CAMBRIAN HOPF ALGEBRAS
GRÉGORY CHATEL AND VINCENT PILAUD

Abstract. Cambrian trees are oriented and labeled trees which fulfill local conditions around each node generalizing the conditions for classical binary search trees. Based on the bijective correspondence between signed permutations and leveled Cambrian trees, we define the Cambrian Hopf algebra generalizing J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco’s algebra on binary trees. We describe combinatorially the products and coproducts of both the Cambrian algebra and its dual in terms of operations on Cambrian trees. We also define multiplicative bases of the Cambrian algebra and study structural and combinatorial properties of their indecomposable elements. Finally, we extend to the Cambrian setting different algebras connected to binary trees, in particular S. Law and N. Reading’s Baxter Hopf algebra on quadrangulations and S. Giraudo’s equivalent Hopf algebra on twin binary trees, and F. Chapoton’s Hopf algebra on all faces of the associahedron.
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INTRODUCTION

The background of this paper is the fascinating interplay between the combinatorial, geometric and algebraic structures of permutations, binary trees and binary sequences (see Table 1):

- **Combinatorially**, the descent map from permutations to binary sequences factors via binary trees through the BST insertion and the canopy map. These maps define lattice homomorphisms from the weak order via the Tamari lattice to the boolean lattice.

- **Geometrically**, the permutahedron is contained in Loday’s associahedron [Lod04] which is in turn contained in the parallelepiped generated by the simple roots. These polytopes are just obtained by deleting inequalities from the facet description of the permutahedron. See Figure 1.

- **Algebraically**, these maps translate to Hopf algebra inclusions from M. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer’s algebra on permutations [MR95] via J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco’s algebra on binary trees [LR98] to L. Solomon’s descent algebra [Sol76].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combinatorics</th>
<th>Permutations</th>
<th>Binary trees</th>
<th>Binary sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometry</td>
<td>Permutahedron</td>
<td>Loday’s</td>
<td>Parallelepiped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conv($\mathfrak{S}_n$)</td>
<td>associahedron [Lod04]</td>
<td>gen. by $e_{i+1} - e_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>Malvenuto-Reutenauer</td>
<td>Loday-Ronco</td>
<td>Solomon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Related combinatorial, geometric and algebraic structures.

These structures and their connections have been partially extended in several directions in particular to the Cambrian lattices of N. Reading [Rea06, RS09] and their polytopal realizations by C. Hohlweg, C. Lange, and H. Thomas [HL07, HLT11], to the graph associahedra of M. Carr and S. Devadoss [CD06, Dev09], the nested complexes and their realizations as generalized associahedra by A. Postnikov [Pos09] (see also [PRW08, FS05, Zel06]), or to the $m$-Tamari lattices of F. Bergeron and L.-F. Préville-Ratelle [BPR12] (see also [BMFPR11, BMCPR13]) and the Hopf algebras on these $m$-structures recently constructed by J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon [NT14, Nov14].
This paper explores combinatorial and algebraic aspects of Hopf algebras related to the type $A$ Cambrian lattices. N. Reading provides in [Rea06] a procedure to map a signed permutation of $S_n$ into a triangulation of a certain convex $(n + 3)$-gon. The dual trees of these triangulations naturally extend rooted binary trees and were introduced and studied as “spines” [LP13] or “mixed cobinary trees” [IO13]. We prefer here the term “Cambrian trees” in reference to N. Reading’s work. The map $\kappa$ from signed permutations to Cambrian trees is known to encode combinatorial and geometric properties of the Cambrian structures: the Cambrian lattice is the quotient of the weak order under the fibers of $\kappa$, each maximal cone of the Cambrian fan is the incidence cone of a Cambrian tree $T$ and is refined by the braid cones of the permutations in the fiber $\kappa^{-1}(T)$, etc.

In this paper, we use this map $\kappa$ for algebraic purposes. In the first part, we introduce the Cambrian Hopf algebra $\text{Camb}$ as a subalgebra of the Hopf algebra $FQSym^\pm$ on signed permutations, and the dual Cambrian algebra $\text{Camb}^*$ as a quotient algebra of the dual Hopf algebra $FQSym^*\pm$. Their bases are indexed by all Cambrian trees. Our approach extends that of F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon [HNT05] to construct J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco’s Hopf algebra on binary trees [LR98] as a subalgebra of C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer’s Hopf algebra on permutations [MR95]. We also use this map $\kappa$ to describe both the product and coproduct in the algebras $\text{Camb}$ and $\text{Camb}^*$ in terms of simple combinatorial operations on Cambrian trees. From the combinatorial description of the product in $\text{Camb}$, we derive multiplicative bases of the Cambrian algebra $\text{Camb}$ and study the structural and enumerative properties of their indecomposable elements.

In the second part of this paper, we study Baxter-Cambrian structures, extending in the Cambrian setting the constructions of S. Law and N. Reading on quadrangulations [LR12] and that of S. Giraudo on twin binary trees [Gir12]. We define Baxter-Cambrian lattices as quotients of the weak order under the intersections of two opposite Cambrian congruences. Their elements can be labeled by pairs of twin Cambrian trees, i.e. Cambrian trees with opposite signatures whose union forms an acyclic graph. We study in detail the number of such pairs of Cambrian trees for arbitrary signatures. Following [LR12], we also observe that the Minkowski sums of opposite associahedra of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange [HL07] provide polytopal realizations of the Baxter-Cambrian lattices. Finally, we introduce the Baxter-Cambrian Hopf algebra $\text{BaxCamb}$ as a subalgebra of the Hopf algebra $FQSym^\pm$ on signed permutations and its dual $\text{BaxCamb}^*$ as a quotient algebra of the dual Hopf algebra $FQSym^*\pm$. Their bases are indexed by pairs of twin Cambrian trees, and it is also possible to describe both the product and coproduct in the algebras $\text{BaxCamb}$ and $\text{BaxCamb}^*$ in terms of simple combinatorial operations on Cambrian trees. We also extend our results to arbitrary tuples of Cambrian trees, resulting to the Cambrian tuple algebra.

The last part of the paper is devoted to Schröder-Cambrian structures. We consider Schröder-Cambrian trees which correspond to all faces of all C. Hohlweg and C. Lange’s associahedra [HL07]. We define the Schröder-Cambrian lattice as a quotient of the weak order on ordered partitions defined in [KLN’01], thus extending N. Reading’s type $A$ Cambrian lattices [Rea06] to all faces of the associahedron. Finally, we consider the Schröder-Cambrian Hopf algebra $\text{SchrCamb}$, generalizing the algebra defined by F. Chapoton in [Cha00].
1.1. Cambrian trees

In this section, we recall the definition and properties of “Cambrian trees”, generalizing standard binary search trees. They were introduced independently by K. Igusa and J. Ostroff in [IO13] as “mixed cobinary trees” in the context of cluster algebras and quiver representation theory and by C. Lange and V. Pilaud in [LP13] as “spines” (i.e. oriented and labeled dual trees) of triangulations of polygons to revisit the multiple realizations of the associahedron of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange [HL07]. Here, we use the term “Cambrian trees” to underline their connection with the type A Cambrian lattices of N. Reading [Rea06]. Although motivating and underlying this paper, these interpretations are not needed for the combinatorial and algebraic constructions presented here, and we only refer to them when they help to get geometric intuition on our statements.

1.1.1. Cambrian trees and increasing trees. Consider a directed tree \( T \) on a vertex set \( V \) and a vertex \( v \in V \). We call children (resp. parents) of \( v \) the sources of the incoming arcs (resp. the targets of the outgoing arcs) at \( v \) and descendants (resp. ancestor) subtrees of \( v \) the subtrees attached to them. The main characters of our paper are the following trees, which generalize standard binary search trees. Our definition is adapted from [IO13, LP13].

**Definition 1.** A Cambrian tree is a directed tree \( T \) with vertex set \( V \) endowed with a bijective vertex labeling \( p : V \to [n] \) such that for each vertex \( v \in V \),

(i) \( v \) has either one parent and two children (its descendant subtrees are called left and right subtrees) or one child and two parents (its ancestor subtrees are called left and right subtrees);

(ii) all labels are smaller (resp. larger) than \( p(v) \) in the left (resp. right) subtree of \( v \).

The signature of \( T \) is the \( n \)-tuple \( \varepsilon(T) \in \pm^n \) defined by \( \varepsilon(T)_p(v) = -1 \) if \( v \) has two children and \( \varepsilon(T)_p(v) = +1 \) if \( v \) has two parents. Denote by \( \text{Camb}(\varepsilon) \) the set of Cambrian trees with signature \( \varepsilon \), by \( \text{Camb}(n) = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \pm^n} \text{Camb}(\varepsilon) \) the set of all Cambrian trees on \( n \) vertices, and by \( \text{Camb} := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Camb}(n) \) the set of all Cambrian trees.

**Definition 2.** An increasing tree is a directed tree \( T \) with vertex set \( V \) endowed with a bijective vertex labeling \( q : V \to [n] \) such that for each \( v \in V \),

\( v \to w \) in \( T \) implies \( q(v) < q(w) \).

**Definition 3.** A leveled Cambrian tree is a directed tree \( T \) with vertex set \( V \) endowed with two bijective vertex labelings \( p, q : V \to [n] \) which respectively define a Cambrian and an increasing tree.

In other words, a leveled Cambrian tree is a Cambrian tree endowed with a linear extension of its transitive closure. Figure 2 provides examples of a Cambrian tree (left), an increasing tree (middle), and a leveled Cambrian tree (right). All edges are oriented bottom-up. Throughout the paper, we represent leveled Cambrian trees on an \((n \times n)\)-grid as follows (see Figure 2):

(i) each vertex \( v \) appears at position \((p(v), q(v))\);

*Figure 2. A Cambrian tree (left), an increasing tree (middle), and a leveled Cambrian tree (right).*
(ii) negative vertices (with one parent and two children) are represented by $\ominus$, while positive vertices (with one child and two parents) are represented by $\oplus$;

(iii) we sometimes draw a vertical red wall below the negative vertices and above the positive vertices to mark the separation between the left and right subtrees of each vertex.

Remark 4 (Spines of triangulations). Cambrian trees can be seen as spines (i.e. oriented and labeled dual trees) of triangulations of labeled polygons. Namely, consider an $(n+2)$-gon $P^\varepsilon$ with vertices labeled by $0, \ldots, n+1$ from left to right, and where vertex $i$ is located above the diagonal $[0, n+1]$ if $\varepsilon_i = +$ and below it if $\varepsilon_i = -$. We associate to a triangulation $\sigma$ of $P^\varepsilon$ its dual tree, with a node labeled by $j$ for each triangle $ijk$ of $\sigma$ where $i < j < k$, and an edge between any two adjacent triangles oriented from the triangle below to the triangle above their common diagonal. See Figure 3 and refer to [LP13] for details. Throughout the paper, we denote by $T^*$ the triangulation of $P^\varepsilon$ dual to the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $T$, and we use this interpretation to provide the reader with some geometric intuition of definitions and results of this paper.

![Figure 3. Cambrian trees (left) and triangulations (right) are dual to each other (middle).](image)

**Proposition 5 ([LP13, IO13]).** For any signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, the number of $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is the Catalan number $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. Therefore, $|\text{Camb}(n)| = 2^n C_n$. See [OEIS, A151374].

There are several ways to prove this statement (to our knowledge, the last two are original):

(i) From the description of [LP13] given in the previous remark, the number of $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is the number of triangulations of a convex $(n+2)$-gon, counted by the Catalan number.

(ii) There are natural bijections between $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees and binary trees. One simple way is to reorient all edges of a Cambrian tree towards an arbitrary leaf to get a binary tree, but the inverse map is more difficult to explain, see [IO13].

(iii) Cambrian trees are in bijection with certain pattern avoiding signed permutations, see Section 1.1.4. In Proposition 15, we show that the shape of the generating tree for these permutations is independent of $\varepsilon$.

(iv) In Lemma 38, we give an explicit bijection between $\varepsilon$- and $\varepsilon'$-Cambrian trees, where $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon'$ only differ by swapping two consecutive signs or switching the sign of 1 (or that of $n$).

1.1.2. Cambrian correspondence. We represent graphically a permutation $\tau \in S_n$ by the $(n \times n)$-table, with rows labeled by positions from bottom to top and columns labeled by values from left to right, and with a dot in row $i$ and column $\tau(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$. (This unusual choice of orientation is necessary to fit later with the existing constructions of [LR98, HNT05].)

A signed permutation is a permutation table where each dot receives a $+$ or $-$ sign, see the top left corner of Figure 4. We could equivalently think of a permutation where the positions or the values receive a sign, but it will be useful later to switch the signature from positions to values. The $p$-signature (resp. $v$-signature) of a signed permutation $\tau$ is the sequence $\varepsilon_p(\tau)$ (resp. $\varepsilon_v(\tau)$) of signs of $\tau$ ordered by positions from bottom to top (resp. by values from left to
right). For a signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_p(\varepsilon)$ (resp. by $\mathcal{S}_v(\varepsilon)$) the set of signed permutations $\tau$ with p-signature $\varepsilon_p(\tau) = \varepsilon$ (resp. with v-signature $\varepsilon_v(\tau) = \varepsilon$). Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_\pm = \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon \in \pm^n} \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon = \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon \in \pm^n} \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$ the set of all signed permutations.

In concrete examples, we underline negative positions/values while we overline positive positions/values: for example, we write $2751346$ for the signed permutation represented on the top left corner of Figure 4, where $\tau = [2, 7, 5, 1, 3, 4, 6]$, $\varepsilon_p = -+-++++$ and $\varepsilon_v = --+++++$.

