

**POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY - CODES
STARTING EXERCISES**

1. BASIC EXERCISES ON CODES

In what follows, $|\cdot|$ will denote the Hamming weight, namely

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k, \quad |\mathbf{x}| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#\{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, x_i \neq 0\}.$$

Exercise 1. Give the dimension of the following linear codes:

1. $\{(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ and } \deg(f) < k\}$ where the x_i 's are distinct elements of \mathbb{F}_q ,
2. $\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{u} \in U \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ where U (resp. V) is an $[n, k_U]_q$ -code (resp. $[n, k_V]_q$ -code).

Exercise 2. Let $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ be a generator matrix of some code \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ of rank $n-k$ such that $\mathbf{GH}^T = \mathbf{0}$. Show that \mathbf{H} is a parity-check matrix of \mathcal{C} .

Exercise 3. Give the minimum distance of the following codes:

1. $\{(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ and } \deg(f) < k\}$ where the x_i 's are distinct elements of \mathbb{F}_q .
2. $\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{u} \in U \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ where U (resp. V) is a code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q and minimum distance d_U (resp. d_V).
3. The Hamming code of length $2^r - 1$, namely the code which admits a parity check matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{r \times (2^r - 1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{x}^T)_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}}$.

Hint: A code has minimum distance d if and only if for some parity-check matrix \mathbf{H} every $(d-1)$ -tuple of columns are linearly independent and there is at least one linearly linked d -tuple of columns.

Exercise 4. Let \mathbf{H} be a parity-check matrix of a code \mathcal{C} of minimum distance d . Show that the \mathbf{He}^T 's are distinct when $|\mathbf{e}| \leq \frac{d-1}{2}$.

Exercise 5. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a code of minimum distance d and $t > n - \frac{d}{2}$. Show that there exists at most one codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ of weight t .

Exercise 6. Let us introduce the following problems

Problem 1 (Noisy Codeword Decoding). Given $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ of rank k , $t \in \llbracket 0, n \rrbracket$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ where $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}$ with $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{mG}$ for some $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $|\mathbf{e}| = t$, find \mathbf{e} .

Problem 2 (Syndrome Decoding). Given $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ of rank $n-k$, $t \in \llbracket 0, n \rrbracket$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$ where $\mathbf{He}^T = \mathbf{s}^T$ with $|\mathbf{e}| = t$, find \mathbf{e} .

Show that any solver of Problem 2 (resp. 1) can be turned in polynomial time into an algorithm solving Problem 1 (resp. 2).

Exercise 7. Recall that

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(z_1 f(x_1), \dots, z_n f(x_n)) : f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ and } \deg(f) < k\}.$$

where $\mathbf{z} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ and \mathbf{x} be an n -tuple of pairwise distinct elements of \mathbb{F}_q (in particular $n \leq q$) and $k \leq n$.

Show that $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})^* = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}')$ where $z'_i = \frac{1}{z_i \prod_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j)}$. Deduce that $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ has a parity-check matrix of the following form:

$$\mathbf{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_n^2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{n-k-1} & x_2^{n-k-1} & \cdots & x_n^{n-k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z'_1 & & & 0 \\ & z'_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & z'_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise 8. Describe how the public-key encryption scheme of McEliece works with generator matrices.

Exercise 9. Let us define the problem DDP as

Problem 3 (Decision Decoding Problem - $\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)$). Let $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor Rn \rfloor$ and $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor \tau n \rfloor$.

– Distributions:

* $\mathcal{D}_0 : (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s})$ be uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$.

* $\mathcal{D}_1 : (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{xH}^\top)$ where \mathbf{H} (resp. \mathbf{x}) being uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ (resp. words of Hamming weight t).

– Input: (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) distributed according to \mathcal{D}_b where $b \in \{0, 1\}$ is uniform,

– Decision: $b' \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let us introduce the following definitions,

Definition 1. The $\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)$ -advantage of an algorithm \mathcal{A} is defined as:

$$\text{Adv}^{\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) = 1 \mid b = 1) - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) = 1 \mid b = 0))$$

where the probabilities are computed over the internal randomness of \mathcal{A} , a uniform $b \in \{0, 1\}$ and inputs according to \mathcal{D}_b which is defined in $\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)$ (Problem 3). We define the $\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)$ -computational success in time T as:

$$\text{Succ}^{\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\mathcal{A}: |\mathcal{A}| \leq T} (\text{Adv}^{\text{DDP}(n, q, R, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})).$$

where $|\mathcal{A}|$ denotes the running time of \mathcal{A} .

