

## M2 BIM - Lecture 1

### Folding RNA *in silico*

Yann Ponty

Bioinformatics Team  
École Polytechnique/CNRS/INRIA AMIB – France

<http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~pontry/index.php?page=bim2015>

December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015

## Outline

### 1 Introduction

- Dynamic programming 101
- Why RNA?
- RNA folding
- RNA Structure(s)
- Some representations of RNA structure

### 2 Some flavours of folding prediction

- Thermodynamics vs Kinetics
- Dynamic programming: Reminder

### 3 Free-energy minimization

- Nussinov-style RNA folding
- Turner energy model
- MFold/Unafold
- Performances and the comparative approach
- Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword ...

... or how to make a million bucks by giving change parsimoniously!!

**Problem:** You have access to unlimited amount of **1, 20** and **50** cents coins.  
A client prefers to travel light, i.e. to **minimize the #coins**.  
How to give **N** cents back in change without losing a customer?

**Strategy #1:** Start with *heaviest* coins, and then complete/fill-up with coins of *decreasing* value.

$$21 = ??$$

$$55$$

$$60$$

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword ...

... or how to make a million bucks by giving change parsimoniously!!

**Problem:** You have access to unlimited amount of **1, 20** and **50** cents coins.  
A client prefers to travel light, i.e. to **minimize the #coins**.  
How to give **N** cents back in change without losing a customer?

**Strategy #1:** Start with *heaviest* coins, and then complete/fill-up with coins of *decreasing* value.

$$21 = \text{€}2 + \text{€}0.5$$

$$55??$$

$$60$$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword ...

... or how to make a million bucks by giving change parsimoniously!!

**Problem:** You have access to unlimited amount of **1**, **20** and **50** cents coins.  
A client prefers to travel light, i.e. to **minimize the #coins**.  
How to give **N** cents back in change without losing a customer?

**Strategy #1:** Start with *heaviest* coins, and then complete/fill-up with coins of *decreasing* value.

$$21 = \text{€}20 + \text{€}1$$

$$55 = \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1$$

$$60??$$

## Foreword ...

... or how to make a million bucks by giving change parsimoniously!!

**Problem:** You have access to unlimited amount of **1**, **20** and **50** cents coins.  
A client prefers to travel light, i.e. to **minimize the #coins**.  
How to give **N** cents back in change without losing a customer?

**Strategy #1:** Start with *heaviest* coins, and then complete/fill-up with coins of *decreasing* value.

$$21 = \text{€}20 + \text{€}1$$

$$55 = \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1$$

$$60 = \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 ??$$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword ...

... or how to make a million bucks by giving change parsimoniously!!

**Problem:** You have access to unlimited amount of **1**, **20** and **50** cents coins.  
A client prefers to travel light, i.e. to **minimize the #coins**.  
How to give **N** cents back in change without losing a customer?

**Strategy #1:** Start with *heaviest* coins, and then complete/fill-up with coins of *decreasing* value.

$$21 = \text{€}20 + \text{€}1$$

$$55 = \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1 + \text{€}1$$

$$60 = \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 ??$$

$$= \text{€}50 + \text{€}20 + \text{€}1 !$$

Problem *a priori* (?) non-solvable using such a *greedy* approach, as a (simpler) problem is already NP-complete (thus Efficient solution  $\Rightarrow$  1M\$).

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword

**Strategy #2:** Brute force enumeration  $\rightarrow$  #Coins<sup>N</sup> (Ouch!)

**Strategy #3:** The following recurrence gives the minimal number of coins:

$$\text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N) = \text{Min} \begin{cases} \text{€}1 & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N-1) \\ \text{€}20 & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N-20) \\ \text{€}50 & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N-50) \end{cases}$$

With some memory (**N** intermediate computations), the minimum number of coins can be obtained after **N** $\times$ #Coins operations. An actual set of coins can be reconstructing by **tracing back** the choices performed at each stage, leading to the minimum.

**Remark:** We still haven't won the million, as **N** has **exponential value compared to the length of its encoding**, so the algorithm does not qualify as **efficient** (i.e. polynomial).