Following [LP13], we now present an algorithm to construct a leveled $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $\Theta(\tau)$ from a signed permutation $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$. Figure 4 illustrates this algorithm on the permutation $2751346$. As a preprocessing, we represent the table of $\tau$ (with signed dots in positions $(\tau(i), i)$ for $i \in [n]$) and draw a vertical wall below the negative vertices and above the positive vertices. We then sweep the table from bottom to top (thus reading the permutation $\tau$ from left to right) as follows.

The procedure starts with an incoming strand in between any two consecutive negative values. A negative dot $\ominus$ connects the two strands immediately to its left and immediately to its right to form a unique outgoing strand. A positive dot $\oplus$ separates the only visible strand (not hidden by a wall) into two outgoing strands. The procedure finishes with an outgoing strand in between any two consecutive positive values. See Figure 4.

**Proposition 6 ([LP13]).** The map $\Theta$ is a bijection from signed permutations to leveled Cambrian trees.

**Remark 7 (Cambrian correspondence).** The Robinson-Schensted correspondence is a bijection between permutations and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape. Schensted’s algorithm [Sch61] gives an efficient algorithmic way to create the pair of tableaux $(P(\tau), Q(\tau))$ corresponding to a given permutation $\tau$ by successive insertions: the first tableau $P(\tau)$ (insertion tableau) remembers the inserted elements of $\tau$ while the second tableau $Q(\tau)$ (recording tableau) remembers the order in which the elements have been inserted. F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon defined in [HNT05] a similar correspondence, called sylvester correspondence, between permutations and pairs of labeled trees of the same shape. In the sylvester correspondence, the first tree (insertion tree) is a standard binary search tree and the second tree (recording tree) is an increasing binary tree. The Cambrian correspondence can as well be thought of as a correspondence between signed permutations and pairs of trees of the same shape, where the first
tree (insertion tree) is Cambrian and the second tree (recording tree) is increasing. This analogy motivates the following definition.

**Definition 8.** Given a signed permutation \( \tau \in S^\varepsilon \), its \( P \)-symbol is the insertion Cambrian tree \( P(\tau) \) defined by \( \Theta(\tau) \) and its \( Q \)-symbol is the recording increasing tree \( Q(\tau) \) defined by \( \Theta(\tau) \).

The following characterization of the fibers of \( P \) is immediate from the description of the algorithm. We denote by \( L(G) \) the set of linear extensions of a directed graph \( G \).

**Proposition 9.** The signed permutations \( \tau \in S^\varepsilon \) such that \( P(\tau) = T \) are precisely the linear extensions of \( (\text{the transitive closure of}) \) \( T \).

**Example 10.** When \( \varepsilon = (+)^n \), the procedure constructs a binary search tree \( P(\tau) \) pointing up by successive insertions from the left. Equivalently, \( P(\tau) \) can be constructed as the increasing tree \( IT(\pi) \) of \( \tau \). In this case, the increasing tree \( IT(\pi) \) of a permutation \( \pi = \pi' \pi'' \) is defined inductively by grafting the increasing tree \( IT(\pi') \) on the left and the increasing tree \( IT(\pi'') \) on the right of the bottom root labeled by 1. When \( \varepsilon = (-)^n \), this procedure constructs bottom-up a binary search tree \( P(\tau) \) pointing down. This tree would be obtained by successive binary search tree insertions from the right. Equivalently, \( P(\tau) \) can be constructed as the decreasing tree of \( \tau \). Here, the decreasing tree \( DT(\pi) \) of a permutation \( \pi = \pi' n \pi'' \) is defined inductively by grafting the decreasing tree \( DT(\pi') \) on the left and the decreasing tree \( DT(\pi'') \) on the right of the top root labeled by \( n \).

These observations are illustrated on Figure 5.

**Remark 11** (Cambrian correspondence on triangulations). N. Reading [Rea06] first described the map \( P \) on the triangulations of the polygon \( P^\varepsilon \) (remember Remark 4). Namely, the triangulation \( P(\tau)^\ast \) is the union of the paths \( \pi_0, \ldots, \pi_n \) where \( \pi_i \) is the path between vertices 0 and \( n + 1 \) of \( P^\varepsilon \) passing through the vertices in the symmetric difference \( \varepsilon^{-1}(\Delta \tau([i])) \).

1.1.3. **Cambrian congruence.** Following the definition of the sylvester congruence in [HNT05], we now characterize by a congruence relation the signed permutations \( \tau \in S^\varepsilon \) which have the same \( P \)-symbol \( P(\tau) \). This Cambrian congruence goes back to the original definition of N. Reading [Rea06].

**Definition 12** ([Rea06]). For a signature \( \varepsilon \in \pm^n \), the \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation on \( S^\varepsilon \) defined as the transitive closure of the rewriting rules

\[
U_{ac}V_bW \equiv_{\varepsilon} U_{ca}V_bW \quad \text{if } a < b < c \text{ and } \varepsilon_b = -,
\]

\[
U_bV_{ac}W \equiv_{\varepsilon} U_bV_{ca}W \quad \text{if } a < b < c \text{ and } \varepsilon_b = +,
\]

where \( a, b, c \) are elements of \( [n] \) while \( U, V, W \) are words on \( [n] \). The Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation on all signed permutations \( S_{\pm} \) obtained as the union of all \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian congruences:

\[
\equiv := \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \pm^n} \equiv_{\varepsilon}.
\]
Proposition 13. Two signed permutations \( \tau, \tau' \in S^\varepsilon \) are \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian congruent if and only if they have the same P-symbol:

\[
\tau \equiv_\varepsilon \tau' \iff P(\tau) = P(\tau').
\]

Proof. It boils down to observe that two consecutive vertices \( a, c \) in a linear extension \( \tau \) of a \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree \( T \) can be switched while preserving a linear extension \( \tau' \) of \( T \) precisely when they belong to distinct subtrees of a vertex \( b \) of \( T \). It follows that the vertices \( a, c \) lie on either sides of \( b \) so that we have \( a < b < c \). If \( \varepsilon_b = - \), then \( a, c \) appear before \( b \) and \( \tau = UacVbW \) can be switched to \( \tau' = UcaVbW \), while if \( \varepsilon_b = + \), then \( a, c \) appear after \( b \) and \( \tau = UbVcaW \) can be switched to \( \tau' = UUbVacW \).

\( \square \)

1.1.4. Cambrian classes and generating trees. We now focus on the equivalence classes of the Cambrian congruence. Remember that the (right) weak order on \( S^\varepsilon \) is defined as the inclusion order of coinversions, where a coinversion of \( \tau \in S^\varepsilon \) is a pair of values \( i < j \) such that \( \tau^{-1}(i) > \tau^{-1}(j) \) (no matter the signs on \( \tau \)). In this paper, we always work with the right weak order, that we simply call weak order for brevity. The following statement is due to N. Reading [Rea06].

Proposition 14 ([Rea06]). All \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian classes are intervals of the weak order on \( S^\varepsilon \).

Therefore, the \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees are in bijection with the weak order maximal permutations of \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian classes. Using Definition 12 and Proposition 13, one can prove that these permutations are precisely the permutations in \( S^\varepsilon \) that avoid the signed patterns \( b-ac \) with \( \varepsilon_b = + \) and \( ac-b \) with \( \varepsilon_b = - \) (for brevity, we write \( b-ac \) and \( ac-b \)). It enables us to construct a generating tree \( T_\varepsilon \) for these permutations. This tree has \( n \) levels, and the nodes at level \( m \) are labeled by the permutations of \( \{m\} \) whose values are signed by the restriction of \( \varepsilon \) to \( \{m\} \) and avoiding the two patterns \( b-ac \) and \( ac-b \). The parent of a permutation in \( T_\varepsilon \) is obtained by deleting its maximal value. See Figure 6 for examples of such trees. The following statement provides another proof that the number of \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees on \( n \) nodes is always the Catalan number \( C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \), as well as an explicit bijection between \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon' \)-Cambrian trees for distinct signatures \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \pm^n \).

![Figure 6](image-url). The generating trees \( T_\varepsilon \) for the signatures \( \varepsilon = -+-+- \) (top) and \( \varepsilon = +++++ \) (bottom). Free gaps are marked with a blue dot.
Proposition 15. For any signatures $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \pm^n$, the generating trees $T_{\varepsilon}$ and $T_{\varepsilon'}$ are isomorphic.

For the proof, we consider the possible positions of $m + 1$ in the children of a permutation $\tau$ at level $m$ in $T_\varepsilon$. Index by $\{0, \ldots, m\}$ from left to right the gaps before the first letter, between two consecutive letters, and after the last letter of $\tau$. We call free gaps the gaps in $\{0, \ldots, m\}$ where placing $m + 1$ does not create a pattern $ac-b$ or $b-ac$. They are marked with a blue point in Figure 6.

Lemma 16. A permutation with $k$ free gaps has $k$ children in $T_\varepsilon$, whose numbers of free gaps range from 2 to $k + 1$.

Proof. Let $\tau$ be a permutation at level $m$ in $T_\varepsilon$ with $k$ free gaps. Let $\sigma$ be the child of $\tau$ in $T_\varepsilon$ obtained by inserting $m + 1$ at a free gap $j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. If $\varepsilon_{m+1}$ is negative (resp. positive), then the free gaps of $\sigma$ are 0, $j + 1$ and the free gaps of $\tau$ after $j$ (resp. before $j + 1$). The result follows.

Proof of Proposition 15. Order the children of a permutation of level $m$ in $T_\varepsilon$ from left to right by increasing number of free gaps as in Figure 6. Lemma 16 shows that the shape of the resulting tree is independent of $\varepsilon$. It ensures that the trees $T_\varepsilon$ and $T_{\varepsilon'}$ are isomorphic and provides an explicit bijection between the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees and $\varepsilon'$-Cambrian trees.

1.1.5. Rotations and Cambrian lattices. We now present rotations in Cambrian trees, a local operation which transforms a $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree into another $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree where a single oriented cut differs (see Proposition 18).

Definition 17. Let $i \to j$ be an edge in a Cambrian tree $T$, with $i < j$. Let $L$ denote the left subtree of $i$ and $B$ denote the remaining incoming subtree of $i$, and similarly, let $R$ denote the right subtree of $j$ and $A$ denote the remaining outgoing subtree of $j$. Let $T'$ be the oriented tree obtained from $T$ just reversing the orientation of $i \to j$ and attaching the subtrees $L$ and $A$ to $i$ and the subtrees $B$ and $R$ to $j$. The transformation from $T$ to $T'$ is called rotation of the edge $i \to j$. See Figure 7.

![Figure 7](image-url)  

**Figure 7.** Rotations in Cambrian trees: the tree $T$ (top) is transformed into the tree $T'$ (bottom) by rotation of the edge $i \to j$. The four cases correspond to the possible signs of $i$ and $j$.

The following proposition states that rotations are compatible with Cambrian trees and their edge cuts. An edge cut in a Cambrian tree $T$ is the ordered partition $(X \parallel Y)$ of the vertices of $T$ into the set $X$ of vertices in the source set and the set $Y$ of vertices in the target set of an oriented edge of $T$.

Proposition 18 ([LP13]). The result $T'$ of the rotation of an edge $i \to j$ in a $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $T$ is a $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree. Moreover, $T'$ is the unique $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree with the same edge cuts as $T$, except the cut defined by the edge $i \to j$.
Proposition 20 ([Rea06]). The transitive closure of the increasing rotation graph on Camb(ε) is a lattice, called ε-Cambrian lattice. The map \( P : \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon} \to \text{Camb}(\varepsilon) \) defines a lattice homomorphism from the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon} \) to the \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian lattice on Camb(ε).

Note that the minimal (resp. maximal) \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree is an oriented path from 1 to \( n \) (resp. from \( n \) to 1) with an additional incoming leaf at each negative vertex and an additional outgoing leaf at each positive vertex. See Figure 8.

Example 21. When \( \varepsilon = (-)^n \), the Cambrian lattice is the classical Tamari lattice [MHPS12]. It can be defined equivalently by left-to-right rotations in planar binary trees, by slope increasing flips in triangulations of \( P^{(-)}n \), or as the quotient of the weak order by the sylvester congruence.

1.1.6. Canopy. The canopy of a binary tree was already used by J.-L. Loday in [LR98, Lod04] but the name was coined by X. Viennot [Vie07]. It was then extended to Cambrian trees (or spines) in [LP13] to define a surjection from the associahedron \( \text{Asso}(\varepsilon) \) to the parallelepiped \( \text{Para}(n) \) generated by the simple roots. The main observation is that the vertices \( i \) and \( i + 1 \) are always comparable in a Cambrian tree (otherwise, they would be in distinct subtrees of a vertex \( j \) which should then lie in between \( i \) and \( i + 1 \)).

Definition 22. The canopy of a Cambrian tree \( T \) is the sequence \( \text{can}(T) \in \pm^{n-1} \) defined by \( \text{can}(T)_i = -1 \) if \( i \) is above \( i + 1 \) in \( T \) and \( \text{can}(T)_i = +1 \) if \( i \) is below \( i + 1 \) in \( T \).

For example, the canopy of the Cambrian tree of Figure 2 (left) is \(-+++-+\). The canopy of \( T \) behaves nicely with the linear extensions of \( T \) and with the Cambrian lattice. To state this, we define for a permutation \( \tau \in \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon} \) the sequence \( \text{rec}(\tau) \in \pm^{n-1} \), where \( \text{rec}(T)_i = -1 \) if \( \tau^{-1}(i) > \tau^{-1}(i + 1) \) and \( \text{rec}(T)_i = +1 \) otherwise. In other words, \( \text{rec}(\tau) \) records the recoils of the permutation \( \tau \), i.e. the descents of the inverse permutation of \( \tau \).

