Polynomials in homotopy type theory

Elies Harington Samuel Mimram

École Polytechnique

4th of june 2024 LHC days 2024

▶ ∢ ⊒

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

 $F(X) = X \times X + 1$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

 $F(X) = X \times X + 1$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

$$F(X) = X \times X + 1$$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

 $F(X) = X \times X + 1$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

 $F(X) = X \times X + 1$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Polynomials in a category are a categorification of ordinary polynomials

 $F(X) = X \times X + 1$

- They can be defined in any locally cartesian closed category
- Similar to combinatorial species and their generalizations

- Show that polynomials are Kleisli morphisms for a comonad on spans
- and fit in a model of linear logic
- in order for this to work, we have to work up to homotopy!

• Decomposing $(A \implies B)$ as $(!A \multimap B)$.

- e.g. $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m], \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathbb{R}^n)$
- in categorical models: ! is a comonad on a symmetric monoidal category, satisfying some
- e.g. \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. ! = Svm = free symmetric algebra
- our result is a categorification of this example

- Decomposing $(A \implies B)$ as $(!A \multimap B)$.
- e.g. $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m], \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathbb{R}^n)$
- in categorical models: ! is a comonad on a symmetric monoidal category, satisfying some
- e.g. \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. ! = Svm = free symmetric algebra
- our result is a categorification of this example

- Decomposing $(A \implies B)$ as $(!A \multimap B)$.
- e.g. $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^m),\mathbb{R}^n)$
- in categorical models: ! is a comonad on a symmetric monoidal category, satisfying some conditions
- $\bullet\,$ e.g. $\mathbb R\text{-vector spaces},\,!=\mathrm{Sym}=\mathsf{free symmetric algebra}$
- our result is a categorification of this example

- Decomposing $(A \implies B)$ as $(!A \multimap B)$.
- e.g. $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^m),\mathbb{R}^n)$
- in categorical models: ! is a comonad on a symmetric monoidal category, satisfying some conditions
- $\bullet\,$ e.g. $\mathbb R\text{-vector}$ spaces, $!=\mathrm{Sym}=$ free symmetric algebra

• our result is a categorification of this example

- Decomposing $(A \implies B)$ as $(!A \multimap B)$.
- e.g. $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^m),\mathbb{R}^n)$
- in categorical models: ! is a comonad on a symmetric monoidal category, satisfying some conditions
- $\bullet\,$ e.g. $\mathbb R\text{-vector}$ spaces, $!=\mathrm{Sym}=$ free symmetric algebra
- our result is a categorification of this example

Polynomials in categories

A polynomial from I to J in a category C is a diagram



When C = Set, it induces a **polynomial functor**

$$\operatorname{Set}^{I} \to \operatorname{Set}^{J}$$
$$(X_{i})_{i \in I} \mapsto \left(\sum_{b \in t^{-1}(j)} \prod_{e \in p^{-1}(b)} X_{s(e)}\right)_{j \in J}$$

- "B = monomials"
- "E = exponents/arities"

Elies Harington

→ < ∃ →</p>

Polynomials in categories

A polynomial from I to J in a category C is a diagram



When C = Set, it induces a **polynomial functor**

$$\operatorname{Set}^{I} \to \operatorname{Set}^{J}$$
$$(X_{i})_{i \in I} \mapsto \left(\sum_{b \in t^{-1}(j)} \prod_{e \in p^{-1}(b)} X_{s(e)}\right)_{j \in J}$$

- "B = monomials"
- "*E* = exponents/arities"

Elies Harington

Example



Induced functor:

 $Set \rightarrow Set$ $X \mapsto X^2 + 1$

| Elioc I | _ | arington |
|---------|---|----------|
| LIES | | Ianneton |
| | | |

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024

A more complicated example



 $\mathrm{Set}^2
ightarrow \mathrm{Set}^2 (X,Y) \mapsto (XY,X+Y)$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 6 / 21

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>



• • = • • = •



→ < ∃→





→ < ∃→

Linear polynomials: spans

$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$

is linear when p is an isomorphism: the products are taken over singletons Linear polynomials are isomorphic to spans



And they compose via pullbacks



$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

is linear when p is an isomorphism: the products are taken over singletons Linear polynomials are isomorphic to spans



And they compose via pullbacks



$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

is linear when p is an isomorphism: the products are taken over singletons Linear polynomials are isomorphic to spans



And they compose via pullbacks



8/21

- Composition of polynomials uses pullbacks and other universal properties
- So it is not strictly associative/unital
- Polynomials thus form a **bicategory**
- But we need to further restrict it ...

- Composition of polynomials uses pullbacks and other universal properties
- So it is not strictly associative/unital
- Polynomials thus form a **bicategory**
- But we need to further restrict it...