Still, this approach is much more efficient than a brute-force enumeration:  
 $\Rightarrow$  Dynamic programming.

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Foreword

**Strategy #2:** Brute force enumeration  $\rightarrow \#Coins^N$  (Ouch!)

**Strategy #3:** The following recurrence gives the minimal number of coins:

$$\text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N) = \text{Min} \begin{cases} \text{1 coin} & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N - 1) \\ \text{2 coins} & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N - 20) \\ \text{50 coins} & \rightarrow 1 + \text{Min}\#\text{Coins}(N - 50) \end{cases}$$

With some memory ( $N$  intermediate computations), the minimum number of coins can be obtained after  $N \times \#Coins$  operations. An actual set of coins can be reconstructed by **tracing back** the choices performed at each stage, leading to the minimum.


**Remark:** We still haven't won the million, as  $N$  has **exponential value compared to the length of its encoding**, so the algorithm does not qualify as *efficient* (i.e. polynomial).

Still, this approach is much more efficient than a brute-force enumeration:  
 $\Rightarrow$  Dynamic programming.


Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology



Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding


## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology



## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

Yann Ponty


M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology




Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology





Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Fundamental dogma of molecular biology



Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding



A gene big enough to specify an enzyme would be too big to replicate accurately without the aid of an enzyme of the very kind that it is trying to specify. So the system *apparently cannot get started*.

[...] This is the RNA World. To see how plausible it is, we need to look at why proteins are good at being enzymes but bad at being replicators; at why DNA is good at replicating but bad at being an enzyme; and finally why RNA might just be good enough at both roles to break out of the Catch-22.

**R. Dawkins.** *The Ancestor's Tale: A Pilgrimage to the Dawn of Evolution*




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## RNA folding

RNA is **single-stranded** and **folds on itself**, establishing **complex 3D structures** that are **essential to its function(s)**.

RNA structures are stabilized by **base-pairs**, each mediated by **hydrogen bonds**.



A gene big enough to specify an enzyme would be too big to replicate accurately without the aid of an enzyme of the very kind that it is trying to specify. So the system *apparently cannot get started*.

[...] This is the RNA World. To see how plausible it is, we need to look at why proteins are good at being enzymes but bad at being replicators; at why DNA is good at replicating but bad at being an enzyme; and finally why RNA might just be good enough at both roles to break out of the Catch-22.

**R. Dawkins.** *The Ancestor's Tale: A Pilgrimage to the Dawn of Evolution*

Yann Ponty


M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## RNA Structure(s)


Three<sup>1</sup> levels of representation:

UUAGGGGGCACAGC  
GGUGGGGUUGCCUC  
CGUACCCAUCCCGA  
CACCGAAAGAUAGCC  
CACCAGCGUUCGGGG  
GAGUACUGGAGUGGG  
CGAGCCUCUGGGAAA  
CCCGGUUCGCCGCCA  
CC

Primary structure



Secondary structure



Tertiary structure

Source: 5s rRNA (PDB 1K73:B)


<sup>1</sup>Well, mostly...

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## RNA Structure(s)

Three<sup>1</sup> levels of representation:



<sup>1</sup>Well, mostly...


Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Ignored by secondary structure

### ► Non-canonical base-pairs

Any base-pair **other than** {(A-U), (C-G), (G-U)}  
Or interacting on non-standard edge ( $\neq$  WC/WC-Cis) [LW01].



Canonique CG pair(WC/WC-Cis)



Non-canonical CG pair (Sugar/WC-Trans)

### ► Pseudoknots (PKs)




Pseudoknotted structure of group I ribozyme (PDBID: 1Y0Q:A)

Considering PKs may lead to better predictions, **but**:

- Some PK conformations are simply unfeasible;
- Folding *in silico* with general pseudoknots is NP-complete [LP00];

Still, folding on restricted classes of conformations seems promising [CDR<sup>+</sup>04].

## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule



Outer-planar graphs

Hamiltonian-path,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , 2-connected\*


### Supporting intuitions

Different representations

Common combinatorial structure


\* Additional steric constraints

## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule



Outer-planar graphs

Hamiltonian-path,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , 2-connected\*



Dot plots  
Adjacency matrices\*

### Supporting intuitions

Different representations

Common combinatorial structure

\* Additional steric constraints


Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

Yann Ponty


M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule




Outer-planar graphs

Hamiltonian-path,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , 2-connected\*



Dot plots  
Adjacency matrices\*



Non-crossing arc diagrams\*  
Adjacency matrices\*


### Supporting intuitions

Different representations  
Common combinatorial structure

\* Additional steric constraints


Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule




Outer-planar graphs

Hamiltonian-path,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , 2-connected\*



Dot plots  
Adjacency matrices\*



Non-crossing arc diagrams\*  
Adjacency matrices\*


### Supporting intuitions

Different representations  
Common combinatorial structure


\* Additional steric constraints

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule




Motzkin words\*




Outer-planar graphs

Hamiltonian-path,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , 2-connected\*



Dot plots  
Adjacency matrices\*



Non-crossing arc-annotated sequences\*


### Supporting intuitions

Different representations  
Common combinatorial structure


\* Additional steric constraints

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding


## Various representations for a versatile biomolecule




Motzkin words\*




Positive 1D meanders\* over  $S = \{+1, -1, 0\}$



Non-crossing arc-annotated sequences\*



Dot plots  
Adjacency matrices\*



Non-crossing arc diagrams\*

### Supporting intuitions

Different representations  
Common combinatorial structure

\* Additional steric constraints

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Outline

### 1 Introduction

- Dynamic programming 101
- Why RNA?
- RNA folding
- RNA Structure(s)
- Some representations of RNA structure

### 2 Some flavours of folding prediction

- Thermodynamics vs Kinetics
- Dynamic programming: Reminder

### 3 Free-energy minimization


- Nussinov-style RNA folding
- Turner energy model
- MFold/Unafold
- Performances and the comparative approach
- Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Thermodynamics *aparté*

At the nanoscopic scale, RNA structure *fluctuates* ( $\approx$  Markov process).



Convergence towards a *stationary distribution* at the *Boltzmann equilibrium*, where the probability of a conformation only depends on its *free-energy*.


**Corollary:** Initial conformation does not matter.

**Questions:** For a given **conformation space** and **free-energy** model:

- Determine most stable (Minimum Free-Energy) structure at equilibrium;
- Compute average properties of Boltzmann ensemble;

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN+09]).

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN+09]).

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




$T = 2h$

But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN<sup>+09</sup>]).

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




$T = 5h$

But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN<sup>+09</sup>]).

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




$T = 10h$

But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN<sup>+09</sup>]).

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>




$T \rightarrow \infty$

But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN<sup>+09</sup>]).

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Away from equilibrium

**Transcription:** RNA synthesized, supposedly without structure<sup>2</sup>



But most mRNAs are degrade before 7h (Org.: Souris [SSN<sup>+</sup>09]).

- A. Determine most stable (Minimum Free-Energy) structure at equilibrium;
- B. Compute average properties of Boltzmann ensemble;
- C. **Determine most likely structure at finite time  $T$ .**  
(c.f. H. Isambert through simulation, NP-complete deterministically [MTSC09])

<sup>2</sup>Except for co-transcriptional folding...

## Algorithmic details

**Dynamic programming scheme** defines a space of (sub)problems and a recurrence that relates the score of a problem to that of smaller problems.

Given a scheme, two steps :

- **Matrix filling:** Computation and tabulation of best scores (Computed from smaller problems to larger ones).
- **Traceback:** Reconstruct best solution from contributing subproblems.

Complexity of algorithm depends on:

- **Cardinality** of sub-problem space
- **Number of alternatives** considers at each step (#Terms in recurrence)

### Smith&Waterman:

- $i: 1 \rightarrow n+1 \Rightarrow \Theta(n)$
  - $j: 1 \rightarrow m+1 \Rightarrow \Theta(m)$
  - 3 operations at each step
- $\Rightarrow \Theta(m.n)$  time/memory

$$W(i, 0) = 0$$

$$W(0, j) = 0$$

$$W(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

## Dynamic programming: General principle

**Dynamic programming** = General optimization technique.

**Prerequisite:** Optimal solution for problem  $P$  can be derived from solutions to strict sub-problems of  $P$ .

### Bioinformatics :

Discrete solution space (alignments, structures...)

