

# Agda

---

Samuel Mimram

2025

École polytechnique

**Agda** is a programming language / proof assistant:

- one directly write programs of given type (no tactics),
- in order to help the user one gradually fills *holes*.

# First proof

As a first proof, consider:

```
open import Prelude

-- The product is commutative
×-comm : {A B : Type} (A × B) → (B × A)
×-comm (a , b) = (b , a)
```

# First proof

As a first proof, consider:

```
open import Prelude

-- The product is commutative
×-comm : {A B : Type} (A × B) → (B × A)
×-comm (a , b) = (b , a)
```

We then type `C-c C-1` in order to have Agda check this for us.

# Agda syntax

We can import functions from other modules with

```
open import ModuleName
```

# Agda syntax

We can import functions from other modules with

```
open import ModuleName
```

Comments are of the form

```
-- one line
```

or

```
{-  
multiple  
lines  
-}
```

For all functions, we declare the type and then the value:

```
f : {A : Type} → A → B
```

```
f x = ...
```

For all functions, we declare the type and then the value:

```
f : {A : Type} → A → B  
f x = ...
```

Named standard arguments are  $(x : A)$  and implicit arguments are  $\{x : A\}$ .



For all functions, we declare the type and then the value:

```
f : {A : Type} → A → B  
f x = ...
```

Named standard arguments are  $(x : A)$  and implicit arguments are  $\{x : A\}$ .

All functions are recursive.

For all functions, we declare the type and then the value:

```
f : {A : Type} → A → B  
f x = ...
```

Named standard arguments are  $(x : A)$  and implicit arguments are  $\{x : A\}$ .

All functions are recursive.

We can use the notation `_` in order to have Agda guess part of the term.

# Agda syntax

Agda allows for UTF-8 symbols which are mostly typed like in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ :

$\times$	<code>\times</code>	$\top$	<code>\top</code>	$\rightarrow$	<code>\to</code>	$\Pi$	<code>\Pi</code>	$\lambda$	<code>\G1</code>	$\mathbb{N}$	<code>\bN</code>
$\oplus$	<code>\uplus</code>	$\neg$	<code>\neg</code>	$\exists$	<code>\ex</code>	$\Sigma$	<code>\Sigma</code>	$\equiv$	<code>\equiv</code>	$\blacksquare$	<code>\qed</code>

# Agda syntax

Agda allows for UTF-8 symbols which are mostly typed like in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ :

$\times$	<code>\times</code>	$\top$	<code>\top</code>	$\rightarrow$	<code>\to</code>	$\Pi$	<code>\Pi</code>	$\lambda$	<code>\lambda</code>	$\mathbb{N}$	<code>\bN</code>
$\oplus$	<code>\uplus</code>	$\neg$	<code>\neg</code>	$\exists$	<code>\ex</code>	$\Sigma$	<code>\Sigma</code>	$\equiv$	<code>\equiv</code>	$\blacksquare$	<code>\qed</code>

Agda is picky about **spaces**:

- `m + n` is an addition
- `m+n` is an identifier name

# Agda syntax

Agda allows for UTF-8 symbols which are mostly typed like in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ :

$\times$	<code>\times</code>	$\top$	<code>\top</code>	$\rightarrow$	<code>\to</code>	$\Pi$	<code>\Pi</code>	$\lambda$	<code>\Gl</code>	$\mathbb{N}$	<code>\bN</code>
$\oplus$	<code>\uplus</code>	$\neg$	<code>\neg</code>	$\exists$	<code>\ex</code>	$\Sigma$	<code>\Sigma</code>	$\equiv$	<code>\equiv</code>	$\blacksquare$	<code>\qed</code>

Agda is picky about **spaces**:

- `m + n` is an addition
- `m+n` is an identifier name

The reason is that we can define new infix operators, e.g.

```
_+_ :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
```

```
zero + n = n
```

```
suc m + n = suc (m + n)
```

In practice it is almost impossible to write a whole proof directly.

Agda offers holes which are typed as `?`.

We then have shortcuts to help us in proofs:

<code>C-c C-l</code>	typecheck and highlight the current file
<code>C-c C-,</code>	get information about the hole under the cursor
<code>C-c C-.</code>	same as above + the type of the proposed filler
<code>C-c C-space</code>	give a solution
<code>C-c C-c</code>	case analysis on a variable
<code>C-c C-r</code>	refine the hole
<code>C-c C-a</code>	automatic fill
middle click	go to the definition of the term

# Inductive types

We can define inductive types



# Inductive types

We can define inductive types

```
data  $\mathbb{N}$  : Set where  
  zero :  $\mathbb{N}$   
  suc   :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
```

# Inductive types

We can define inductive types

```
data ℕ : Set where  
  zero : ℕ  
  suc   : ℕ → ℕ
```

Constructors are injective: Agda “knows” that

- `zero` is always different from `suc n`
- `suc m` is the same as `suc n` iff `m` is the same as `n`

The identity type can also be defined as an inductive type:

```
data _≡_ {A : Type} (x : A) : (y : A) → Type where  
  refl : x ≡ x
```

## Local definitions

We can have local definitions with `where`:

## Local definitions

We can have local definitions with `where`:

```
quadruple :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$   
quadruple n = double (double n)  
  where  
    double :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$   
    double zero = zero  
    double (suc n) = suc (suc (double n))
```

# Universes

Agda features universes:

# Universes

Agda features universes:

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A
```

```
id a = a
```

# Universes

Agda features universes:

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A
```

```
id a = a
```

We have supremum of levels:

```
arr : {ℓ ℓ' : Level} (A : Type ℓ) (B : Type ℓ') → Type (ℓ-max ℓ ℓ')
```

```
arr A B = A → B
```



# Universes

Agda features universes:

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A
id a = a
```

We have supremum of levels:

```
arr : {ℓ ℓ' : Level} (A : Type ℓ) (B : Type ℓ') → Type (ℓ-max ℓ ℓ')
arr A B = A → B
```

Agda can generate implicit arguments:

```
private variable
  ℓ ℓ' : Level
```

```
arr : (A : Type ℓ) (B : Type ℓ') → Type (ℓ-max ℓ ℓ')
arr A B = A → B
```

# Capturing implicit arguments

We can capture implicit arguments

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A  
id {ℓ} {A} a = a
```

or

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A  
id {A = A} a = a
```

## Unnamed functions

It is possible to define unnamed functions:

# Unnamed functions

It is possible to define unnamed functions:

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A
```

```
id = λ x → x
```

# Unnamed functions

It is possible to define unnamed functions:

```
id : {ℓ : Level} {A : Type ℓ} → A → A  
id = λ x → x
```

We have a special syntax for pattern matching:

```
not : Bool → Bool  
not = λ { true → false ; false → true }
```

(it is generally preferable to use `where`).

We can define modules with

# Modules

We can define modules with

```
module Int where
  int : Type
  int = ...

  add : int → int → int
  add = ...
```

Note the two spaces at the beginning of lines!

By default all files define modules.

Anonymous modules with parameters are sometimes useful:



Anonymous modules with parameters are sometimes useful:

```
module _ { $\ell$   $\ell'$  : Level} (A : Type  $\ell$ ) (B : Type  $\ell'$ ) where  
  f : A → B  
  f = ...  
  
  g : B → A  
  g = ...
```

equational reasoning