- $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq\operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)$
- A basis of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ is given by monomials.
- In our categorified setting, we have arbitrary sets as exponents.
- So we would get a proper class of monomials !

- $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m], \mathbb{R}^n)$
- A basis of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ is given by monomials.
- In our categorified setting, we have arbitrary sets as exponents.
- So we would get a proper class of monomials !

- $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)$
- A basis of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ is given by monomials.
- In our categorified setting, we have arbitrary sets as exponents.
- So we would get a proper class of monomials !

- $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)$
- A basis of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ is given by monomials.
- In our categorified setting, we have arbitrary sets as exponents.
- So we would get a proper class of monomials !

- $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^n)\simeq\operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_m],\mathbb{R}^n)$
- A basis of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ is given by monomials.
- In our categorified setting, we have arbitrary sets as exponents.
- So we would get a proper class of monomials !

$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$

is said to be **finitary** if $\forall b \in B, p^{-1}(b)$ is finite. Examples:

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$

is said to be **finitary** if $\forall b \in B, p^{-1}(b)$ is finite. Examples:

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X\mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X\mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary
$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X\mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

- $X \mapsto X^3 + X + 1$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto \mathbb{N} imes X$ is finitary
- $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto ((X_i)^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is finitary
- $X \mapsto X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **not** finitary
- a linear polynomial is always finitary

- We would like $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \dots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{Mul}(I), J)$?

Yes and no... At the level of sets, yes, but Poly and Span are bicategories, and those groupoids of morphisms are not equivalent ! We need to :

- replace multisets by homotopy multisets,
- replace sets by groupoids.

To do that, we work in Homotopy Type Theory.

 Span and Poly the bicategories of spans and finitary polynomials in sets.

- We would like $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{Mul}(I), J)$?

Yes and no... At the level of sets, yes, but Poly and Span are bicategories, and those groupoids of morphisms are not equivalent ! We need to :

- replace multisets by homotopy multisets,
- replace sets by groupoids.

To do that, we work in Homotopy Type Theory.

- We would like $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(Mul(I), J)$?

- replace multisets by **homotopy multisets**,
- replace sets by groupoids.

э

- We would like $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(Mul(I), J)$?

Yes and no... At the level of sets, yes, but Poly and Span are bicategories, and those groupoids of morphisms are not equivalent !

- replace multisets by **homotopy multisets**,
- replace sets by groupoids.

- We would like $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $Poly(I, J) \simeq Span(Mul(I), J)$?

Yes and no... At the level of sets, yes, but Poly and Span are bicategories, and those groupoids of morphisms are not equivalent ! We need to :

- replace multisets by **homotopy multisets**,
- replace sets by groupoids.

 Span and Poly the bicategories of spans and finitary polynomials in sets.

- We would like $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(!I, J)$
- Idea: monomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ are given by **multisets** over $\{1, \dots, n\}$
- Can we hope for $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{Mul}(I), J)$?

Yes and no... At the level of sets, yes, but Poly and Span are bicategories, and those groupoids of morphisms are not equivalent ! We need to :

- replace multisets by homotopy multisets,
- replace sets by groupoids.

To do that, we work in Homotopy Type Theory.

• • = •

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ-types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow S^1$ homotopy coequalizer

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ-types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow S^1$ homotopy coequalized

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ-types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow S^1$ homotopy coequalize

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ-types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow S^1$ homotopy coequal

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ -types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow S^1$ homo

homotopy coequalizer

- HoTT is an extension of Martin Löf Type Theory where types are thought of as **spaces**.
- Spaces in the sense of homotopy theory.
- Discrete types are sets.
- Groupoids also are types, as are 2-groupoids, *n*-groupoids, ∞ -groupoids.
- In this context, Σ -types look like a Grothendieck construction.
- Quotients are **homotopy quotients** : instead of identifying elements, they paths between them.

Bool
$$\xrightarrow{\text{id}}$$
 Bool $\longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$ coequalizer

$$\operatorname{Bool} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} \operatorname{Bool} \longrightarrow S^1$$
 homotopy coequalizer

- Ordinary multisets: $\operatorname{Mul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n / \Sigma_n$
- Equivalently, the free commutative monoid on X.
- Homotopy multisets: $\operatorname{HMul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$ (homotopy quotient).
- In category theory, $\operatorname{HMul}(X)$ is equivalently the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on X.
- Concretely in HoTT: $HMul(X) := \sum_{E:Fin} X^E$ where Fin is the **groupoid** of finite sets and bijections

With this last definition, and using spans and polynomials in types, we proved in HoTT:

 $\operatorname{Poly}(I,J)\simeq\operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I),J)$