Proposition 23. The maps \( P, \text{can}, \) and \( \text{rec} \) define the following commutative diagram of lattice homomorphisms:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon} & \xrightarrow{\text{rec}} & \pm^{n-1} \\
P \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{can} \\
\text{Camb}(\varepsilon) & & \end{array}
\]

The fibers of these maps on the weak orders of \( \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \) for \( \varepsilon = --\cdots- \) and \( \varepsilon = +++++ \) are represented in Figure 9.

1.1.7. Geometric realizations. We close this section with geometric interpretations of the Cambrian trees, Cambrian classes, Cambrian correspondence, and Cambrian lattices. We denote by \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) the canonical basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and by \( \mathbb{H} \) the hyperplane of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) orthogonal to \( \sum e_i \). Define the incidence cone \( C(T) \) and the braid cone \( C^o(T) \) of a directed tree \( T \) as

\[
C(T) := \{e_i - e_j \mid \text{for all } i \to j \text{ in } T\} \quad \text{and} \quad C^o(T) := \{x \in \mathbb{H} \mid x_i \leq x_j \text{ for all } i \to j \text{ in } T\}.
\]

These two cones lie in the space \( \mathbb{H} \) and are polar to each other. For a permutation \( \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n \), we denote by \( C(\tau) \) and \( C^o(\tau) \) the incidence and braid cone of the chain \( \tau(1) \to \cdots \to \tau(n) \). Finally, for a sign vector \( \chi \in \pm^{n-1} \), we denote by \( C(\tau) \) and \( C^o(\tau) \) the incidence and braid cone of the oriented path \( 1 \to \cdots \to n \), where \( i \to i + 1 \) if \( \chi_i = +1 \) and \( i \leftarrow i + 1 \) if \( \chi_i = -1 \).
Figure 8. The $\varepsilon$-Cambrian lattices on $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees, for the signatures $\varepsilon = +$ (left) and $\varepsilon = -$ (right).
These cones (together with all their faces) form complete simplicial fans in $\mathbb{H}$:

(i) the cones $C^c(\tau)$, for all permutations $\tau \in S_n$, form the braid fan, which is the normal fan of the permutohedron $\text{Perm}(n) = \text{conv} \{ \sum_{i \in [n]} \tau(i)e_i \mid \tau \in S_n \}$;

(ii) the cones $C^c(T)$, for all $c$-Cambrian trees $T$, form the $c$-Cambrian fan, which is the normal fan of the $c$-associahedron $\text{Asso}(c)$ of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange [HL07] (see also [LP13]);

(iii) the cones $C^c(\chi)$, for all sign vectors $\chi \in \pm^{n-1}$, form the boolean fan, which is the normal fan of the parallelepiped $\text{Para}(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{H} \mid i(2n+1-i) \leq 2 \sum_{j \leq i} x_j \leq i(i+1) \text{ for all } i \in [n] \}$.

In fact, $\text{Asso}(c)$ is obtained by deleting certain inequalities in the facet description of $\text{Perm}(n)$, and similarly, $\text{Para}(n)$ is obtained by deleting facets of $\text{Asso}(c)$. In particular, we have the geometric inclusions $\text{Perm}(n) \subset \text{Asso}(c) \subset \text{Para}(n)$. See Figure 10 for 3-dimensional examples.

The incidence and braid cones also characterize the maps $P$, $\text{can}$, and $\text{rec}$ as follows

$$T = P(\tau) \iff C(T) \subseteq C(\tau) \iff C^c(T) \supseteq C^c(\tau),$$

$$\chi = \text{can}(T) \iff C(\chi) \subseteq C(T) \iff C^c(\chi) \supseteq C^c(T),$$

$$\chi = \text{rec}(\tau) \iff C(\chi) \subseteq C(\tau) \iff C^c(\chi) \supseteq C^c(\tau).$$

In particular, Cambrian classes are formed by all permutations whose braid cone belong to the same Cambrian cone. Finally, the 1-skeleta of the permutohedron $\text{Perm}(n)$, associahedron $\text{Asso}(c)$ and parallelepiped $\text{Para}(n)$, oriented in the direction $(n, \ldots, 1) - (1, \ldots, n) = \sum_{i \in [n]} (n+1-2i)e_i$ are the Hasse diagrams of the weak order, the Cambrian lattice and the boolean lattice respectively. These geometric properties originally motivated the definition of Cambrian trees in [LP13].
1.2. Cambrian Hopf Algebra

In this section, we introduce the Cambrian Hopf algebra $\mathrm{Camb}$ as a subalgebra of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{FQSym}$, on signed permutations, and the dual Cambrian algebra $\mathrm{Camb}^*$ as a quotient algebra of the dual Hopf algebra $\mathcal{FQSym}^*$. We describe both the product and coproduct in these algebras in terms of combinatorial operations on Cambrian trees. These results extend the approach of F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon [HNT05] to construct the algebra of J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco on binary trees [LR98] as a subalgebra of the algebra of C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer on permutations [MR95].

We immediately mention that a different generalization was studied by N. Reading in [Rea05]. His idea was to construct a subalgebra of C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer’s algebra $\mathcal{FQSym}$ using equivalent classes of a congruence relation defined as the union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \equiv_{\varepsilon_n}$ of $\varepsilon_n$-Cambrian relation for one fixed signature $\varepsilon$. In order to obtain a valid Hopf algebra, the choice of $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has to satisfy certain compatibility relations: N. Reading characterizes the “translational” (resp. “insertional”) families $\equiv_n$ of lattice congruences on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ for which the sums over the elements of the congruence classes of $(\equiv_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ form the basis of a subalgebra (resp. subcoalgebra) of $\mathcal{FQSym}$. These conditions make the choice of $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ rather constrained. In contrast, by constructing a subalgebra of $\mathcal{FQSym}_\pm$ rather than $\mathcal{FQSym}$, we consider simultaneously all Cambrian relations for all signatures. In particular, our Cambrian algebra contains all Hopf algebras of [Rea05] as subalgebras.

1.2.1. Signed shuffle and convolution products. For $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(n,n')} := \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+n'} \mid (1) < \cdots < \tau(n) \text{ and } (n+1) < \cdots < (n+n') \}$$

denote the set of permutations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+n'}$ with at most one descent, at position $n$. The shifted concatenation $\tau \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau'$, the shifted shuffle product $\tau \amalg \tau'$, and the convolution product $\tau \star \tau'$ of two (unsigned) permutations $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_{n'}$ are classically defined by

$$\tau \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau' := [\tau(1), \ldots, \tau(n), \tau'(1) + n, \ldots, \tau'(n' + n)] \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+n'},$$

for example,

$$12 \cdot \cdot \cdot 231 = \{12453, 14253, 14523, 14532, 41253, 41523, 41532, 45123, 45132, 45312\}.$$  

$$12 \star 231 = \{12453, 13452, 14352, 15342, 23451, 24351, 25341, 34251, 35241, 35241, 45231\}.$$

The operations can be visualized graphically on the tables of the permutations $\tau, \tau'$. Remember that the table of $\tau$ contains a dot at coordinates $(\tau(i), i)$ for each $i \in [n]$. The table of the shifted concatenation $\tau \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau'$ contains the table of $\tau$ as the bottom left block and the table of $\tau'$ as the top right block. The tables in the shifted shuffle product $\tau \amalg \tau'$ (resp. in the convolution product $\tau \star \tau'$) are then obtained by shuffling the rows (resp. columns) of the table of $\tau \cdot \cdot \cdot \tau'$. In particular, we obtain the table of $\tau$ if we erase all dots in the $n'$ rightmost columns (resp. topmost rows) of a table in the shifted shuffle product $\tau \amalg \tau'$ (resp. in the convolution product $\tau \star \tau'$). See Figure 11.

![Figure 11](image-url)

Figure 11. The table of the shifted concatenation $\tau \cdot \cdots \cdot \tau'$ (left) has two blocks containing the tables of the permutations $\tau = 12$ and $\tau' = 231$. Elements of the shifted shuffle product $\tau \amalg \tau'$ (middle) and of the convolution product $\tau \star \tau'$ (right) are obtained by shuffling respectively the rows and columns of the table of $\tau \cdot \cdots \cdot \tau'$. 

These definitions extend to signed permutations. The **signed shifted shuffle product** \( \tau \shuffle \tau' \) is defined as the shifted product of the permutations where signs travel with their values, while the **signed convolution product** \( \tau \ast \tau' \) is defined as the convolution product of the permutations where signs stay at their positions. For example,

\[
\text{T}_2 \shuffle \tau \text{ T}_2 = \{ \text{T}_2 \tau \text{ T}_2, \text{ T}_2 \tau \text{ T}_2, \text{T}_2 \tau \text{ T}_2, \text{T}_2 \tau \text{ T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2 \},
\]

\[
\text{T}_2 \ast \tau \text{T}_2 = \{ \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2, \text{T}_2 \text{T}_2 \tau \text{T}_2 \}.
\]

Note that the shifted shuffle with signed values, while the convolution is compatible with signed positions in the sense that

\[ S \tau \ast S \tau' = S \tau \star \tau'. \]

In any case, both \( \shuffle \) and \( \ast \) are compatible with the distribution of positive and negative signs, i.e.

\[ | \tau \shuffle \tau'|_\pm = | \tau|_\pm + | \tau'|_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad | \tau \ast \tau'|_\pm = | \tau|_\pm + | \tau'|_\pm. \]

**1.2.2. Subalgebra of FQSym**. We denote by FQSym the Hopf algebra with basis \( (F\tau)_{\tau \in \Theta \pm} \) and whose product and coproduct are defined by

\[
F\tau \cdot F\tau' = \sum_{\sigma \in \Theta \shuffle \tau \tau'} F\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \Theta \ast \tau \tau'} F\tau \otimes F\tau'.
\]

This Hopf algebra is bigraded by the size and the number of positive signs of the signed permutations. It naturally extends to signed permutations the Hopf algebra FQSym on permutations defined by C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer [MR95].

We denote by Camb the vector subspace of FQSym\( \pm \) generated by the elements

\[
P_T := \sum_{\tau \in \Theta \pm} F\tau = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{L}(T)} F\tau,
\]

for all Cambrian trees \( T \). For example, for the Cambrian tree of Figure 2 (left), we have

\[
P_T = F_{a \hat{b} c} + F_{a b \hat{c}} + F_{a \hat{b} c} + F_{a b \hat{c}} + F_{\hat{a} b c} + F_{\hat{a} b c} + F_{\hat{a} b c} + F_{\hat{a} b c}.
\]

**Theorem 24.** Camb is a Hopf subalgebra of FQSym\( \pm \).

*Proof.* We first prove that Camb is a subalgebra of FQSym\( \pm \). To do this, we just need to show that the Cambrian congruence is compatible with the shuffle product, i.e. that the product of two Cambrian classes can be decomposed into a sum of Cambrian classes. Consider two signatures \( \epsilon \in \pm^n \) and \( \epsilon' \in \pm^n \), two Cambrian trees \( T \in \text{Camb}(\epsilon) \) and \( T' \in \text{Camb}(\epsilon') \), and two congruent permutations \( \tilde{\sigma} \equiv \epsilon \epsilon' \tilde{\tau} \in \Theta \epsilon \epsilon' \). We want to show that \( F\sigma \) appears in the product \( F\tau \cdot F\tau' \) if and only if \( F\tilde{\sigma} \) does. We can assume that \( \sigma = UaVbW \) and \( \tilde{\sigma} = UcaVbW \) for some letters \( a < b < c \) and words \( U, V, W \) with \( (\epsilon')_b = - \). Suppose moreover that \( F\sigma \) appears in the product \( F\tau \cdot F\tau' \), and let \( \sigma \in \mathcal{L}(T) \) such that \( \tilde{\sigma} \equiv \epsilon \epsilon' \tau \). We distinguish three cases:

(i) If \( a \leq n \) and \( n < c \), then \( \tilde{\sigma} \) also belongs to \( \epsilon \epsilon' \tau \), and thus \( F\tilde{\sigma} \) appears in the product \( F\tau \cdot F\tau' \).

(ii) If \( a < b < c \leq n \), then \( \sigma = UaVbW \) is \( \epsilon \)-congruent to \( \tilde{\sigma} = UcaVbW \), and thus \( \tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}(T) \).

Since \( \tilde{\sigma} \equiv \epsilon \epsilon' \tau \), we obtain that \( F\tilde{\sigma} \) appears in the product \( F\tau \cdot F\tau' \).

(iii) If \( n < a < b < c \), the argument is similar, exchanging \( ac \) to \( ca \) in \( \tau' \).

The proof for the other rewriting rule of Definition 12 is symmetric, and the general case for \( \sigma \equiv \epsilon \epsilon' \tilde{\tau} \) follows by transitivity.

