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## The Minimum Enclosing Ball problem

Finding the Minimum Enclosing Ball (or the 1-center) of a finite point set $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ in the metric space $\left(X, d_{X}(.,).\right)$ consists in finding $c \in X$ such that

$$
c=\operatorname{argmin}_{c^{\prime} \in X} \max _{p \in P} d_{X}\left(c^{\prime}, p\right)
$$



Figure : A finite point set $P$ and its minimum enclosing ball $\operatorname{MEB}(P)$

## The approximating minimum enclosing ball problem

In a euclidean setting, this problem is

- well-defined: uniqueness of the center $c^{*}$ and radius $R^{*}$ of the MEB
- computationally intractable in high dimensions.

We fix an $\epsilon>0$ and focus on the Approximate Minimum Enclosing Ball problem of finding an $\epsilon$-approximation $c \in X$ of $\operatorname{MEB}(P)$ such that

$$
d_{X}(c, p) \leq(1+\epsilon) R^{*} \quad \forall p \in P
$$

## The approximating minimum enclosing ball problem: prior

 workApproximate solution in the euclidean case are given by Badoiu and Clarkson's algorithm [Badoiu and Clarkson, 2008]:

- Initialize center $c_{1} \in P$
- Repeat $\left\lfloor 1 / \epsilon^{2}\right\rfloor$ times the following update:

$$
c_{i+1}=c_{i}+\frac{f_{i}-c_{i}}{i+1}
$$

where $f_{i} \in P$ is the farthest point from $c_{i}$.

How to deal with point sets whose underlying geometry is not euclidean?

## The approximating minimum enclosing ball problem: prior

 workThis algorithm has been generalized to

- dually flat manifolds [Nock and Nielsen, 2005]
- Riemannian manifolds [Arnaudon and Nielsen, 2013]

Applying these results to hyperbolic geometry give the existence and uniqueness of $\operatorname{MEB}(P)$, but

- give no explicit bounds on the number of iterations
- assume that we are able to precisely cut geodesics.


## The approximating minimum enclosing ball problem: our contribution

We analyze the case of point sets whose underlying geometry is hyperbolic.
Using a closed-form formula to compute geodesic $\alpha$-midpoints, we obtain

- a intrinsic $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation algorithm to the approximate minimum enclosing ball problem
- a $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ convergence time guarantee
- a one-class clustering algorithm for specific subfamilies of normal distributions using their Fisher information metric


## Model of $d$-dimensional hyperbolic geometry: The Poincaré ball model

The Poincaré ball model ( $\left.\mathbb{B}^{d}, \rho(.,).\right)$ consists in the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{d}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|x\|<1\right\}$ together with the hyperbolic distance

$$
\rho(p, q)=\operatorname{arcosh}\left(1+\frac{2\|p-q\|^{2}}{\left(1-\|p\|^{2}\right)\left(1-\|q\|^{2}\right)}\right), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{B}^{d} .
$$

This distance induces on the metric space $\left(\mathbb{B}^{d}, \rho\right)$ a Riemannian structure.

## Geodesics in the Poincaré ball model

Shorter paths between two points (geodesics) are exactly

- straight (euclidean) lines passing through the origin
- circle arcs orthogonal to the unit sphere


Figure: "Straight" lines in the Poincaré ball model

## Circles in the Poincaré ball model

Circles in the Poincaré ball model

- look like euclidean circles
- but with different center


Figure: Difference between euclidean MEB (in blue) and hyperbolic MEB (in red) for the set of blue points in hyperbolic Poincaré disk (in black). The red cross is the hyperbolic center of the red circle while the pink one is its euclidean center.

## Translations in the Poincaré ball model

$$
T_{p}(x)=\frac{\left(1-\|p\|^{2}\right) x+\left(\|x\|^{2}+2\langle x, p\rangle+1\right) p}{\|p\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}+2\langle x, p\rangle+1}
$$



Figure: Tiling of the hyperbolic plane by squares

## Closed-form formula for computing $\alpha$-midpoints

A point $m$ is the $\alpha$-midpoint $p \#{ }_{\alpha} q$ of two points $p, q$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$ if

- $m$ belongs to the geodesic joining the two points $p, q$
- $m$ verifies

$$
\rho\left(p, m_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha \rho(p, q)
$$

## Closed-form formula for computing $\alpha$-midpoints

A point $m$ is the $\alpha$-midpoint $p \# \alpha q$ of two points $p, q$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$ if