Prove that when (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) is distributed according to \mathcal{D}_b (for a fixed $b \in \{0, 1\}$) we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) = b) = \frac{1}{2} + \text{Adv}^{\text{DDP}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

2. ABOUT RANDOM CODES

Recall for this section the definition of the *statistical distance*, sometimes called the *total variational distance*. It is a distance for probability distributions, which in the case where X and Y are two random variables taking their values in a same finite space \mathcal{E} is defined as

$$\Delta(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} |\mathbb{P}(X = x) - \mathbb{P}(Y = x)|.$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{S}_t will denote the set of words of Hamming weight t in \mathbb{F}_q^n , namely

$$\mathcal{S}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : |\mathbf{x}| = t\}.$$

Exercise 10 (Important). *Let us introduce the following average decoding problems*

Problem 4 (Decoding Problem - $\text{DP}(n, q, R, \tau)$). *Let $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor Rn \rfloor$ and $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor \tau n \rfloor$.*

- Input : $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{x}\mathbf{H}^\top)$ where \mathbf{H} (resp. \mathbf{x}) is uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ (resp. words of Hamming weight t in \mathbb{F}_q^n).
- Output : an error $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of Hamming weight t such that $\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^\top = \mathbf{s}$.

Problem 5. $\text{DP}'(n, q, R, \tau)$. *Let $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor Rn \rfloor$ and $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor \tau n \rfloor$.*

- Input : $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{s}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{e})$ where \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{e} are uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}, \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and words of Hamming weight t in \mathbb{F}_q^n .
- Output : an error $\mathbf{e}' \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of Hamming weight t such that $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}$ for some $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.

Show that for any algorithm \mathcal{A} solving $\text{DP}'(n, q, R, \tau)$ with probability ε and time T , there exists an algorithm \mathcal{B} which solves $\text{DP}(n, q, R, \tau)$ in time $O(n^3 T)$ with probability $\geq \varepsilon - O(q^{-\min(k, n-k)})$. Show that we can exchange DP' by DP in the previous question.

Hint: the following lemma may be useful

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ (resp. $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$) be a uniformly random matrix and $\mathbf{G}_k \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}_{n-k} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$) be a uniformly random matrix of rank k (resp. $n - k$). We have:*

$$\Delta(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}_k) = O(q^{-(n-k)}) \quad (\text{resp. } \Delta(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_{n-k}) = O(q^{-k}))$$

Exercise 11. *Show that for any non-zero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, \mathbf{G} being distributed uniformly at random among $\mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}^*) = \frac{1}{q^k}$$

where \mathcal{C}^* is defined as $\{\mathbf{c}^* \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \mathbf{G}\mathbf{c}^{*\top} = \mathbf{0}\}$.

Exercise 12. *Let \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} being uniformly distributed at random among $\mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$. Show that,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{G}}(\#\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C} : |\mathbf{c}| = t\}) = \frac{q^k - 1}{q^n} \binom{n}{t} (q-1)^t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}(\#\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C} : |\mathbf{c}| \text{ is even}\}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^n + (2-q)^n}{q^{n-k}}.$$

Hint: For the first part of the exercise first show that $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}$ is uniformly distributed over \mathbb{F}_q^n when $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Exercise 13. *Let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ being uniformly distributed and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$, $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S}_t$ being some random variables. Show that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}(\Delta(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^\top, \mathbf{s})) = \frac{1}{q^{(n-k) \times n}} \sum_{\mathbf{H}_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}} \Delta(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}_0^\top, \mathbf{s})$$

Exercise 14. *Let us admit the following lemma (a variation of the left-over hash lemma)*

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{H} = (h_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of applications from E in F . Let ε be the “collision bias”

$$\mathbb{P}_{h,e,e'}(h(e) = h(e')) = \frac{1}{\#F}(1 + \varepsilon)$$

where h is uniformly drawn in \mathcal{H} , e and e' be uniformly distributed over E . Let \mathcal{U} be the uniform distribution over F and $\mathcal{D}(h)$ be the distribution $h(e)$ when e is chosen uniformly at random in E . We have,

$$\mathbb{E}_h(\Delta(\mathcal{D}(h), \mathcal{U})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Let \mathbf{e} (resp. \mathbf{e}^{Ber}) be uniformly distributed at random in the words of Hamming weight t (resp. the e_i^{Ber} are independent Bernoulli random variables of parameter $\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t/n$) in \mathbb{F}_2^n . Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}(\Delta(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^\top, \mathbf{s})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-k} - 1}{\binom{n}{t}}}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}(\Delta(\mathbf{e}^{\text{Ber}}\mathbf{H}^\top, \mathbf{s})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^k (1 + (1 - 2\tau)^2)^n}.$$

What can you deduce when comparing both results with \mathbf{e} or \mathbf{e}^{Ber} ? What is the “better” choice of error \mathbf{x} to ensure that $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{H}^\top$ is uniformly distributed?

Exercise 15. Let \mathcal{C} be a fixed $[n, k]_q$ -code of parity-check matrix \mathbf{H} and $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k} \times \mathcal{S}_t$ be uniformly distributed. Our aim in this exercise is to show that $\Delta(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{y}) = \Delta(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^\top, \mathbf{s})$.

1. Given $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$, let $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be such that $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{H}^\top = \mathbf{s}$. Show that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}) - \frac{1}{q^n} \right| = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}} \sum_{\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathbf{c}') - \frac{1}{q^n} \right|.$$

2. Deduce that $\Delta(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{y}) = \Delta(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{H}^\top, \mathbf{s})$.