We now prove that Camb is a subcoalgebra of FQSym\( \pm \). We just need to show that the Cambrian congruence is compatible with the concatenation coproduct, i.e. that the coproduct of a Cambrian class is a sum of tensor products of Cambrian classes. Consider a Cambrian tree \( T \in \text{Camb}(\eta) \), and Cambrian congruent permutations \( \sigma \equiv \tau \in \Theta \) and \( \tau' \equiv \tau' \in \Theta \epsilon' \). We want to show that \( F\tau \otimes F\tau' \) appears in the coproduct \( \Delta(F\tau) \), if and only if \( F\tilde{\tau} \otimes F\tilde{\tau}' \) does. We can assume that \( \tau = UaVbW \) and \( \tilde{\tau} = UcaVbW \) for some letters \( a < b < c \) and words \( U, V, W \) with \( \epsilon_b = - \), while \( \tau' = \tilde{\tau}' \). Suppose moreover that \( F\tau \otimes F\tau' \) appears in the coproduct \( \Delta(F\tau) \), i.e. that there exists \( \sigma \in (\sigma \ast \tau') \cap \mathcal{L}(T) \). Since \( \sigma \equiv \tau \ast \tau' \), it can be written as \( \sigma = \tilde{\sigma} = \hat{U}\hat{a}\hat{c}V\hat{b}W \) for some letters \( \hat{a} < \hat{b} < \hat{c} \) and words \( U, V, W, \tilde{\tau}' \).
η-congruent to σ and in the convolution product \( \tilde{\tau} \ast \tilde{\tau}' \). It follows that \( \mathbb{F}_\tilde{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{\tau}'} \) also appears in the coproduct \( \Delta(\mathbb{P}_T) \). The proofs for the other rewriting rule on \( \tau \), as well as for both rewriting rules on \( \tau' \), are symmetric, and the general case for \( \tau \equiv \tilde{\tau} \) and \( \tau' \equiv \tilde{\tau}' \) follows by transitivity. \( \square \)

Another proof of this statement would be to show that the Cambrian congruence yields a \( \varphi \)-good monoid [Pri13]. In the remaining of this section, we provide direct descriptions of the product and coproduct of \( \mathbb{P} \)-basis elements of \( \text{Camb} \) in terms of combinatorial operations on Cambrian trees.

**Product** The product in the Cambrian algebra can be described in terms of intervals in Cambrian lattices. Given two Cambrian trees \( T, T' \), we denote by \( \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T' \) the tree obtained by grafting the rightmost outgoing leaf of \( T \) on the leftmost incoming leaf of \( T \) and shifting all labels of \( T' \). Note that the resulting tree is \( \varepsilon \varepsilon' \)-Cambrian, where \( \varepsilon \varepsilon' \) is the concatenation of the signatures \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(T) \) and \( \varepsilon' = \varepsilon(T') \). We define similarly \( T \kern-2pt \vartriangleleft \kern-3pt \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T' \). Examples are given in Figure 12.

![Figure 12. Grafting Cambrian trees.](image_url)

**Proposition 25.** For any Cambrian trees \( T, T' \), the product \( \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{P}_{T'} \) is given by

\[
\mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{P}_{T'} = \sum_S \mathbb{P}_S,
\]

where \( S \) runs over the interval between \( T \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T' \) and \( T \kern-2pt \vartriangleleft \kern-3pt \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T' \) in the \( \varepsilon(T)\varepsilon(T') \)-Cambrian lattice.

**Proof.** For any Cambrian tree \( T \), the linear extensions \( \mathcal{L}(T) \) form an interval of the weak order [Rea06]. Moreover, the shuffle product of two intervals of the weak order is an interval of the weak order. Therefore, the product \( \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{P}_{T'} \) is a sum of \( \mathbb{P}_S \) where \( S \) runs over an interval of the Cambrian lattice. It remains to characterize the minimal and maximal elements of this interval.

Let \( \mu_T \) and \( \omega_T \) denote respectively the smallest and the greatest linear extension of \( T \) in weak order. The product \( \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{P}_{T'} \) is the sum of \( \mathbb{P}_S \) over the interval

\[
[\mu_T, \omega_T] \overset{\cap}{\cup} [\mu_T, \omega_T] = [\mu_T \mu_T', \omega_T', \omega_T],
\]

where \( \cap \) denotes as usual the shifting operator on permutations. The result thus follows from the fact that

\[
\mathbb{P}(\mu_T \mu_T') = T \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\omega_T, \omega_T) = T \kern-2pt \vartriangleleft \kern-3pt \overset{T}{\rightarrow} T'. \quad \square
\]

**For example, we can compute the product**

\[
\mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{P}_{T'} = \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \left( \mathbb{F}_{T_{123}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{312}} \right)
\]

\[
= \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \left( \mathbb{F}_{T_{123}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{312}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{12345}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{13245}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{41325}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{41325}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{51432}} + \mathbb{F}_{T_{51432}} \right)
\]

\[
= \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{123}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{312}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{12345}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{13245}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{41325}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{41325}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{51432}} + \mathbb{P}_T \cdot \mathbb{F}_{T_{51432}}.
\]
The first equality is obtained by computing the linear extensions of the two factors, the second by computing the shuffle product and grouping terms according to their $P$-symbol, displayed in the last line. Proposition 25 enables us to shortcut the computation by avoiding to resort to the $F$-basis.

**Coproduct** The coproduct in the Cambrian algebra can also be described in combinatorial terms. Define a cut of a Cambrian tree $S$ to be a set $\gamma$ of edges such that any geodesic vertical path in $S$ from a down leaf to an up leaf contains precisely one edge of $\gamma$. Such a cut separates the tree $T$ into two forests, one above $\gamma$ and one below $\gamma$, denoted $A(S,\gamma)$ and $B(S,\gamma)$, respectively. An example is given in Figure 13.

![Figure 13. A cut $\gamma$ of a Cambrian tree $T$ defines two forests $A(T,\gamma)$ and $B(T,\gamma)$.](image)

**Proposition 26.** For any Cambrian tree $S$, the coproduct $\Delta P_S$ is given by

$$\Delta P_S = \sum_{\gamma} \left( \prod_{T \in B(S,\gamma)} P_T \right) \otimes \left( \prod_{T' \in A(S,\gamma)} P_{T'} \right),$$

where $\gamma$ runs over all cuts of $S$.

**Proof.** Let $\sigma$ be a linear extension of $S$ and $\tau,\tau' \in S_\pm$ such that $\sigma \in \tau \star \tau'$. As discussed in Section 1.2.1, the tables of $\tau$ and $\tau'$ respectively appear in the bottom and top rows of the table of $\sigma$. We can therefore associate a cut of $S$ to each element which appears in the coproduct $\Delta P_S$.

Reciprocally, given a cut $\gamma$ of $S$, we are interested in the linear extensions of $S$ where all indices below $\gamma$ appear before all indices above $\gamma$. These linear extensions are precisely the permutations formed by a linear extension of $B(T,\gamma)$ followed by a linear extension of $A(T,\gamma)$. But the linear extensions of a forest are obtained by shuffling the linear extensions of its connected components. The result immediately follows since the product $P_T \cdot P_{T'}$ precisely involves the shuffle of the linear extensions of $T$ with the linear extensions of $T'$.

For example, we can compute the coproduct

$$\Delta P = \Delta (F_{123} + F_{231}) = 1 \otimes (F_{123} + F_{231}) + F_T \otimes F_{231} + F_T \otimes F_{123} + F_{231} \otimes F_T + F_{123} \otimes F_T + (F_{123} + F_{231}) \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes P_T + P_T \otimes P_{123} + P_T \otimes P_{231} + P_T \otimes P_{123} + P_T \otimes P_{231} + P_T \otimes 1.$$
To conclude, we connect the Cambrian algebra to the recoils algebra $\text{Rec}$, defined as the Hopf subalgebra of $\text{FQSym}_\pm$ generated by the elements

$$X_\chi := \sum_{\tau \in \text{Rec} \cap \text{Camb}} \mathbb{P}_\tau$$

for all sign vectors $\chi \in \pm^{n-1}$. The commutative diagram of Proposition 23 ensures that

$$X_\chi = \sum_{T \in \text{Camb} \cap \text{can}(T) = \chi} \mathbb{P}_T,$$

and thus that $\text{Rec}$ is a subalgebra of $\text{Camb}$. In other words, the Cambrian algebra is sandwiched between the signed permutation algebra and the recoils algebra $\text{Rec} \subset \text{Camb} \subset \text{FQSym}_\pm$. This property has to be compared with the polytope inclusions discussed in Section 1.1.7.

1.2.3. Quotient algebra of $\text{FQSym}^*_\pm$. We switch to the dual Hopf algebra $\text{FQSym}^*_\pm$ with basis $(G_\tau)_{\tau \in \text{Camb}}$ and whose product and coproduct are defined by

$$G_\tau \cdot G_{\tau'} = \sum_{\sigma \in \tau \ast \tau'} G_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta G_\sigma = \sum_{\tau, \tau' \in \tau \ast \tau'} G_\tau \otimes G_{\tau'}.$$

The following statement is automatic from Theorem 24.

**Theorem 27.** The graded dual $\text{Camb}^*$ of the Cambrian algebra is isomorphic to the image of $\text{FQSym}^*_\pm$ under the canonical projection

$$\pi: \mathbb{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(A)/',$$

where $'$ denotes the Cambrian congruence. The dual basis $Q_T$ of $P_T$ is expressed as $Q_T = \pi(G_T)$, where $\tau$ is any linear extension of $T$.

Similarly as in the previous section, we can describe combinatorially the product and coproduct of $Q$-basis elements of $\text{Camb}^*$ in terms of operations on Cambrian trees.

**Product** Call **gaps** the $n + 1$ positions between two consecutive integers of $[n]$, including the position before 1 and the position after $n$. A gap $\gamma$ defines a **geodesic vertical path** $\lambda(T, \gamma)$ in a Cambrian tree $T$ from the bottom leaf which lies in the same interval of consecutive negative labels as $\gamma$ to the top leaf which lies in the same interval of consecutive positive labels as $\gamma$. See Figure 15. A multiset $\Gamma$ of gaps therefore defines a **lamination** $\lambda(T, \Gamma)$ of $T$, i.e. a multiset of pairwise non-crossing geodesic vertical paths in $T$ from down leaves to up leaves. When cut along the paths of a lamination, the Cambrian tree $T$ splits into a forest.

Consider two Cambrian trees $T$ and $T'$ on $[n]$ and $[n']$ respectively. For any shuffle $s$ of their signatures $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon'$, consider the multiset $\Gamma$ of gaps of $[n]$ given by the positions of the negative signs of $\varepsilon$ in $s$ and the multiset $\Gamma'$ of gaps of $[n']$ given by the positions of the positive signs of $\varepsilon$ in $s$. We denote by $T \setminus T'$ the Cambrian tree obtained by connecting the up leaves of the forest defined by the lamination $\lambda(T, \Gamma)$ to the down leaves of the forest defined by the lamination $\lambda(T', \Gamma')$.

**Example 28.** Consider the Cambrian trees $T^\circ$ and $T^{\neg}$ of Figure 14. To distinguish signs in $T^\circ$ and $T^{\neg}$, we circle the signs in $\varepsilon(T^\circ) = \ominus\ominus\ominus\ominus\ominus$ and square the signs in $\varepsilon(T^{\neg}) = \oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus$. Consider now an arbitrary shuffle $s = \oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus\ominus\ominus\ominus\ominus\ominus$ of these two signatures. The resulting laminations of $T^\circ$ and $T^{\neg}$, as well as the Cambrian tree $T^\circ \setminus T^{\neg}$ are represented in Figure 14.

**Proposition 29.** For any Cambrian trees $T, T'$, the product $Q_T \cdot Q_{T'}$ is given by

$$Q_T \cdot Q_{T'} = \sum_s Q_{T \setminus T'},$$

where $s$ runs over all shuffles of the signatures of $T$ and $T'$.

**Proof.** Let $\tau$ and $\tau'$ be linear extensions of $T$ and $T'$ respectively, let $\sigma \in \tau \ast \tau'$ and let $S = \mathbb{P}(\sigma)$. As discussed in Section 1.2.1, the convolution $\tau \ast \tau'$ shuffles the columns of the tables of $\tau$ and $\tau'$ while preserving the order of their rows. According to the description of the insertion algorithm $\Theta$, the tree $S$ thus consists in $T$ below and $T'$ above, except that the vertical walls falling from the negative nodes of $T'$ split $T$ and similarly the vertical walls rising from the positive nodes of $T$.
split $T'$. This corresponds to the description of $T \setminus T'$, where $s$ is the shuffle of the signatures of $T$ and $T'$ given by $\sigma$.

For example, we can compute the product

$$Q_2 \cdot Q_{13} = G_{12} \cdot G_{213} = G_{1213} + G_{1231} + G_{1321} + G_{2131} + G_{2311} + G_{3211} + G_{3121} + G_{3131}.$$  

Note that the 10 resulting Cambrian trees correspond to the 10 possible shuffles of $-+ +$ and $-++$.

**Coproduct** To describe the coproduct of $\mathcal{Q}$-basis elements of $\text{Camb}^+$, we also use gaps and vertical paths in Cambrian trees. Namely, for a gap $\gamma$, we denote by $L(S, \gamma)$ and $R(S, \gamma)$ the left and right Cambrian subtrees of $S$ when split along the path $\lambda(S, \gamma)$. An example is given in Figure 15.

**Figure 15.** A gap $\gamma$ between 3 and 4 (left) defines a vertical cut (middle) which splits the Cambrian tree (right).
**Proposition 30.** For any Cambrian tree $S$, the coproduct $\Delta Q_S$ is given by

$$\Delta Q_S = \sum_{\gamma} Q_{L(S,\gamma)} \otimes Q_{R(S,\gamma)},$$

where $\gamma$ runs over all gaps between vertices of $S$.

**Proof.** Let $\sigma$ be a linear extension of $S$ and $\tau, \tau' \in S_\pm$ such that $\sigma \in \tau \bar{\tau}'$. As discussed in Section 1.2.1, $\tau$ and $\tau'$ respectively appear on the left and right columns of $\sigma$. Let $\gamma$ denote the vertical gap separating $\tau$ from $\tau'$. Applying the insertion algorithm to $\tau$ and $\tau'$ separately then yields the trees $L(S,\gamma)$ and $R(S,\gamma)$. The description follows. \qed

For example, we can compute the coproduct $\Delta Q = \Delta G_2 1^3 = 1 \otimes G_2 1^3 + G_1 \otimes G_1^3 + G_2 \otimes G_3 + G_1 \otimes 1$.

