech. form at bi-degree (1,1).

Use this fact to construct a basis at higher bi-degree !

Theorem 1 (under Assumption 1)

Let $b \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_b := \#\{\text{Lin. Indep. bi-degree } (b,1)\}$. One has

$$\mathcal{N}_b := \sum_{d=2}^{n-k-w+1} \binom{n-k-d}{w-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \binom{N-j+1+b-2}{b-1}.$$

Pierre Briaud (Inria Paris/SU)

Expanding over \mathbb{F}_q (system \mathcal{F}_{ext})

To be solved: $\mathcal{F}_{ext} = \mathsf{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F})$, eqs, sols $\in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Assumption 2 Applying $Ext_{\mathcal{B}}(.)$ does not add "extra" linear relations.

Theorem 1 + Assumption 2:
⇒ Find b to solve by linearization at bi-degree (b, 1).

• Dominant cost : final linear system over \mathbb{F}_q . Sparse linear algebra when *b* large enough.

A B A A B A

- 3

Impact

128-bit parameters constructed w.r.t. Durandal reqs. + [Bar+20].

(m, n, k, r)	Best so far (RD)	N = k(r-2)	N = k(r-1)
(277, 358, 179, 7)	130	<u>126</u>	<u>125</u>
(281, 242, 121, 8)	159	170	<u>128</u>
(293, 254, 127, 8)	152	172	<u>125</u>
(307, 274, 137, 9)	251	187	159

- Improves key recovery on Durandal.
- The harder the RD instance, the more we might gain (need to compare asymptotic complexities though).