- Ordinary multisets: $\operatorname{Mul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n / \Sigma_n$
- Equivalently, the free commutative monoid on X.
- Homotopy multisets: $\operatorname{HMul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$ (homotopy quotient).
- In category theory, $\operatorname{HMul}(X)$ is equivalently the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on X.
- Concretely in HoTT: $\operatorname{HMul}(X) := \sum_{E:\operatorname{Fin}} X^E$ where Fin is the **groupoid** of finite sets and bijections

With this last definition, and using spans and polynomials in types, we proved in HoTT:

 $\operatorname{Poly}(I,J)\simeq\operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I),J)$

- Ordinary multisets: $\operatorname{Mul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n / \Sigma_n$
- Equivalently, the free commutative monoid on X.
- Homotopy multisets: $\operatorname{HMul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$ (homotopy quotient).
- In category theory, $\operatorname{HMul}(X)$ is equivalently the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on X.
- Concretely in HoTT: $HMul(X) := \sum_{E:Fin} X^E$ where Fin is the **groupoid** of finite sets and bijections

Nith this last definition, and using spans and polynomials in types, we proved in HoTT:

 $\operatorname{Poly}(I,J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I),J)$

- Ordinary multisets: $\operatorname{Mul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n / \Sigma_n$
- Equivalently, the free commutative monoid on X.
- Homotopy multisets: $\operatorname{HMul}(X) := \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$ (homotopy quotient).
- In category theory, HMul(X) is equivalently the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on X.
- Concretely in HoTT: $HMul(X) := \sum_{E:Fin} X^E$ where Fin is the **groupoid** of finite sets and bijections

With this last definition, and using spans and polynomials in types, we proved in HoTT:

 $\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \simeq \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I), J)$

A B A B A B A A A

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$
Poly $(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to \operatorname{Fin}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:\operatorname{Fin}} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to \operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I), J)$$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 15 / 2

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$
Poly $(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to_{\operatorname{Fin}}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:Fin} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to_{F:Fin} (F \to J)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 15 / 1

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$
Poly $(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to_{\operatorname{Fin}}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:Fin} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to_{F:Fin} (F \to J)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 15 /

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$
Poly $(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to \operatorname{Fin}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:Fin} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to \operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I), J)$$

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

$$Poly(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{Fin} B) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{Fin} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to Fin} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:Fin} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to HMul(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv Span(HMul(I), J)$$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 15 /

3

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$
Poly $(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to \operatorname{Fin}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:\operatorname{Fin}} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to \operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

4th of june 2024LHC days 2024 15

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

$$I \xleftarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{t} J$$

$$\operatorname{Poly}(I, J) \equiv \sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (E \to I) \times (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{E:\mathcal{U}} (E \to_{\operatorname{Fin}} B) \times (E \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(\sum_{F:B \to \operatorname{Fin}} (\Sigma BF \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} \left(B \to \sum_{F:\operatorname{Fin}} (F \to I) \right) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\simeq \sum_{B:\mathcal{U}} (B \to \operatorname{HMul}(I)) \times (B \to J)$$

$$\equiv \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{HMul}(I), J)$$

3

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

\bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.

- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Remark

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

- 本語 ト イヨト イヨト

- \bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.
- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

- \bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.
- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- \bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.
- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- \bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.
- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

(1日) (1日) (1日)

- \bullet Switching from sets to groupoids makes Poly and Span into 3-categories.
- Going to arbitrary types, we get an ∞-category : associativity and unitality up to isomorphisms, themselves satisfying coherence laws, etc.
- We cannot state or prove those infinite **homotopy coherence laws** in HoTT, so we work with **wild categories**.
- Wild categories have the standard definition of categories, but with sets replaced by types.
- No pentagon or triangle isomorphisms required of the associators and unitors.

Not all coherences can be stated in HoTT, but some can be proven meta-theoretically. For instance, we can prove a wild category has cartesian products, and know meta-theoretically that the induced monoidal structure is homotopy coherent.

$\bullet~{\rm Poly}$ is the Kleisli category of a comonad ${\rm HMul}$ on ${\rm Span}.$

- HMul makes Span into a Seely category: a model of intuitionistic linear logic.
- Span is moreover compact closed, with self-dual objects.
- This makes it *-autonomous, thus a full model of classical linear logic.
- Span is monoidal closed, which gives a new proof that Poly is cartesian closed.

- $\bullet~{\rm Poly}$ is the Kleisli category of a comonad ${\rm HMul}$ on ${\rm Span}.$
- $\bullet~\mathrm{HMul}$ makes Span into a Seely category: a model of intuitionistic linear logic.
- Span is moreover compact closed, with self-dual objects.
- This makes it *-autonomous, thus a full model of classical linear logic.
- Span is monoidal closed, which gives a new proof that Poly is cartesian closed.