Note that the last line can indeed be directly computed using the paths defined by the four possible gaps of the Cambrian tree $\frac{A}{A}$.

1.2.4. **Duality.** As proven in [HNT05], the duality $\tau \mapsto \tau^{-1}$ between the Hopf algebras $FQSym$ and $FQSym^*$ induces a duality between the Hopf algebras $PBT$ and $PBT^*$. That is to say that the composition $\Psi$ of the applications

$$PBT \xrightarrow{\sum_{\tau \in L(T)} F_{\tau}} FQSym \xrightarrow{\tau \mapsto \tau^{-1}} FQSym^* \xrightarrow{\sum_{\tau \in L(T)} F_{\tau}} PBT^*$$

is an isomorphism between $PBT$ and $PBT^*$. This property is no longer true for the Cambrian algebra $\text{Camb}$ and its dual $\text{Camb}^*$. Namely, the composition $\Psi$ of the applications

$$\text{Camb} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\tau \in L(T)} F_{\tau}} FQSym_\pm \xrightarrow{\tau \mapsto \tau^{-1}} FQSym^*_\pm \xrightarrow{\sum_{\tau \in L(T)} F_{\tau}} \text{Camb}^*$$

is not an isomorphism. It is indeed not injective as

$$\Psi(P_2) = Q_1 = \Psi(P_3).$$

Indeed, their images along the three maps are given by

$$P_2 \mapsto F_2 \mapsto G_2 \mapsto Q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad P_3 \mapsto F_3 \mapsto G_3 \mapsto Q_1.$$

1.3. **Multiplicative bases**

In this section, we define multiplicative bases of $\text{Camb}$ and study the indecomposable elements of $\text{Camb}$ for these bases. We prove in Sections 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 both structural and enumerative properties of the set of indecomposable elements.

1.3.1. **Multiplicative bases and indecomposable elements.** For a Cambrian tree $T$, we define

$$E_T := \sum_{T \leq T'} P_{T'}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_T := \sum_{T \leq T'} P_{T'}.$$ 

To describe the product of two elements of the $E$- or $H$-basis, remember that the Cambrian trees

$$T \xrightarrow{T'} \quad \text{and} \quad T \xleftarrow{T'},$$

are defined to be the trees obtained by shifting all labels of $T'$ and grafting for the first one the rightmost outgoing leaf of $T$ on the leftmost incoming leaf of $T'$, and for the second one the rightmost incoming leaf of $T$ on the leftmost outgoing leaf of $T'$. Examples are given in Figure 12.
Proposition 31. \((E^T)_{T \in \text{Camb}}\) and \((H^T)_{T \in \text{Camb}}\) are multiplicative bases of \(\text{Camb}\):

\[
E^T \cdot E^{T'} = E^{T \cdot T'} \quad \text{and} \quad H^T \cdot H^{T'} = H^{T \cdot T'}.
\]

Proof. Let \(\omega_T\) denote the maximal linear extension of \(T\) in weak order. Since \(\{\mathcal{L}(T) \mid T \leq T\}\) partitions the weak order interval \([12 \cdots n, \omega_T]\), we have

\[
H^T = \sum_{T \leq T} P_T = \sum_{T \leq T} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}(T)} \sum_{\tau \leq \omega_T} F_T = \sum_{\tau \leq \omega_T} F_T.
\]

Since the shuffle product of two intervals of the weak order is an interval of the weak order, the product \(H^T \cdot H^{T'}\) is the sum of \(F_T\) over the interval

\[
[12 \cdots n, \omega_T] \uplus [12 \cdots n', \omega_{T'}] = [12 \cdots (n + n'), \omega_T \cdot \omega_{T'}].
\]

The result thus follows from the fact that

\[
P(\omega_T') = \bar{T} \setminus T'.
\]

The proof is symmetric for \(E^T\), replacing lower interval and \([12 \cdots n, \omega_T]\) by the upper interval \([\mu_T, n \cdots 21]\). \(\square\)

As the multiplicative bases \((E^T)_{T \in \text{Camb}}\) and \((H^T)_{T \in \text{Camb}}\) have symmetric properties, we focus our analysis on the \(E\)-basis. The reader is invited to translate the results below to the \(H\)-basis.

We consider multiplicative decomposability. Remember that an edge cut in a Cambrian tree \(S\) is the ordered partition \((X \mid Y)\) of the vertices of \(S\) into the set \(X\) of vertices in the source set and the set \(Y\) of vertices in the target set of an oriented edge \(e\) of \(S\).

Proposition 32. The following properties are equivalent for a Cambrian tree \(S\):

(i) \(E^n\) can be decomposed into a product \(E^n = E^T \cdot E^{T'}\) for non-empty Cambrian trees \(T, T'\);

(ii) \((\{k\} \mid \{n\} \setminus \{k\})\) is an edge cut of \(S\) for some \(k \in [n]\);

(iii) at least one linear extension \(\tau\) of \(S\) is decomposable, i.e. \(\tau([k]) = \{k\}\) for some \(k \in [n]\).

The tree \(S\) is then called \(E\)-decomposable and the edge cut \((\{k\} \mid \{n\} \setminus \{k\})\) is called splitting.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \(\iff\) (ii) is an immediate consequence of the description of the product \(E^T \cdot E^{T'}\) in Proposition 31. The implication (ii) \(\implies\) (iii) follows from the fact that for any cut \((X \mid Y)\) of a directed acyclic graph \(G\), there exists a linear extension of \(G\) which starts with \(X\) and finishes with \(Y\). Reciprocally, if \(\tau\) is a decomposable linear extension of \(S\), then the insertion algorithm creates two blocks and necessarily relates the bottom-left block to the top-right block by a splitting edge. \(\square\)

For example, Figure 12 shows that \(P(2751345)\) is both \(E\)- and \(H\)-decomposable. In the remaining of this section, we study structural and enumerative properties of \(E\)-indecomposable elements of Camb. We denote by \(\text{Ind}_E\) the set of \(E\)-indecomposable elements of \(\text{Camb}(\varepsilon)\).

Example 33. For \(\varepsilon = (-)^n\), the \(E\)-indecomposable \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian trees are right-tilting binary trees, i.e. binary trees whose root has no left child. Similarly, for \(\varepsilon = (+)^n\), the \(E\)-indecomposable \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian trees are left-tilting binary trees oriented upwards. See Figure 16 for illustrations.

1.3.2. Structural properties. The objective of this section is to prove the following property of the \(E\)-indecomposable elements of \(\text{Camb}(\varepsilon)\).

Proposition 34. For any signature \(\varepsilon \in \pm^n\), the set \(\text{Ind}_E\) of \(E\)-indecomposable \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian trees forms a principal upper ideal of the \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian lattice.

To prove this statement, we need the following result.

Lemma 35. Let \(T\) be a \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian tree, let \(i \rightarrow j\) be an edge of \(T\) with \(i < j\), and let \(T'\) be the \(\varepsilon\)-Cambrian tree obtained by rotating \(i \rightarrow j\) in \(T\). Then

(i) if \(T\) is \(E\)-indecomposable, then so is \(T'\);

(ii) if \(T\) is \(E\)-decomposable while \(T'\) is not, then \(\varepsilon_i = +\) or \(i = 1\), and \(\varepsilon_j = -\) or \(j = n\).
Proof. As observed in Proposition 18, the Cambrian trees T and T' have the same edge cuts, except the cut defined by edge i → j. Using notations of Figure 7, the edge cut \( C := (i \cup L \cup B \parallel j \cup R \cup A) \) of T is replaced by the edge cut \( C' := (j \cup R \cup B \parallel i \cup L \cup A) \) of T'. Since \( i < j \), the edge cut \( C' \) cannot be splitting. Therefore, \( T' \) is always \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable when T is \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable.

Assume conversely that T is \( \mathbb{E} \)-decomposable while T' is not. This implies that C is splitting while \( C' \) is not. Since C is splitting we have \( i \cup L \cup B < j \cup R \cup A \) (where we write \( X < Y \) if \( x < y \) for all \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \)). If \( \epsilon_i = - \), then \( L < i \), \( i < B \), and thus \( L < \{i, j\} \cup R \cup A \cup B \). If moreover \( i < j \), then \( 1 < \{i, j\} \cup R \cup A \cup B \) and thus \( 1 \in L \neq \emptyset \). This would imply that the cut of \( T' \) defined by the edge \( L \rightarrow i \) would be splitting. Contradiction. We prove similarly that \( \epsilon_j = - \) or \( j = n \). □

Proof or Proposition 34. We already know from Lemma 35 (i) that \( \text{Ind}_c \) is an upper set of the \( c \)-Cambrian lattice. To see that this upper set is a principal upper ideal, we characterize the unique \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( c \)-Cambrian tree \( T_* \) whose decreasing rotations all create a splitting edge cut. We proceed in three steps.

**Claim A** All negative vertices \( i > 1 \) of \( T_* \) have no right child, while all positive vertices \( j < n \) of \( T_* \) have no left child.

*Proof.* Assume by means of contradiction that a negative vertex \( i > 1 \) has a right child \( j \). Let T be the Cambrian tree obtained by rotation of the edge \( i \leftarrow j \) in \( T_* \). Since this rotation is decreasing (because \( i < j \)), T is decomposable while \( T_* \) is not. This contradicts Lemma 35 (ii).

Claim A ensures that the Cambrian tree \( T_* \) is a path with additional leaves incoming at negative vertices and outgoing at positive vertices. Therefore, \( T_* \) admits a unique linear extension \( \tau_* \). The next two claims determine \( \tau_* \) and thus \( T_* = P(\tau_*) \).

As vertex 1 has no left child and vertex \( n \) has no right child, we consider that 1 behaves as a positive vertex and \( n \) behaves as a negative vertex. We thus define \( N := \{n_1 < \cdots < n_{N-1} < n_N = n\} \) and \( P := \{1 = p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_P\} \), where \( n_1 < \cdots < n_{N-1} \) are the negative vertices and \( p_2 < \cdots < p_P \) are the positive vertices among \( \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \).

**Claim B** The sets \( N \) and \( P \) both appear in increasing order in \( \tau_* \).

*Proof.* If \( i \) appears in \( \tau_* \) before \( j \in N \), then \( i \) lies in the left child of \( j \) (since \( j \) has no right child), so that \( i < j \). In particular, \( N \) is sorted in \( \tau_* \). The proof is symmetric for positive vertices.

**Claim C** In \( \tau_* \), vertex \( p_k \) appears immediately after the first vertex in \( N \) larger than \( p_{k+1} \).

*Proof.* Let \( n_\ell \) denote the first vertex in \( N \) larger than \( p_{k+1} \). If \( p_k \) appears before \( n_\ell \) in \( \tau_* \), then \( \tau_* \) is a decomposable permutation (since \( \tau([p_{k+1} - 1]) = [p_{k+1} - 1] \)). If \( p_k \) appears after \( n_\ell+1 \) in \( \tau_* \), then the Cambrian tree obtained by rotation of the incoming edge at \( p_k \) in \( T_* \) remains indecomposable. Therefore, \( p_k \) appears precisely in between \( n_\ell \) and \( n_{\ell+1} \). □

For example, Figure 16 illustrates the generator of the \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( c \)-Cambrian trees for \( c = -+-+++-+ \), \( c = (-)^7 \), and \( c = (+)^7 \). The last two are right- and left-tilting trees respectively.

![Figure 16](image-url)
1.3.3. Enumerative properties. We now consider enumerative properties of $\varepsilon$-indecomposable elements. We want to show that the number of $\varepsilon$-indecomposable $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is independent of the signature $\varepsilon$.

**Proposition 36.** For any signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, there are $C_{n-1}$ $\varepsilon$-indecomposable $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees. Therefore, there are $2^n C_{n-1}$ $\varepsilon$-indecomposable Cambrian trees on $n$ vertices.

This result is immediate for the signature $\varepsilon = (-)^n$ as $\varepsilon$-indecomposable elements are right-tilting binary trees (see Example 33), which are clearly counted by the Catalan number $C_{n-1}$. To show Proposition 36, we study the behavior of Cambrian trees and their decompositions under local transformations on signatures of $[n]$. We believe that these transformations are interesting per se. For example, they provide an alternative proof that there are $C_n$ $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees for any signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$.

Let $\chi_0 : \pm^n \to \pm^n$ and $\chi_n : \pm^n \to \pm^n$ denote the transformations which switch the signs of 1 and $n$, respectively. Denote by $\Psi_0(T)$ and $\Psi_n(T)$ the trees obtained from a Cambrian tree $T$ by changing the direction of the leftmost and rightmost leaf of $T$ respectively. For $i \in \{n-1\}$, let $\chi_i : \pm^n \to \pm^n$ denote the transformation which switches the signs at positions $i$ and $i+1$. The transformation $\varepsilon \to \chi_i(\varepsilon)$ is only relevant when $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_{i+1}$. In this situation, we denote by $\Psi_i(T)$ the tree obtained from a $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $T$ by

- reversing the arc from the positive to the negative vertex of $\{i, i+1\}$ if it exists,
- exchanging the labels of $i$ and $i+1$ otherwise.

This transformation is illustrated on Figure 17 when $\varepsilon_i = +$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -$.

![Figure 17](image)

**Figure 17.** The transformation $\Psi_i$ when $\varepsilon_i = +$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -$. The tree $\Psi_i(T)$ is obtained by reversing the arc from $i$ to $i+1$ if it exists (left), and just exchanging the labels of $i$ and $i+1$ otherwise (right).