+ Additively-inherited objective function (cost, log-odd score, energy...)

⇒ Efficient dynamic programming scheme

### Example: Local Alignment(Smith/Waterman)

$$W(i, 0) = 0$$

$$W(0, j) = 0$$

$$W(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$W(i, 0) = 0$$

$$W(0, j) = 0$$

$$W(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

	A	C	A	C	A	C	T	A
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0							
G	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							
T	0							

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

	A	C	A	C	A	C	T	A
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	2						
G	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

	A	C	A	C	A	C	T	A
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	2	1					
G	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

	A	C	A	C	A	C	T	A
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	2	1	2	1	2		
G	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$


	A	C	A	C	A	C	T	A
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	2	1	2	1	2	1	
G	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							
C	0							
A	0							

## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$




## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$




## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$




## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$


$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA  
**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$


$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA  
**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$




## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA  
**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Best alignment




## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA  
**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Best alignment



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA


**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$W(i,0) = 0$$

$$W(0,j) = 0$$

$$W(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

**Best alignment**



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA


**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$W(i,0) = 0$$

$$W(0,j) = 0$$

$$W(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

**Best alignment**



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA


**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$W(i,0) = 0$$

$$W(0,j) = 0$$

$$W(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

**Best alignment**



## Complete example

**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA


**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$W(i,0) = 0$$

$$W(0,j) = 0$$

$$W(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{cases}$$

**Best alignment**



## Complete example


**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

**Best alignment**

A C A C - A  
A C A C T A



## Complete example


**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

**Best alignment**

C A C A C - A  
C A C A C T A



## Complete example


**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

**Best alignment**

G C A C A C - A  
- C A C A C T A



## Complete example


**Example:** Local alignment of AGCACACA and ACACACTA

**Costs:** Match  $m_{i,j} = +2$ , Insertion/Déletion  $p_i = p_j = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} W(i, 0) &= 0 \\ W(0, j) &= 0 \\ W(i, j) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W(i-1, j-1) + m_{i,j} \\ W(i-1, j) + p_i \\ W(i, j-1) + p_d \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

**Best alignment**

A G C A C A C - A  
A - C A C A C T A



Necessary properties:

- **Correctness:**  $\forall$  sub-problem, the computed value must indeed maximize the objective function .

Proofs usually inductive, and quite technical, but very systematic.

Desirable properties of DP schemes:

- **Completeness** of space of solutions generated by decomposition.  
Algorithmic tricks, by *cutting branches*, may violate this property.
  - **Unambiguity:** Each solution is generated at most once.
- $\Rightarrow$  Under these properties, one can enumerate solution space.

Yann Ponty


M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Folding by minimizing free-energy

**Problem A:** Determine Minimum Free-Energy structure (MFE).

**Ab initio folding prediction =**

Predict RNA structure from its sequence  $\omega$  only.



- **Conformations:** Set  $S_\omega$  of secondary structures compatible (w.r.t. base-pairing constraints) with primary structure  $\omega$  .
- **Free-Energy:** Function  $E_{\omega,S}$  ( $\text{KCal.mol}^{-1}$ ), additive on motifs occurring in any sequence/conformation couple  $(\omega, S)$  .
- **Native structure:** Functional conformation of the biomolecule.

**Remarks:**

- Not necessarily unique (Kinetics, or bi-stable structures);
- In presence of PKs  $\rightarrow$  Ambiguous: Which is the native conformation?