3. INFORMATION SET DECODING ALGORITHMS

Exercise 16. Let $\tau \in [0, 1/2]$. Show how from an algorithm solving $\text{DP}(n, 2, R, \tau)$ (Problem 4) we can deduce an algorithm solving $\text{DP}(n, 2, R, 1 - \tau)$ in the same running-time (and reciprocally).

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [1, n]$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. We will denote $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the vector whose coordinates are those of $\mathbf{c} = (c_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ which are indexed by \mathcal{I} , i.e. $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{I}} = (c_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. Given $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{r \times n}$ we will denote by $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the matrix whose columns are those of \mathbf{H} which are indexed by \mathcal{I} .

Exercise 17. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]$ -code and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [1, n]$ be of size k . Recall that \mathcal{I} is an information set of \mathcal{C} if

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k : \exists! \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ such that } \mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{x}.$$

Show that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} \text{ is an information set for } \mathcal{C} &\iff \forall \mathbf{G} \text{ generator matrix of } \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{I}} \text{ is invertible} \\ &\iff \forall \mathbf{H} \text{ parity-check matrix of } \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \text{ is invertible} \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{I} be an information set of \mathcal{C} . Given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, how to compute the unique codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{x}$? Is it easy?

Exercise 18. Recall that Prange’s algorithm works as follows

The distribution \mathcal{D}_t .

- If $t < \frac{q-1}{q}(n-k)$, \mathcal{D}_t only outputs $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$,

- if $t \in \llbracket \frac{q-1}{q}(n-k), k + \frac{q-1}{q}(n-k) \rrbracket$, \mathcal{D}_t outputs uniform vectors of weight $t - \frac{q-1}{q}(n-k)$,
- if $t > k + \frac{q-1}{q}(n-k)$, \mathcal{D}_t outputs uniform vectors of weight k .

The algorithm.

1. Picking the information set. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ be a random set of size k . If $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$ is not of full-rank, pick another set \mathcal{I} .
2. Linear algebra. Perform a Gaussian elimination to compute a non-singular matrix $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$ such that $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{1}_{n-k}$.
3. Test Step. Pick $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ according to the distribution \mathcal{D}_t and let $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be such that

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}}^T) \mathbf{S}^T \quad ; \quad \mathbf{e}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{x}.$$

If $|\mathbf{e}| \neq t$ go back to Step 1, otherwise it is a solution.

Describe Prange's algorithm with the generator matrix formalism in the same fashion as above (with also three steps and the distribution \mathcal{D}_t).

Exercise 19. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]$ -code and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ be of size $k + \ell$. Recall that \mathcal{I} is an augmented information set of \mathcal{C} if it contains an information set.

Show that,

\mathcal{I} is an augmented information set for $\mathcal{C} \iff \mathcal{D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k+\ell} : \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a code of dimension k .

Given $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ be a parity-check matrix of \mathcal{C} . Suppose that \mathcal{I} is an augmented information set of \mathcal{C} . Give a parity-check matrix of \mathcal{D} (this code is known that punctured code of \mathcal{C} at positions $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$).

Exercise 20. Recall that Dumer's algorithm is as follows

The algorithm.

1. Splitting in two parts. First we randomly select a set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ of $n/2$ positions.
2. Building lists step. We build,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{e}_1^T : |\mathbf{e}_1| = \frac{t}{2} \right\} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{L}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ -\mathbf{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \mathbf{e}_2^T + \mathbf{s}^T : |\mathbf{e}_2| = \frac{t}{2} \right\}.$$

3. Collisions step. We merge the above lists (with an efficient technique like hashing or sorting)

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{L}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2, \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{e}_1^T = -\mathbf{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \mathbf{e}_2^T + \mathbf{s}^T\}.$$

and output this new list. If it is empty we go back to Step 1 and pick another set of $n/2$ positions.

and we have the following proposition

Proposition 1. The complexity $C_{\text{Dumer}}(n, q, R, \tau)$ of Dumer's algorithm to solve $\text{DP}(n, q, R, \tau)$ is up to a polynomial factor (in n) given by

$$\sqrt{\binom{n}{t} (q-1)^t + \frac{\binom{n}{t} (q-1)^t}{q^{n-k}}}$$

Furthermore, Dumer's algorithm finds $\max\left(1, \frac{\binom{n}{t} (q-1)^t}{q^{n-k}}\right)$ solutions (up to a polynomial factor in n) where $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Rn$ and $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau n$.

We have made the choice in the above Dumer's algorithm to build lists of maximum size, namely $\binom{n/2}{t/2}(q-1)^{t/2}$. Let $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$ be an instance of a decoding problem that we would like to solve at distance t . We suppose that (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{s}) are uniformly distributed, in particular we do not suppose that there is always a solution. Show that a slight variation of Dumer's algorithm enables to compute $\frac{L^2}{q^{n-k}}$ solutions (there is no maximum in this formula, why?) in time $L + \frac{L^2}{q^{n-k}}$ (up to polynomial factors). Furthermore L has necessarily to verify $L \leq \binom{n/2}{t/2}(q-1)^{t/2}$, why? What is the condition over t and L for this algorithm to output solutions in amortized time one?