To show that $\Psi_i$ transforms $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees to $\chi_i(\varepsilon)$-Cambrian trees and preserves the number of $\varepsilon$-indecomposable elements, we need the following lemma. Note that this lemma also explains why Figure 17 covers all possibilities when $\varepsilon_i = +$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -$.

**Lemma 37.** If $\varepsilon_i = +$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -$, then the following assertions are equivalent for a $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $T$:

1. $(i \parallel [n] \setminus [i])$ is an edge cut of $T$;
2. $i$ is smaller than $i+1$ in $T$;
3. $i$ is in the left subtree of $i+1$ and $i+1$ is in the right subtree of $i$;
4. $i$ is the left child of $i+1$ and $i+1$ is the right parent of $i$.

A similar statement holds in the case when $\varepsilon_i = -$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1} = +$.

**Proof.** Since $i$ and $i+1$ are comparable in $T$ (see Section 1.1.6), the fact that $(i \parallel [n] \setminus [i])$ is an edge cut of $T$ implies that $i$ is smaller than $i+1$ in $T$. This shows that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

If $i$ is smaller than $i+1$ in $T$, then $i$ is in a subtree of $i+1$, and thus in the left one, and similarly, $i+1$ is in the right subtree of $i$. This shows that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Assume now that $i$ is in the left subtree of $i+1$ and $i+1$ is in the right subtree of $i$, and consider the path from $i$ to $i+1$ in $T$. Since it lies in the right subtree of $i$ and in the left subtree of $i+1$, any label along this path should be greater than $i$ and smaller than $i+1$. This path is thus a single arc. This shows that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv).
Finally, assume that \( i \) is the left child of \( i + 1 \) and \( i + 1 \) is the right parent of \( i \) in \( T \). Then the cut corresponding to the arc \( e \) of \( T \) from \( i \) to \( i + 1 \) is \( ([i] \parallel [n] \smallsetminus [i]) \). Indeed, all elements in the source of \( e \) are in the left subtree and thus smaller than \( i + 1 \), while all elements in the target of \( e \) are in the right subtree and thus greater than \( i \). This shows that (iv) \( \Rightarrow \) (i).

**Lemma 38.** For \( 0 \leq i \leq n \), the map \( \Psi_i \) defines a bijection from \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees to \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees and preserves the number of \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable elements.

**Proof.** The result is immediate for \( i = 0 \) and \( i = n \). Assume thus that \( i \in [n - 1] \) and that \( \varepsilon_i = + \) while \( \varepsilon_{i+1} = - \). We first prove that \( \Psi_i \) sends \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees to \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees. It clearly transforms trees to trees. To see that \( \Psi_i(T) \) is \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian, we distinguish two cases:

- Figure 17 (left) illustrates the case when \( T \) has an arc in from \( i \) to \( i + 1 \). All labels in \( B \) are smaller than \( i \) since they are distinct from \( i \) and in the left subtree of \( i + 1 \), and all labels in the right subtree of \( i \) in \( \Psi_i(T) \) are greater than \( i \) since they were in the right subtree of \( i \) in \( T \). Therefore, the labels around vertex \( i \) of \( \Psi_i(T) \) respect the Cambrian rules. We argue similarly around \( i + 1 \). All other vertices have the same signs and subtrees.

- Figure 17 (right) illustrates the case when \( T \) has no arc in from \( i \) to \( i + 1 \). All labels in \( B \) (resp. \( D \)) are smaller (resp. greater) than \( i \) since they are distinct from \( i \) and in the left (resp. right) subtree of \( i + 1 \), so the labels around vertex \( i \) of \( \Psi_i(T) \) respect the Cambrian rules. We argue similarly around \( i + 1 \). All other vertices have the same signs and subtrees.

Alternatively, it is also easy to see \( \Psi_i \) transforms \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees to \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees using the interpretation of Cambrian trees as dual trees of triangulations (see Remark 4).

Although \( \Psi_i \) does not preserve \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable elements, we now check that \( \Psi_i \) preserves the number of \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable elements. Write \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon^p \) with \( \varepsilon : [i] \to \{\pm\} \) and \( \varepsilon : [n] \smallsetminus [i] \to \{\pm\} \), and let \( \ell = |\text{Ind}_\varepsilon| \) and \( T = |\text{Ind}_\varepsilon| \). We claim that

- the map \( \Psi_i \) transforms precisely \( \ell \cdot T \) \( \mathbb{E} \)-decomposable \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees to \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees. Indeed, \( T \) is \( \mathbb{E} \)-decomposable while \( \Psi_i(T) \) is \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable if and only if \( T \) has an arc from \( i \) to \( i + 1 \) whose source and target subtrees are \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( \varepsilon \)- and \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees, respectively.

- the map \( \Psi_i \) transforms precisely \( \ell \cdot T \) \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees into \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable \( \chi_\varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees. Indeed, assume that \( T \) is \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable while \( \Psi_i(T) \) is \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable. We claim that \( ([i] \parallel [n] \smallsetminus [i]) \) is the only splitting edge cut of \( \Psi_i(T) \). Indeed, for \( j \neq i \), both \( i \) and \( i + 1 \) belong either to \( [j] \) or to \( [n] \smallsetminus [j] \), and \( ([j] \parallel [n] \smallsetminus [j]) \) is an edge cut of \( \Psi_i(T) \) if and only if it is an edge cut of \( T \). Moreover, the \( \varepsilon \)- and \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees \( S \) and \( S \) induced by \( \Psi_i(T) \) on \( [i] \) and \( [n] \smallsetminus [i] \) are both \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable. Otherwise, a splitting edge cut \( ([j] \parallel [i] \smallsetminus [j]) \) of \( S \) would define a splitting edge cut \( ([j] \parallel [n] \smallsetminus [j]) \) of \( \Psi_i(T) \). Conversely, if \( S \) and \( S \) are both \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable, then so is \( T \).

We conclude that \( \Psi_i \) globally preserves the number of \( \mathbb{E} \)-indecomposable Cambrian trees.

**Proof of Proposition 36.** Starting from the fully negative signature \((-)^n \), we can reach any signature \( \varepsilon \) by the transformations \( \chi_0, \ldots, \chi_{n-1} \): we can make positive signs appear on vertex 1 (using the map \( \chi_0 \)) and make these positive signs travel towards their final position in \( \varepsilon \) (using the maps \( \chi_i \)). More precisely, if \( p_1 < \cdots < p_r \) denote the positions of the positive signs of \( \varepsilon \), then \( \varepsilon = (\prod_{j \in [r]} \chi_{p_j} \circ \chi_{p_{j-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \chi_{p_1} \circ \chi_0)((-)^n) \). The result thus follows from Lemma 38.

**Proposition 39.** The Cambrian algebra \( \text{Camb} \) is free.

**Proof.** As the generating function \( B(u) \) of the Catalan numbers satisfies the functional equation \( B(u) = 1 + uB(u)^2 \), we obtain by substitution \( u = 2t \) that

\[
1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n C_{n-1} t^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n C_n t^n.
\]

The result immediately follows from Proposition 36.
2.1. Twin Cambrian trees

This section deals with the following pairs of Cambrian trees.

Definition 40. Two $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees $T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ are twin if the union $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ of $T^\varepsilon_o$ with the reverse of $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ (reversing the orientations of all edges) is acyclic.

Definition 41. Let $T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ be two leveled $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees with labelings $p^\varepsilon_o, q^\varepsilon_o$ and $p^\varepsilon_\bullet, q^\varepsilon_\bullet$ respectively. We say that they are twin if $q^\varepsilon_o(q^{-1}_o(i)) = n - q^\varepsilon_\bullet(p^{-1}_\bullet(i))$ for all $i \in [n]$. In other words, when labeled as Cambrian trees, the bottom-up order of the vertices of $T^\varepsilon_o$ and $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ are opposite.

Examples of twin Cambrian trees and twin leveled Cambrian trees are represented in Figure 18.

Note that twin leveled Cambrian trees are twin Cambrian trees $T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ endowed with a linear extension of the transitive closure of $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$.

[Figure 18. A pair of twin Cambrian trees (left), and a pair of twin leveled Cambrian trees (right).]

If $T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ are two $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees, they necessarily have opposite canopy (see Section 1.1.6), meaning that \( \text{can}(T^\varepsilon_o)_i = -\text{can}(T^\varepsilon_\bullet)_i \) for all $i \in [n-1]$. The reciprocal statement for the constant signature $(-)^n$ is proved by S. Giraudo in [Gir12].

Proposition 42 ([Gir12]). Two binary trees are twin if and only if they have opposite canopy.

We conjecture that this statement holds for general signatures. Consider two $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees $T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ with opposite canopies. It is easy to show that $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ cannot have trivial cycles, meaning that $T^\varepsilon_o$ and $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ cannot both have a path from $i$ to $j$ for $i \neq j$. To prove that $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ has no cycles at all, a good method is to design an algorithm to extract a linear extension of $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$. This approach was used in [Gir12] for the signature $(-)^n$. In this situation, it is clear that the root of $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ is minimal in $T^\varepsilon_o$ (by the canopy assumption), and we therefore pick it as the first value of a linear extension of $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$. The remaining of the linear extension is constructed inductively. In the general situation, it turns out that not all maximums in $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ are minimums in $T^\varepsilon_o$ (and reciprocally). It is thus not clear how to choose the first value of a linear extension of $T^\varepsilon_o \upharpoonright T^\varepsilon_\bullet$.

Remark 43 (Reversing $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$). It is sometimes useful to reverse the second tree $T^\varepsilon_\bullet$ in a pair $[T^\varepsilon_o, T^\varepsilon_\bullet]$ of twin Cambrian trees. The resulting Cambrian trees have opposite signature and their union is acyclic. In this section, we have chosen the orientation of Definition 40 to fit with the notations and results in [Gir12]. We will have to switch to the opposite convention in Section 2.3 when we will extend our results on twin Cambrian trees to arbitrary tuples of Cambrian trees.
2.1.2. Baxter-Cambrian correspondence. We obtain the Baxter-Cambrian correspondence between permutations of $S^n$ and pairs of twin leveled $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees by inserting with the map $\Theta$ from Section 1.1.2 a permutation $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n \in S^n$ and its mirror $\overline{\tau} = \tau_n \cdots \tau_1 \in S^n$.

Proposition 44. The map $\Theta^1$ defined by $\Theta^1(\tau) = \left[\Theta(\tau), \Theta(\overline{\tau})\right]$ is a bijection from signed permutations to pairs of twin leveled Cambrian trees.

Proof. If $p, q : V \to [n]$ denote the Cambrian and increasing labelings of the Cambrian tree $\Theta(\tau)$, then $\tau = q \circ p$. This yields that the leveled $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees $\Theta(\tau)$ and $\Theta(\overline{\tau})$ are twin and the map $\Theta^1$ is bijective. \qed

As for Cambrian trees, we focus on the $P^1$-symbol of this correspondence.

Proposition 45. The map $P^1$ defined by $P^1(\tau) = \left[P(\tau), P(\overline{\tau})\right]$ is a surjection from signed permutations to pairs of twin Cambrian trees.

Proof. The fiber $(P^1)^{-1}([T_o, T_\bullet])$ of a pair of twin $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees $T_o, T_\bullet$ is the set $L(T_o \,\, T_\bullet)$ of linear extensions of the graph $T_o \,\, T_\bullet$. This set is non-empty since $T_o \,\, T_\bullet$ is acyclic by definition of twin Cambrian trees. \qed

2.1.3. Baxter-Cambrian congruence. We now characterize by a congruence relation the signed permutations $\tau \in S^n$ which have the same $P^1$-symbol.

Definition 46. For a signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, the $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation on $S^n$ defined as the transitive closure of the rewriting rules

\[
UbVadWcX \equiv^1 a UbVaWcX \quad \text{if } a < b, c < d \text{ and } \varepsilon_b = \varepsilon_c,
\]

\[
UbVcWadX \equiv^1 a UbWcVdaX \quad \text{if } a < b, c < d \text{ and } \varepsilon_b \neq \varepsilon_c,
\]

\[
UadVbWcX \equiv^1 a UdVbWcX \quad \text{if } a < b, c < d \text{ and } \varepsilon_d \neq \varepsilon_c,
\]

where $a, b, c, d$ are elements of $[n]$ while $U, V, W, X$ are words on $[n]$. The Baxter-Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation on all signed permutations $S_\pm$ obtained as the union of all $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian congruences:

\[
\equiv^1 := \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \pm^n} \equiv^1_\varepsilon.
\]

Proposition 47. Two signed permutations $\tau, \tau' \in S^n$ are $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian congruent if and only if they have the same $P^1$-symbol:

\[
\tau \equiv^1 \tau' \iff P^1(\tau) = P^1(\tau').
\]

Proof. The proof of this proposition consists essentially in seeing that $P^1(\tau) = P^1(\tau')$ if and only if $\tau \equiv \tau'$ and $\overline{\tau} \equiv \overline{\tau'}$ (by definition of $P^1$). The definition of the $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian equivalence $\equiv^1_\varepsilon$ is exactly the translation of this observation in terms of rewriting rules. \qed

Proposition 48. The $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian class indexed by a pair $[T_o, T_\bullet]$ of twin $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is the intersection of the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian class indexed by $T_o$ with the $(-\varepsilon)$-Cambrian class indexed by the reverse of $T_\bullet$.

Proof. The $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian class indexed by $[T_o, T_\bullet]$ is the set of linear extensions of $T_o \,\, T_\bullet$, i.e. of permutations which are both linear extensions of $T_o$ and linear extensions of the reverse of $T_\bullet$. The former form the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian class indexed by $T_o$ while the latter form the $(-\varepsilon)$-Cambrian class indexed by the reverse of $T_\bullet$. This is illustrated in Figure 19. \qed

2.1.4. Rotations and Baxter-Cambrian lattices. We now present the rotation operation on pairs of twin $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees.