- Poly is the Kleisli category of a comonad HMul on Span.
- $\bullet~\mathrm{HMul}$ makes Span into a Seely category: a model of intuitionistic linear logic.
- $\bullet~{\rm Span}$ is moreover compact closed, with self-dual objects.
- This makes it *-autonomous, thus a full model of classical linear logic.
- Span is monoidal closed, which gives a new proof that Poly is cartesian closed.
- Poly is the Kleisli category of a comonad HMul on Span.
- $\bullet~\mathrm{HMul}$ makes Span into a Seely category: a model of intuitionistic linear logic.
- $\bullet~{\rm Span}$ is moreover compact closed, with self-dual objects.
- This makes it *-autonomous, thus a full model of classical linear logic.
- Span is monoidal closed, which gives a new proof that Poly is cartesian closed.

- Poly is the Kleisli category of a comonad HMul on Span.
- $\bullet~\mathrm{HMul}$ makes Span into a Seely category: a model of intuitionistic linear logic.
- $\bullet~{\rm Span}$ is moreover compact closed, with self-dual objects.
- This makes it *-autonomous, thus a full model of classical linear logic.
- Span is monoidal closed, which gives a new proof that Poly is cartesian closed.

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1,\ldots,n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of **homotopy fiber**
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1,\ldots,n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\})\simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of homotopy fiber
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1, \ldots, n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms • $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of homotopy fiber
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^n // (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1, \ldots, n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of **homotopy fiber**
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n // (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1,\ldots,n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\})\simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of **homotopy fiber**
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1,\ldots,n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\})\simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of **homotopy fiber**
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{p} \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

$n \longmapsto \{1,\ldots,n\}$

- $B(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the groupoid with one point and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as automorphisms
- $p^{-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\})\simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
- p^{-1} is taken in the sense of **homotopy fiber**
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$
- The type of cyclic lists over X
- Generally, summing over groupoids amounts to quotienting the summand





• $p^{-1}(E) \simeq E$

- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{E:Fin} X^E = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$
- This gives homotopy multisets : our "!" is itself a polynomial.





• $p^{-1}(E) \simeq E$

- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{E:Fin} X^E = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$
- This gives homotopy multisets : our "!" is itself a polynomial.

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \operatorname{Fin}_* \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Fin} \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$



- $p^{-1}(E) \simeq E$
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{E:Fin} X^E = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$
- This gives homotopy multisets : our "!" is itself a polynomial.

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow \operatorname{Fin}_* \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Fin} \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$



- $p^{-1}(E) \simeq E$
- Induced polynomial : $F(X) = \sum_{E:Fin} X^E = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}} X^n /\!\!/ \Sigma_n$
- This gives homotopy multisets : our "!" is itself a polynomial.

$$\{\bullet\} \longleftarrow S^3 \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} S^2 \longrightarrow \{\bullet\}$$

- The map H has fiber h(x), merely equivalent to S¹, the circle.
 F(X) = ∑_{x:S²} X^{h(x)}.
- This locally looks like $S^2 \times X^{S^1}$, but in a globally twisted way.
- If you have any idea what this represents, please reach out !

$$\{ullet\} \longleftarrow S^3 \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} S^2 \longrightarrow \{ullet\}$$

- The map H has fiber h(x), merely equivalent to S¹, the circle.
 F(X) = ∑_{x:S²} X^{h(x)}.
- This locally looks like $S^2 \times X^{S^1}$, but in a globally twisted way.
- If you have any idea what this represents, please reach out !

$$\{ullet\} \longleftarrow S^3 \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} S^2 \longrightarrow \{ullet\}$$

- The map H has fiber h(x), merely equivalent to S^1 , the circle. • $F(X) = \sum_{x:S^2} X^{h(x)}$.
- This locally looks like $S^2 \times X^{S^1}$, but in a globally twisted way.
- If you have any idea what this represents, please reach out !

э

$$\{ullet\} \longleftarrow S^3 \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} S^2 \longrightarrow \{ullet\}$$

- The map H has fiber h(x), merely equivalent to S^1 , the circle.
- $F(X) = \sum_{x:S^2} X^{h(x)}$.
- This locally looks like $S^2 \times X^{S^1}$, but in a globally twisted way.
- If you have any idea what this represents, please reach out !

$$\{ullet\} \longleftarrow S^3 \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} S^2 \longrightarrow \{ullet\}$$

- The map H has fiber h(x), merely equivalent to S^1 , the circle.
- $F(X) = \sum_{x:S^2} X^{h(x)}$.
- This locally looks like $S^2 \times X^{S^1}$, but in a globally twisted way.
- If you have any idea what this represents, please reach out !

We arranged the usual notions of spans and polynomials into a model of linear logic, using ideas from homotopy type theory. What next?

- Differential structure?
- Exploring other "homotopifications" of vector spaces and polynomials: spectra? stable ∞ -categories?
- Comparison with other span-based models of linear logic by Mellies, Clairambault, Forest
- Comparison with generalized species of structure

► 4 Ξ ►