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

### 1 Introduction

- Dynamic programming 101
- Why RNA?
- RNA folding
- RNA Structure(s)
- Some representations of RNA structure

### 2 Some flavours of folding prediction

- Thermodynamics vs Kinetics
- Dynamic programming: Reminder

### 3 Free-energy minimization

- Nussinov-style RNA folding
- Turner energy model
- MFold/Unafold
- Performances and the comparative approach
- Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Nussinov/Jacobson model

### Nussinov/Jacobson energy model (NJ)

**Base-pair maximization** (with a twist):


- Additive model on independently contributing base-pairs;
- Canonical base-pairs only: Watson/Crick (A/U,C/G) and Wobble (G/U)

$$\Rightarrow E_{\omega,S} = -\# \text{Paires}(S)$$

Folding in NJ model  $\Leftrightarrow$  Base-pair (weight) maximization

**Example:**

UUUUUCCCUAAAAGG



**Variant:** Weight each pair with  $-\# \text{Hydrogen bonds}$

$$\Delta G(G \equiv C) = -3 \quad \Delta G(A = U) = -2 \quad \Delta G(G - U) = -1$$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Nussinov/Jacobson model

### Nussinov/Jacobson energy model (NJ)

#### Base-pair maximization (with a twist):


- Additive model on independently contributing base-pairs;
- Canonical base-pairs only: Watson/Crick (A/U,C/G) and Wobble (G/U)

$$\Rightarrow E_{\omega,S} = -\# \text{Pairs}(S)$$

Folding in NJ model  $\Leftrightarrow$  Base-pair (weight) maximization

Example:

UUUUCCCUAAAAGG




Variant: Weight each pair with  $-\#$ Hydrogen bonds

$$\Delta G(G \equiv C) = -3 \quad \Delta G(A = U) = -2 \quad \Delta G(G - U) = -1$$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding


## Nussinov/Jacobson DP scheme



$$N_{i,t} = 0, \quad \forall t \in [i, i + \theta]$$

$$N_{i,j} = \min \begin{cases} N_{i+1,j} & i \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{k=i+\theta+1}^j \Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j} & i \text{ paired with } k \end{cases}$$

## Nussinov/Jacobson DP scheme



$$N_{i,t} = 0, \quad \forall t \in [i, i + \theta]$$

$$N_{i,j} = \min \begin{cases} N_{i+1,j} & i \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{k=i+\theta+1}^j \Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j} & i \text{ paired with } k \end{cases}$$


**Correctness.** Goal = Show that MFE over interval  $[i, j]$  is indeed found in  $N_{i,j}$  after completing the computation. Proceed by induction:

- Assume that property holds for any  $[i', j']$  such that  $j' - i' < n$ .
- Consider  $[i, j], j - i = n$ . Let  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} :=$  Base-pairs of best struct. on  $[i, j]$ . Then first position  $i$  in  $\text{MFE}_{i,j}$  is either:
  - **Unpaired:**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \text{MFE}_{i+1,j}$   $\rightarrow$  free-energy =  $N_{i+1,j}$
  - **Paired to  $k$ :**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \{(i, k)\} \cup \text{MFE}_{i+1,k-1} \cup \text{MFE}_{k+1,j}$   
(Indeed, any BP between  $[i+1, k-1]$  and  $[k+1, j]$  would cross  $(i, k)$ )  
 $\rightarrow$  free-energy =  $\Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j}$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Nussinov/Jacobson DP scheme



$$N_{i,t} = 0, \quad \forall t \in [i, i + \theta]$$

$$N_{i,j} = \min \begin{cases} N_{i+1,j} & i \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{k=i+\theta+1}^j \Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j} & i \text{ paired with } k \end{cases}$$


**Correctness.** Goal = Show that MFE over interval  $[i, j]$  is indeed found in  $N_{i,j}$  after completing the computation. Proceed by induction:

- Assume that property holds for any  $[i', j']$  such that  $j' - i' < n$ .
- Consider  $[i, j], j - i = n$ . Let  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} :=$  Base-pairs of best struct. on  $[i, j]$ . Then first position  $i$  in  $\text{MFE}_{i,j}$  is either:
  - **Unpaired:**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \text{MFE}_{i+1,j}$   $\rightarrow$  free-energy =  $N_{i+1,j}$
  - **Paired to  $k$ :**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \{(i, k)\} \cup \text{MFE}_{i+1,k-1} \cup \text{MFE}_{k+1,j}$   
(Indeed, any BP between  $[i+1, k-1]$  and  $[k+1, j]$  would cross  $(i, k)$ )  
 $\rightarrow$  free-energy =  $\Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j}$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Nussinov/Jacobson DP scheme



$$N_{i,t} = 0, \quad \forall t \in [i, i + \theta]$$

$$N_{i,j} = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} N_{i+1,j} & i \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{k=i+\theta+1}^j \Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j} & i \text{ paired with } k \end{array} \right.$$