Definition 49. Let $[T_o, T_\bullet]$ be a pair of $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees and $i \to j$ be an edge of $T_o \,\, T_\bullet$. We say that the edge $i \to j$ is rotatable if

- either $i \to j$ is an edge in $T_o$ and $j \to i$ is an edge in $T_\bullet$, or
- $i \to j$ is an edge in $T_o$ while $i$ and $j$ are incomparable in $T_\bullet$, or
- $i$ and $j$ are incomparable in $T_o$ while $j \to i$ is an edge in $T_\bullet$. 

If \( i \to j \) is rotatable in \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \), its rotation transforms \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \) to the pair of trees \( [T'_\circ, T'_\bullet] \), where
- \( T'_\circ \) is obtained by rotation of \( i \to j \) in \( T_\circ \) if possible and \( T'_\circ = T_\circ \) otherwise, and
- \( T'_\bullet \) is obtained by rotation of \( j \to i \) in \( T_\bullet \) if possible and \( T'_\bullet = T_\bullet \) otherwise.

**Proposition 50.** Rotating a rotatable edge \( i \to j \) in a pair \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \) of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees yields a pair \( [T'_\circ, T'_\bullet] \) of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees.

**Proof.** By Proposition 18, the trees \( T_\circ, T_\bullet \) are \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees. To see that they are twins, observe that switching \( i \) and \( j \) in a linear extension of \( T_\circ \) yields a linear extension of \( T'_\circ \) and \( T'_\bullet \).

**Remark 51** (Number of rotatable edges). Note that a pair \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \) of \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees has always at least \( n-1 \) rotatable edges. This will be immediate from the considerations of Section 2.1.6.

Consider the *increasing rotation graph* whose vertices are pairs of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees and whose arcs are increasing rotations \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \to [T'_\circ, T'_\bullet] \), i.e., for which \( i < j \) in Definition 49. This graph is illustrated on Figure 20 for the signatures \( \varepsilon = -+-- \) (left) and \( \varepsilon = ++-- \) (right).

**Proposition 52.** For any cover relation \( \tau < \tau' \) in the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \), either \( P^1(\tau) = P^1(\tau') \) or \( P^1(\tau) \rightarrow P^1(\tau') \) in the increasing rotation graph.

**Proof.** Let \( i, j \in [n] \) be such that \( \tau' \) is obtained from \( \tau \) by switching two consecutive values \( ij \) to \( ji \). If \( i \) and \( j \) are incomparable in \( P(\tau) \), then \( P(\tau) = P(\tau') \). Otherwise, there is an edge \( i \to j \) in \( P(\tau) \), and \( P(\tau') \) is obtained by rotating \( i \to j \) in \( P(\tau) \). The same discussion is valid for the trees \( P(\tau) \) and \( P(\tau') \) and edge \( j \to i \). The result immediately follows.

It follows that the increasing rotation graph on pairs of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees is acyclic and we call \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian poset its transitive closure. In other words, the previous statement says that the map \( P^1 \) defines a poset homomorphism from the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \) to the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian poset. The following statement extends the results of N. Reading [Rea06] on Cambrian lattices and S. Law and N. Reading [LR12] on the lattice of diagonal rectangulations.

**Proposition 53.** The \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian poset is a lattice quotient of the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 48, the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian congruence is the intersection of two Cambrian congruences. The statement follows since the Cambrian congruences are lattice congruences of the weak order [Rea06] and an intersection of lattice congruences is a lattice congruence.

**Remark 54** (Cambrian vs. Baxter-Cambrian lattices). Using the definition of \( \Theta^1 \), we also notice that the \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian classes are unions of \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian classes, therefore the Cambrian lattice is a lattice quotient of the Baxter-Cambrian lattice. Figure 21 illustrates the Baxter-Cambrian, Cambrian, and boolean congruence classes on the weak orders of \( \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon \) for the signatures \( \varepsilon = -+-- \) and \( \varepsilon = ++-- \).
Figure 20. The $\varepsilon$-Baxter-Cambrian lattices on pairs of twin $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees, for the signatures $\varepsilon = - + (-)(left)$ and $\varepsilon = + - (-)(right)$. 
Remark 55 (Extremal elements and pattern avoidance). Since the Baxter-Cambrian classes are generated by rewriting rules, we immediately obtain that the minimal elements of the Baxter-Cambrian classes are precisely the signed permutations avoiding the patterns:

\[ b^{-da-c}, \overline{b^{-da-c}}, c^{-da-b}, c^{-da-b}, d^{-b-c}, d^{-b-c}, \overline{d^{-b-c}}, d^{-b-c}. \]

Similarly, the maximal elements of the Baxter-Cambrian classes are precisely the signed permutations avoiding the patterns:

\[ (\star) \quad b^{-ad-c}, \overline{b^{-ad-c}}, c^{-ad-b}, c^{-ad-b}, d^{-b-c}, d^{-b-c}, \overline{d^{-b-c}}, d^{-b-c}. \]

2.1.5. Baxter-Cambrian numbers. In contrast to the number of \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees, the number of pairs of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees does depend on the signature \( \varepsilon \). For example, there are 22 pairs of twin \((-+--)\)-Cambrian trees and only 20 pairs of twin \((++--)\)-Cambrian trees. See Figures 20, 21 and 22.

For a signature \( \varepsilon \), we define the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian number \( B_\varepsilon \) to be the number of pairs of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees. We immediately observe that \( B_\varepsilon \) is preserved when we change the first and last sign of \( \varepsilon \), inverse simultaneously all signs of \( \varepsilon \), or reverse the signature \( \varepsilon \):

\[ B_\varepsilon = B_{\chi_0(\varepsilon)} = B_{\chi_n(\varepsilon)} = B_{-\varepsilon} = B_{\overline{\varepsilon}}, \]

where \( \chi_0 \) and \( \chi_n \) change the first and last sign, \((-\varepsilon)_i = -\varepsilon_i \) and \((\overline{\varepsilon})_i = \varepsilon_{n+1-i} \). Table 2 shows the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian number \( B_\varepsilon \) for all small signatures \( \varepsilon \) up to these transformations. Table 3 records all possible \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian numbers \( B_\varepsilon \) for signatures \( \varepsilon \) of sizes \( n \leq 10 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( B_{++++} = 22 )</th>
<th>( B_{++-+} = 20 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n = 4 )</td>
<td>( B_{++++} = 92 )</td>
<td>( B_{++-+} = 78 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 5 )</td>
<td>( B_{+++++} = 422 )</td>
<td>( B_{+++-} = 342 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_{+-++} = 284 )</td>
<td>( B_{+-+-} = 282 )</td>
<td>( B_{+-} = 252 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 6 )</td>
<td>( B_{+++++++} = 2074 )</td>
<td>( B_{+++-+} = 1628 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_{+++-} = 1298 )</td>
<td>( B_{++-+-} = 1270 )</td>
<td>( B_{+-++} = 1172 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_{+-} = 1162 )</td>
<td>( B_{+-} = 1044 )</td>
<td>( B_{+-} = 1036 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_{+} = 924 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The number \( B_\varepsilon \) of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees for all small signatures \( \varepsilon \) (up to first/last sign change, simultaneous inversion of all signs, and reverse).
\( n = 4 \) | 22 (1), 20 (1)  
\( n = 5 \) | 92 (1), 78 (2), 70 (1)  
\( n = 6 \) | 422 (1), 342 (2), 316 (1), 284 (1), 282 (2), 252 (1)  
\( n = 7 \) | 2074 (1), 1628 (2), 1428 (2), 1298 (1), 1270 (2), 1172 (1), 1162 (1), 1044 (2), 1036 (2), 924 (1)  
\( n = 8 \) | 10754 (1), 8244 (2), 6966 (2), 6612 (1), 6388 (1), 6182 (2), 5498 (2), 5380 (2), 5334 (2), 4902 (1), 4884 (2), 4748 (2), 4392 (1), 4362 (2), 4356 (2), 4324 (1), 3882 (1), 3880 (2), 3852 (2), 3432 (1)  
\( n = 9 \) | 58202 (1), 43812 (2), 35998 (2), 33240 (1), 32908 (2), 31902 (2), 27660 (2), 26602 (2), 26392 (2), 25768 (2), 24888 (1), 24528 (2), 23530 (1), 23466 (2), 22768 (2), 20888 (2), 20886 (2), 20718 (2), 20244 (2), 20218 (2), 20082 (2), 18544 (1), 18518 (2), 18430 (2), 18376 (2), 17874 (2), 16470 (2), 16454 (1), 16358 (2), 16344 (2), 16342 (2), 16234 (1), 14550 (4), 14454 (2), 12870 (1)  
\( n = 10 \) | 326240 (1), 242058 (2), 194608 (2), 180678 (1), 172950 (2), 172304 (2), 166568 (1), 146622 (2), 139100 (2), 138130 (2), 131994 (2), 129870 (2), 129600 (2), 124896 (2), 122716 (2), 120800 (1), 113754 (2), 111274 (2), 107072 (2), 106854 (1), 106382 (2), 105660 (2), 105084 (3), 101028 (2), 100426 (2), 98730 (2), 97524 (2), 94908 (1), 94372 (1), 93854 (2), 89952 (2), 89324 (2), 89276 (2), 88966 (2), 86638 (2), 80343 (2), 80206 (2), 79826 (2), 79384 (2), 79226 (2), 79076 (2), 79018 (2), 78580 (1), 78528 (2), 76542 (2), 76526 (2), 76484 (2), 76072 (2), 70450 (2), 70316 (1), 69866 (4), 69838 (2), 69810 (2), 69400 (2), 69314 (1), 67694 (2), 62124 (3), 62102 (1), 62096 (2), 61766 (2), 61746 (2), 61706 (2), 61682 (2), 61376 (1), 54956 (2), 54920 (2), 54892 (1), 54626 (2), 48620 (1)  

| Table 3. All possible \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian numbers \( B_\varepsilon \) for signatures \( \varepsilon \) of sizes \( n \leq 10 \). Numbers in parenthesis indicate the multiplicity of each Baxter number; for example, the second line indicates that there are 8 (resp. 16, resp. 8) signatures \( \varepsilon \) of \( \pm^5 \) such that \( B_\varepsilon = 92 \) (resp. 78, resp. 70). |

In the following statements, we provide an inductive formula to compute all \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian numbers, using a two-parameters refinement. The proof is based on ideas similar to Proposition 15. The pairs of twin \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian trees are in bijection with the weak order maximal permutations of \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian classes. These permutations are precisely the permutations avoiding the patterns (\( \ast \)) in Remark 55. We consider the generating tree \( T_\varepsilon \) for these permutations. This tree has \( n \) levels, and the nodes at level \( m \) are labeled by permutations of \([m]\) whose values are signed by the restriction of \( \varepsilon \) to \([m]\) and avoiding the patterns (\( \ast \)). The parent of a permutation in \( T_\varepsilon \) is obtained by deleting its maximal value. See Figure 22.

As in the proof of Proposition 15, we consider the possible positions of \( m + 1 \) in the children of a permutation \( \tau \) at level \( m \) in this generating tree \( T_\varepsilon \). Index by \([0, \ldots, m]\) from left to right the gaps before the first letter, between two consecutive letters, and after the last letter of \( \tau \). Free gaps are those where placing \( m + 1 \) does not create a pattern of (\( \ast \)). Free gaps are marked with a blue dot in Figure 22. It is important to observe that gap 0 as well as the gaps immediately after \( m - 1 \) and \( m \) are always free, no matter \( \tau \) or the signature \( \varepsilon \).

Define the free-gap-type of \( \tau \) to be the pair \((\ell, r)\) where \( \ell \) (resp. \( r \)) denote the number of free gaps on the left (resp. right) of \( m \) in \( \tau \). For a signature \( \varepsilon \), let \( B_\varepsilon(\ell, r) \) denote the number of free-gap-type \((\ell, r)\) weak order maximal permutations of \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian classes. These refined Baxter-Cambrian numbers enables us to write inductive equations.

**Proposition 56.** Consider two signatures \( \varepsilon \in \pm^n \) and \( \varepsilon' \in \pm^{n-1} \), where \( \varepsilon' \) is obtained by deleting the last sign of \( \varepsilon \). Then

\[
B_\varepsilon(\ell, r) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{\ell' \geq \ell} B_{\varepsilon'}(\ell', r - 1) + \sum_{r' \geq r} B_{\varepsilon'}(\ell - 1, r') & \text{if } \varepsilon_{n-1} = \varepsilon_n, \\
\delta_{\ell=1} \cdot \delta_{r=2} \cdot \sum_{\ell' \geq 1} B_{\varepsilon'}(\ell', r') + \delta_{r=1} \cdot \sum_{\ell' \geq 1} B_{\varepsilon'}(\ell', r') & \text{if } \varepsilon_{n-1} \neq \varepsilon_n,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \delta \) denote the Kronecker \( \delta \) (defined by \( \delta_X = 1 \) if \( X \) is satisfied and \( 0 \) otherwise).
Proof. Assume first that $\varepsilon_{n-1} = \varepsilon_n$. Consider two permutations $\tau$ and $\tau'$ at level $n$ and $n-1$ in $T_\varepsilon^1$ such that $\tau'$ is obtained by deleting $n$ in $\tau$. Denote by $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the gaps immediately after $n-1$ and $n$ in $\tau$, by $\alpha'$ the gap immediately after $n-1$ in $\tau'$, and by $\beta'$ the gap in $\tau'$ where we insert $n$ to get $\tau$. Then, besides gaps 0, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the free gaps of $\tau$ are precisely the free gaps of $\tau'$ not located between gaps $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$. Indeed,

- inserting $d := n + 1$ just after a value $a$ located between $b = n - 1$ and $c := n$ in $\tau$ would create a pattern $b-ad-c$ or $c-ad-b$ with $\varepsilon_b = \varepsilon_c$;
- conversely, consider a gap $\gamma$ of $\tau$ not located between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. If inserting $n + 1$ at $\gamma$ in $\tau$ creates a forbidden pattern of $(\ast)$ with $c = n$, then inserting $n$ at $\gamma$ in $\tau'$ would also create the same forbidden pattern of $(\ast)$ with $c = n - 1$. Therefore, all free gaps not located between gaps $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$ remain free.