- $m$ belongs to the geodesic joining the two points $p, q$
- $m$ verifies

$$
\rho\left(p, m_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha \rho(p, q)
$$

For the special case $p=(0, \ldots, 0), q=\left(x_{q}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, we have

$$
p \#_{\alpha} q:=\left(x_{\alpha}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

with

$$
x_{\alpha}=\frac{c_{\alpha, q}-1}{c_{\alpha, q}+1}, \quad \text { where } \quad c_{\alpha, q}:=e^{\alpha \rho(p, q)}\left(=\left(\frac{1+x_{q}}{1-x_{q}}\right)^{\alpha}\right) .
$$

## Closed-form formula for computing $\alpha$-midpoints

Noting that

$$
p \#_{\alpha} q=T_{p}\left(T_{-p}(p) \#_{\alpha} T_{-p}(q)\right) \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{B}^{d}
$$

we obtain

- a closed-form formula for computing $p \#{ }_{\alpha} q$
- how to compute $p \#{ }_{\alpha} q$ in linear time $O(d)$
- that these transformations are exact.


## $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation of an hyperbolic enclosing ball of fixed radius

For a fixed radius $r>R^{*}$, we can find $c \in \mathbb{B}^{d}$ such that

$$
\rho(c, P) \leq(1+\epsilon) r \quad \forall p \in P
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Algorithm 1: }(1+\epsilon) \text {-approximation of } \operatorname{EHB}(P, r) \\
& \hline 1: c_{0}:=p_{1} \\
& \text { 2: } t:=0 \\
& \text { 3: while } \exists p \in P \text { such that } p \notin B\left(c_{t},(1+\epsilon) r\right) \text { do } \\
& \text { 4: let } p \in P \text { be such a point } \\
& \text { 5: } \quad \alpha:=\frac{\rho\left(c_{t}, p\right)-r}{\rho\left(c_{t}, p\right)} \\
& \text { 6: } \quad c_{t+1}:=c_{t} \# \#_{\alpha} p \\
& \text { 7: } \mathrm{t}:=\mathrm{t}+1 \\
& \text { 8: end while } \\
& \text { 9: return } c_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea of the proof

By the hyperbolic law of cosines:
$\operatorname{ch}\left(\rho_{t}\right) \geq \operatorname{ch}(h) \operatorname{ch}\left(\rho_{t+1}\right)$
$\operatorname{ch}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{ch}(h)^{T} \geq \operatorname{ch}(\epsilon r)^{T}$.


Figure: Update of $c_{t}$

## $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation of an hyperbolic enclosing ball of fixed radius

The $\operatorname{EHB}(P, r)$ algorithm is a $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$-time algorithm which returns

- the center of a hyperbolic enclosing ball with radius $(1+\epsilon) r$
- in less than $4 / \epsilon^{2}$ iterations.


## $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation of an hyperbolic enclosing ball of fixed radius

The $\operatorname{EHB}(P, r)$ algorithm is a $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}\right)$-time algorithm which returns

- the center of a hyperbolic enclosing ball with radius $(1+\epsilon) r$
- in less than $4 / \epsilon^{2}$ iterations.

Our error with the true MEHB center $c^{*}$ verifies

$$
\rho\left(c, c^{*}\right) \leq \operatorname{arcosh}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ch}((1+\epsilon) r)}{\operatorname{ch}\left(R^{*}\right)}\right)
$$

## $\left(1+\epsilon+\epsilon^{2} / 4\right)$-approximation of $\operatorname{MEHB}(P)$

In fact, as $R^{*}$ is unknown in general, the EHB algorithm returns for any $r$ :

- an (1+ $1+$-approximation of $\operatorname{EHB}(P)$ if $r \geq R^{*}$
- the fact that $r<R^{*}$ if the result obtained after more than $4 / \epsilon^{2}$ iterations is not good enough.


## $\left(1+\epsilon+\epsilon^{2} / 4\right)$-approximation of $\operatorname{MEHB}(P)$

In fact, as $R^{*}$ is unknown in general, the EHB algorithm returns for any $r$ :

- an (1+ $(1)$-approximation of $\operatorname{EHB}(P)$ if $r \geq R^{*}$
- the fact that $r<R^{*}$ if the result obtained after more than $4 / \epsilon^{2}$ iterations is not good enough.
This suggests to implement a dichotomic search in order to compute an approximation of the minimal hyperbolic enclosing ball. We obtain
- a $O\left(1+\epsilon+\epsilon^{2} / 4\right)$-approximation of $\operatorname{MEHB}(P)$
- in $O\left(\frac{N}{\epsilon^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ iterations.