**Correctness.** Goal = Show that MFE over interval  $[i, j]$  is indeed found in  $N_{i,j}$  after completing the computation. Proceed by induction:

- ▶ Assume that property holds for any  $[i', j']$  such that  $j' - i' < n$ .
- ▶ Consider  $[i, j], j - i = n$ . Let  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} :=$  Base-pairs of best struct. on  $[i, j]$ . Then first position  $i$  in  $\text{MFE}_{i,j}$  is either:
  - ▶ **Unpaired:**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \text{MFE}_{i+1,j} \rightarrow \text{free-energy} = N_{i+1,j}$
  - ▶ **Paired to k:**  $\text{MFE}_{i,j} = \{(i, k)\} \cup \text{MFE}_{i+1,k-1} \cup \text{MFE}_{k+1,j}$ .  
(Indeed, any BP between  $[i+1, k-1]$  and  $[k+1, j]$  would cross  $(i, k)$ )  
 $\rightarrow \text{free-energy} = \Delta G_{i,k} + N_{i+1,k-1} + N_{k+1,j}$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7	7
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	6	7	7	7
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	7	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	3
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	5	5
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	7
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0









Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding









Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding









## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
C	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
G	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	








## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
C	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
G	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
C	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
G	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
C	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
G	i		=	i	-	i	-	i+1	-	j	+ i	-	k	-	j		0	
A	i		=	i	-													

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A			
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A			
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A			
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	7				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A			
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.	
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10		
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7					
U	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7						
C	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2									
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		j	+	i	k	j	$\geq \theta$		0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10		
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2								
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		j	+	i	k	j	$\geq \theta$		0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10		
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	5				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2							
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		j	+	i	k	j	$\geq \theta$		0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	.			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10		
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7				
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	5			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2						
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		j	+	i	k	j	$\geq \theta$		0	0	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	$\geq \theta$	k	j		
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	$\geq \theta$	k	j		
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	$\geq \theta$	k	j		
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i						j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	$\geq \theta$	k	j		
C																		
G																		
A																		0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	)	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.	
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
C	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
G	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0

## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	)	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.	
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
C	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
G	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0

Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding



Yann Ponty M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding



## Nussinov/Jacobson



	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	)	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
C	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
G	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0
A	i		=	i	i+1	j	i		=	i	i		j	$\geq \theta$	i	k	j	0



## Nussinov/Jacobson

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
	(	(	(	.	.	.	)	.	.	.	.	.	.	)	)	.		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2</td									

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A	
C	(	(	(	.	.	.	).	.	(	.	.	.	.	)	)	)	)	.	
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14		
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11		
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10		
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7					
U	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	7						
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7	7	8	10	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A	i		=	i	—	i+1	—	j	+	i	—	k	—	j	+		0		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A	
C	(	(	(	.	.	.	).	.	(	.	.	.	.	)	)	)	)	.	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14	
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11		
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10		
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8				
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	8	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A	i		=	i	—	i+1	—	j	+	i	—	k	—	j	+		0		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A	
C	(	(	(	.	.	.	).	.	(	.	.	.	.	)	)	)	)	.	
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14		
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11		
G	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10		
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	8	8			
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	8	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A	i		=	i	—	i+1	—	j	+	i	—	k	—	j	+		0		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0


	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A	
C	(	(	(	.	.	.	).	.	(	.	.	.	.	)	)	)	)	.	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10			
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	6	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8			
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	7					
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	7					
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	8	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	7	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A	i		=	i	—	i+1	—	j	+	i	—	k	—	j	+		0		
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	C	G	G	A	U	A	C	U	U	C	U	U	A	G	A	C	G	A
C	(	(	(	.	.	.	)	.	(	(	.	.	.	)	)	)	)	.
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	9	9	11	14	14
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	6	6	6	6	7	9	11	11	11
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	8	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	7	7	8	10	10
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	10
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	8
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	6	7	7	7	7
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	7	7
C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	i	i	i+1	j	=	i	i+1	j	j	i	i	k	j	+ $\geq \theta$	i	j	0	0
C	i	j																
G	i	j																
A	i	j																

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...



Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...



Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...



Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...



Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...

Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.



Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Turner energy model

Based on **unambiguous** decomposition of 2<sup>ary</sup> structure into loops:

- ▶ Internal loops
- ▶ Bulges
- ▶ Terminal loops
- ▶ Multi loops
- ▶ Stackings



Free-energy  $\Delta G$  of a loop depend on bases, assymmetry, dangles ...


Experimentally determined  
+ Interpolated for larger loops.

Improved results by taking stacking into account.

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## MFE DP equations




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding


Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## MFE DP equations




## MFE DP equations



Yann Ponty


M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## MFE DP equations



## MFold Unafold

- ▶  $E_H(i, j)$ : Energy of terminal loop enclosed by  $(i, j)$  pair
- ▶  $E_B(i, j)$ : Energy of bulge or internal loop enclosed by  $(i, j)$  pair
- ▶  $E_S(i, j)$ : Energy of stacking  $(i, j)/(i+1, j-1)$
- ▶ Penalty for multi loop (a), and occurrences of unpaired base (b) and helix (c) in multi loops.



### DP recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i, j) \\ E_S(i, j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1, j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i', j'} (E_B(i, i', j', j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i', j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k (\mathcal{M}_{i+1, k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k, j-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min (\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} &= \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in overall<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} &= \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in overall<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} &= \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in overall<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} &= \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} &= \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in overall<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\mathcal{M}'_{i,j} = \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j} \leftarrow = \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} \leftarrow = \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ **Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.**

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ **Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$**

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in **overall**<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\mathcal{M}'_{i,j} = \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} = \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ **Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.**

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ **Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$**

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in **overall**<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Remontée (Backtracking)

Backtracking to reconstruct MFE structure:

$$\mathcal{M}'_{i,j} = \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_H(i,j) \\ E_S(i,j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i+1,j-1} \\ \text{Min}_{i',j'}(E_B(i,i',j',j) + \mathcal{M}'_{i',j'}) \\ a + c + \text{Min}_k(\mathcal{M}_{i+1,k-1} + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j-1}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \text{Min}_k \left\{ \min(\mathcal{M}_{i,k-1}, b(k-1)) + \mathcal{M}^1_{k,j} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^1_{i,j} = \text{Min}_k \left\{ b + \mathcal{M}^1_{i,j-1}, c + \mathcal{M}'_{i,j} \right\}$$

### Complexity:

For each min,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  potential contributors

⇒ **Worst-case complexity in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for naive backtrack.**

Keep best contributor for each Min ⇒ **Backtracking in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$**

⇒ UnaFold [MZ08]/RNAFold [HFS<sup>+</sup>94] compute the MFE for the Turner model in **overall**<sup>3</sup> time/space complexities in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

<sup>3</sup>Using a trick/restriction for internal loops...

## Two main approaches

### Definition (Ab initio folding)

Starting from sequence, find conformation that minimizes free-energy.

#### Advantages:

- ▶ Mechanical nature allows the (in)validation of models
- ▶ Reasonable complexity  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  time/space
- ▶ Exhaustive nature

#### Limitations:

- ▶ Hard to include PKs
- ▶ Highly dependent on energy model
- ▶ No cooperativity
- ▶ Limited performances

### Definition (Comparative approach)

Starting from homologous sequences, postulate common structure and find best possible tradeoff between folding & alignment.

#### Avantages :

- ▶ Better performances
- ▶ (Limited) cooperativity
- ▶ Self-improving

#### Limitations

- ▶ Easily unreasonable complexity
- ▶ Non exhaustive search
- ▶ Captures transient structures

## Two main approaches

### Definition (Ab initio folding)

Starting from sequence, find conformation that minimizes free-energy.

#### Advantages:

- Mechanical nature allows the (in)validation of models
- Reasonable complexity  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)/\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  time/space
- Exhaustive nature

#### Limitations:

- Hard to include PKs
- Highly dependent on energy model
- No cooperativity
- Limited performances

### Definition (Comparative approach)

Starting from homologous sequences, postulate common structure and find best possible tradeoff between folding & alignment.

#### Avantages :

- Better performances
- (Limited) cooperativity
- Self-improving


#### Limitations

- Easily unreasonable complexity
- Non exhaustive search
- Captures *transient* structures


Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Performances




## Performances



Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Performances



Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

**Goal:** From sequence to all-atom/coarse grain 3D models!!!


- ▶ Comparative models + Molecular dynamics: RNA2D3D [SYKB07]
- ▶ Pipeline MC-Fold/MC-sym [PM08]



## Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

**Goal:** From sequence to all-atom/coarse grain 3D models!!!

- ▶ Comparative models + Molecular dynamics: RNA2D3D [SYKB07]
- ▶ Pipeline MC-Fold/MC-sym [PM08]




Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## Towards a 3D ab-initio prediction

**Goal:** From sequence to all-atom/coarse grain 3D models!!!

- ▶ Comparative models + Molecular dynamics: RNA2D3D [SYKB07]
- ▶ Pipeline MC-Fold/MC-sym [PM08]



Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## References I

- A. Condon, B. Davy, B. Rastegari, S. Zhao, and F. Tarrant. Classifying RNA pseudoknotted structures. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 320(1):35–50, 2004.
- K. Doshi, J. J. Cannone, C. Cobough, and R. R. Gutell. Evaluation of the suitability of free-energy minimization using nearest-neighbor energy parameters for rna secondary structure prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1):105, 2004.
- P. Gardner and R. Giegerich. A comprehensive comparison of comparative rna structure prediction approaches. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1):140, 2004.
- I. L. Hofacker, W. Fontana, P. F. Stadler, L. S. Bonhoeffer, M. Tacker, and P. Schuster. Fast folding and comparison of RNA secondary structures. *Monatshefte für Chemie / Chemical Monthly*, 125(2):167–188, 1994.
- R. B. Lyngsø and C. N. S. Pedersen. RNA pseudoknot prediction in energy-based models. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 7(3-4):409–427, 2000.
- N. Leontis and E. Westhof. Geometric nomenclature and classification of RNA base pairs. *RNA*, 7:499–512, 2001.
- D.H. Mathews, J. Sabina, M. Zuker, and D.H. Turner. Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure. *J Mol Biol*, 288:911–940, 1999.
- Jan Manuch, Chris Thachuk, Ladislav Stacho, and Anne Condon. Np-completeness of the direct energy barrier problem without pseudoknots. In Russell Deaton and Akira Suyama, editors, *DNA Computing and Molecular Programming*, volume 5877 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 106–115. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.

Yann Ponty

M2 BIM - Lecture 1 - RNA folding

## References II

 N. R. Markham and M. Zuker.  
*Bioinformatics*, chapter UNAFold, pages 3–31.  
Springer, 2008.

 M. Parisien and F. Major.  
The MC-Fold and MC-Sym pipeline infers RNA structure from sequence data.  
*Nature*, 452(7183):51–55, 2008.

 Lioudmila V Sharova, Alexei A Sharov, Timur Nedorezov, Yulan Piao, Nabeebi Shaik, and Minoru S H Ko.  
Database for mrna half-life of 19 977 genes obtained by dna microarray analysis of pluripotent and differentiating mouse embryonic stem cells.  
*DNA Res*, 16(1):45–58, Feb 2009.

 B. A. Shapiro, Y. G. Yingling, W. Kasprzak, and E. Bindewald.  
Bridging the gap in rna structure prediction.  
*Curr Opin Struct Biol*, 17(2):157–165, Apr 2007.

Exercise: Parsing/folding RNAs (Python)

[http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~ponty/enseignement/  
2015-2016-BIM-TP1.pdf](http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~ponty/enseignement/2015-2016-BIM-TP1.pdf)