## $\left(1+\epsilon+\epsilon^{2} / 4\right)$-approximation of $\operatorname{MEHB}(P)$ algorithm

Algorithm 2: $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation of $\operatorname{MEHB}(P)$

```
    1: \(c:=p_{1}\)
    2: \(r_{\text {max }}:=\rho(c, P) ; r_{\text {min }}=\frac{r_{\text {max }}}{2} ; t_{\text {max }}:=+\infty\)
```

    3: \(r:=r_{\text {max }}\);
    4: repeat
    5: \(\quad c_{\text {temp }}:=\operatorname{Alg} 1\left(P, r, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\), interrupt if \(t>t_{\text {max }}\) in Alg1
    6: if call of Alg1 has been interrupted then
    7: \(\quad r_{\text {min }}:=r\)
    8: else
    9: \(\quad r_{\text {max }}:=r ; c:=c_{\text {temp }}\)
    10: end if
11: $\quad d r:=\frac{r_{\text {max }}-r_{\text {min }}}{2} ; r:=r_{\text {min }}+d r$;
$t_{\text {max }}:=\frac{\log (\operatorname{ch}(1+\epsilon / 2) r)-\log \left(\operatorname{ch}\left(r_{\text {min }}\right)\right)}{\log (\operatorname{ch}(r \epsilon / 2))}$
12: until $2 d r<r_{\text {min }} \frac{\epsilon}{2}$
13: return $c$

## Experimental results

- The number of iterations does not depend on $d$.


Figure: Number of $\alpha$-midpoint calculations as a function of $\epsilon$ in logarithmic scale for different values of $d$.

## Experimental results

- The running time is approximately $O\left(\frac{d n}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ (vertical translation in logarithmic scale).


Figure : execution time as a function of $\epsilon$ in logarithmic scale for different values of $d$.

## Applications

Hyperbolic geometry arises when considering certain subfamilies of multivariate normal distributions.
For instance, the following subfamilies

- $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} \mathrm{I}_{n}\right)$ of $n$-variate normal distributions with scalar covariance matrix ( $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix),
- $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}^{2}\right)\right)$ of $n$-variate normal distributions with diagonal covariance matrix
- $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{0}, \Sigma\right)$ of $d$-variate normal distributions with fixed mean $\mu_{0}$ and arbitrary positive definite covariance matrix $\Sigma$
are statistical manifolds whose Fisher information metric is hyperbolic.


## Applications

In particular, our results apply to the two-dimensional location-scale subfamily:


Figure: MEHB (D) of probability density functions (left) in the ( $\mu, \sigma$ ) superior half-plane (right). $P=\{A, B, C\}$.

## Openings

Plugging the EHB and MEHB algorithms to compute clusters centers in the approximation algorithm by [Gonzalez, 1985], we obtain approximate algorithms for

- covering in hyperbolic spaces
- the $k$-center problem in $O\left(\frac{k N d}{\epsilon^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$

```
Algorithm 3: Gonzalez farthest-first traversal approximation algo-
rithm
    1: \(C_{1}:=P, \quad i=0\)
    2: while \(i \leq k\) do
    3: \(\quad \forall j \leq i\), compute \(c_{j}:=\operatorname{MEB}\left(C_{j}\right)\)
    4: \(\quad \forall j \leq i\), set \(f_{j}:=\operatorname{argmax}_{p \in P} \rho\left(p, c_{j}\right)\)
    5: find \(f \in\left\{f_{j}\right\}\) whose distance to its cluster center is maximal
    6: \(\quad\) create cluster \(C_{i}\) containing \(f\)
    7: add to \(C_{i}\) all points whose distance to \(f\) is inferior to the
        distance to their cluster center
    8: increment i
    9: end while
10: return \(\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i}\)
```


## Openings

The computation of the minimum enclosing hyperbolic ball does not necessarily involve all points $p \in P$.

- Core-sets in hyperbolic geometry
- the MEHB obtained by the algorithm is an $\epsilon$-core-set
- differences with the euclidean setting: core-sets are of size at most $\lfloor 1 / \epsilon\rfloor$ [Badoiu and Clarkson, 2008]


## Thank you!
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