Let $(\ell, r)$ denote the free-gap-type of $\tau$ and $(\ell', r')$ denote the free-gap-type of $\tau'$. We obtain that

- $\ell' \geq \ell$ and $r' = r - 1$ if $n$ is inserted on the left of $n - 1$;
- $\ell' = \ell - 1$ and $r' \geq r$ if $n$ is inserted on the right of $n - 1$.

The formula follows immediately when $\varepsilon_{n-1} = -\varepsilon_n$.

Assume now that $\varepsilon_{n-1} = -\varepsilon_n$, and keep the same notations as before. Using similar arguments, we observe that besides gaps 0, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the free gaps of $\tau$ are precisely the free gaps of $\tau'$ located between gaps $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$. Therefore, we obtain that

- $\ell = 1$, $r \geq 2$, and $\ell' \geq r - 1$ if $n$ is inserted on the left of $n - 1$;
- $\ell \geq 2$, $r = 1$, and $r' \geq \ell - 1$ if $n$ is inserted on the right of $n - 1$.

The formula follows for $\varepsilon_{n-1} = -\varepsilon_n$. \qed

Before applying these formulas to obtain bounds on $B_\varepsilon$ for arbitrary signatures $\varepsilon$, let us consider two special signatures: the constant and the alternating signature.

**Alternating signature.** Since it is the easiest, we start with the alternating signature $\text{(+-)}^\sharp$ (where we define $\text{(+-)}^\sharp$ to be $\text{(+-)}^{m+}$ when $n = 2m + 1$ is odd).

**Proposition 57.** The Baxter-Cambrian numbers for alternating signatures are central binomial coefficients (see [OEIS, A000984]):

$$B_{\text{(+-)}^\sharp} = \binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** We prove by induction on $n$ that the refined Baxter-Cambrian numbers are

$$B_{\text{(+-)}^\sharp}(\ell, r) = \delta_{\ell=1} \cdot \delta_{r \geq 2} \cdot \binom{2n-2-r}{n-r} + \delta_{\ell \geq 2} \cdot \delta_{r=1} \cdot \binom{2n-2-\ell}{n-\ell}.$$

This is true for $n = 2$ since $B_{\text{+-}}(1, 2) = 1$ (counting the permutation 21) and $B_{\text{+-}}(2, 1) = 1$ (counting the permutation 12). Assume now that it is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Equation (\#) of Proposition 56 shows that

$$B_{\text{(+-)}^\sharp}(\ell, r) = \delta_{\ell=1} \cdot \delta_{r \geq 2} \cdot \sum_{\ell' \geq r-1} \binom{2n-2-\ell'}{n-\ell'} + \delta_{\ell \geq 2} \cdot \delta_{r=1} \cdot \sum_{r' \geq \ell-1} \binom{2n-2-r'}{n-r'}$$

$$= \delta_{\ell=1} \cdot \delta_{r \geq 2} \cdot \binom{2n-r}{n+1-r} + \delta_{\ell \geq 2} \cdot \delta_{r=1} \cdot \binom{2n-\ell}{n+1-\ell},$$

since a sum of binomial coefficients along a diagonal $\sum_{i=0}^p \binom{q+i}{i}$ simplifies to the binomial coefficient $\binom{q+p+1}{p}$ by multiple applications of Pascal’s rule. Finally, we conclude observing that

$$B_{\text{+-}} = \sum_{\ell, r \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\text{(+-)}^\sharp}(\ell, r) = 2 \sum_{u \geq 2} \binom{2n-2-u}{n-u} = 2 \binom{2n-3}{n-2} = \binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$ 

Remark 59 provides an alternative analytic proof for this result. \qed

**Remark 58** (Properties of the generating tree $T_\varepsilon^1$). Observe that:

(i) A permutation at level $m$ with $k$ free gaps has $k$ children, whose numbers of free gaps are 3, 3, 4, 5, \ldots, $k + 1$ respectively (compare to Lemma 16). This can already be observed on the generating tree $T_\varepsilon^1$ of Figure 22.
Figure 22. The generating trees $T_{\varepsilon}^1$ for the signatures $\varepsilon = -+++ \text{ (top)}$ and $\varepsilon = ++++ \text{ (bottom)}$. Free gaps are marked with a blue dot.
We now consider the \( \text{BYCCG03, FFNO11, BBMF11, LR12, Gir12}. \) The Baxter number counts several families:

- Baxter permutations of \([n]\), \text{i.e.} permutations avoiding the patterns \(b-da-c\) and \(c-ad-b\),
- weak order maximal (resp. minimal) permutations of Baxter congruence classes on \(\mathcal{S}_n\), \text{i.e.} permutations avoiding the patterns \(b-ad-c\) and \(c-ad-b\) (resp. \(b-da-c\) and \(c-da-b\)),
- pairs of twin binary trees on \(n\) nodes,
- diagonal rectangulations of an \(n \times n\) grid,
- plane bipolar orientations with \(n\) edges,
- non-crossing triples of path with \(k - 1\) north steps and \(n - k\) east steps, for all \(k \in [n]\),
- etc.

Bijections between all these Baxter families are discussed in [DG96, DG98, FFNO11, BBMF11].

**Remark 59** (Two proofs of the summation formula). There are essentially two ways to obtain the above summation formula for Baxter numbers: it was first proved analytically in [CGHK78], and then bijectively in [Vie81, DG98, FFNO11]. Let us shortly comment on these two techniques and discuss the limits of their extension to the Baxter-Cambrian setting.

(i) The **bijective proofs** in [DG98, FFNO11] transform pairs of binary trees to triples of non-crossing paths, and then use the Gessel-Viennot determinant lemma [GV85] to get the summation formula. The middle path of these triples is given by the canopy of the twin binary tree, while the other two paths are given by the structure of the trees. We are not yet able to adapt this technique to provide summation formulas for all Baxter-Cambrian numbers.

(ii) The **analytic proof** in [CGHK78] is based on Equation (\(=\)) of Proposition 56 and can be partially adapted to arbitrary signatures as follows. Define the extension of a signature \(\varepsilon \in \pm^n\) by a signature \(\delta \in \pm^m\) to be the signature \(\varepsilon \triangleleft \delta \in \pm^{n+m}\) such that \((\varepsilon \triangleleft \delta)_i = \varepsilon_i\) for \(i \in [n]\) and \((\varepsilon \triangleleft \delta)_{n+j} = \delta_j \cdot (\varepsilon \triangleleft \delta)_{n+j-1}\) for \(j \in m\). For example, \(++- < +---- = +++++---\). Then for any \(\varepsilon \in \pm^n\) and \(\delta \in \pm^m\), we have

\[
B_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{\ell, r \geq 1\ \varepsilon} X_\delta(\ell, r) B_\varepsilon(\ell, r),
\]

where the coefficients \(X_\delta(\ell, r)\) are obtained inductively from the formulas of Proposition 56. Namely, for any \(\ell, r \geq 1\), we have \(X_{\varepsilon}(\ell, r) = 1\) and

\[
X_{(+, \delta)}(\ell, r) = \sum_{1 \leq \ell' \leq \ell} X_\delta(\ell', r + 1) + \sum_{1 \leq r' \leq r} X_\delta(\ell + 1, r'),
\]

\[
X_{(-, \delta)}(\ell, r) = \sum_{2 \leq \ell' \leq r+1} X_\delta(\ell', 1) + \sum_{2 \leq r' \leq \ell+1} X_\delta(1, r').
\]
These equations translate on the generating function \( X_d(u, v) := \sum_{\ell, r \geq 1} X_d(\ell, r) u^{r-1} v^{r-1} \) to the formulas \( X_d(u, v) = \frac{1}{(1-u)(1-v)} \) and
\[
X_{(\delta)}(u, v) = \frac{X_d(u, v) - X_d(u, 0)}{(1-u)v} + \frac{X_d(u, v) - X_d(0, v)}{u(1-v)},
\]
\[
X_{(-\delta)}(u, v) = \frac{X_d(v, 0) - X_d(0, 0)}{(1-u)(1-v)} + \frac{X_d(0, v) - X_d(0, 0)}{u(1-u)(1-v)}.
\]
Note that the \( u/v \)-symmetry of \( X_d(u, v) \) is reflected in a symmetry on these inductive equations. We can thus write this generating function \( X_d(u, v) \) as
\[
X_d(u, v) = \sum_{k \in [\delta]+1} Y^{i,j,k}_d \frac{(-u)^i (-v)^j}{(1-u)^{\delta+2-k}(1-v)^k},
\]
where the non-vanishing coefficients \( Y^{i,j,k}_d \) are computed inductively by \( Y^{0,0,1}_d = 1 \) and
\[
Y^{i,j,k}_{(\delta)} = \binom{k}{j+1} Y^{i,0,k}_d - Y^{i,j+1,k}_d + \binom{j+3-k}{i+1} Y^{0,j,k-1}_d - Y^{i,j+1,k-1}_d,
\]
\[
Y^{i,j,k}_{(-\delta)} = \binom{k-1}{j} Y^{i,j+1,k}_d + \binom{j+2-k}{i} Y^{0,0,k-1}_d - \binom{k-1}{j+1} Y^{0,j,k-1}_d - Y^{i,j+1,k-1}_d.
\]
We used that \( Y^{i,j,k}_d = Y^{j,i,|\delta|+2-k} \) to simplify the second equation. Note that this decomposition of \( X_d \) is not unique and the inductive equations on \( Y^{i,j,k}_d \) follow from a particular choice of such a decomposition.

At that stage, F. Chung, R. Graham, V. Hoggatt, and M. Kleiman [CGHK78], guess and check that the first equation is always satisfied by
\[
X^{n,0,0}_d = \sum_{i,j,k} X^{i,j,k}_d \frac{(-u)^i (-v)^j}{(1-u)^{\delta+2-k}(1-v)^k},
\]
from which they derive immediately that
\[
B_{(+)n} = B_{(+)n-1} + \sum_{\ell, r \geq 1} X^{\ell,0,1}_d(\ell, r) B_{(+)n-1}(1, 1) = X^{(+)n-1}(0, 0)
= \sum_{k \in [n]} Y^{0,0,k}_d = \binom{n+1}{1}^{-1} \binom{n+1}{1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{n+1}{k}.
\]

Unfortunately, we have not been able to guess a closed formula for the coefficients \( Y^{i,j,k}_{(\delta)} \).

Note that it would be sufficient to understand the coefficients \( Y^{i,j,k}_{(\delta)} \) for which we observed empirically that
\[
Y^{0,0,0}_{(\delta)} = C_n, \quad Y^{i,0,0}_{(\delta)} = Y^{i,0,1}_{(\delta)} = \binom{2n-1-i}{n-1-i} \binom{n-1+i}{i} \binom{n+1}{i} / n \quad \text{and} \quad Y^{i,0,1-i}_{(\delta)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1-p} C_p.
\]
See [OEIS, A000108], [OEIS, A234950], and [OEIS, A028364]. This would provide an alternative proof of Proposition 57 as we would obtain that
\[
B_{(+)n} = B_{(+)n-1} = X_{(+)n-1}(0, 0) = \sum_{k \in [n]} Y^{0,0,k}_{(\delta)n-1} = nC_{n-1} = \binom{2n-2}{n-1}.
\]
However, even if we were not able to work out the coefficients \( Y^{i,j,k}_{(\delta)} \), we still obtain another proof Proposition 57 by checking directly on the inductive equations on \( X_d(u, v) \) that
\[
X_{(\delta)}(u, v) = \sum_{k \in [n]} \binom{2n-1-k}{n-1} \frac{1}{(1-u)(1-v)^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{(1-u)(1-v)^{k+1}(1-v)},
\]
from which we obtain
\[ B_{(+)} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = B_{\varepsilon < (-)\varepsilon^{-1}} = \varepsilon_{(-)\varepsilon^{-1}}(0,0) = \sum_{k \in [n-1]} 2 \binom{2n-3-k}{n-2} \]
\[ = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} \binom{2n-2-k}{n-2} = 2 \binom{2n-2}{n-1} - 2 \binom{2n-3}{n-2} = \binom{2n-2}{n-1}. \]

For the prior to last equality, choose \( n-1 \) positions among \( 2n-2 \) and group according to the first position \( k \).

**Arbitrary signatures** We now come back to an arbitrary signature \( \varepsilon \). We were not able to derive summation formulas for arbitrary signatures using the techniques presented in Remark 59 above. However, we use here the inductive formulas of Proposition 56 to bound the Baxter-Cambrian number \( B_{\varepsilon} \) for an arbitrary signature \( \varepsilon \).

For this, we consider the matrix \( B_{\varepsilon} := (B_{\varepsilon}(r,s))_{r,s \in [n]} \). The inductive formulas of Proposition 56 provide an efficient inductive algorithm to compute this matrix \( B_{\varepsilon} \) and thus the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian number \( B_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{r,s \in [n]} B_{\varepsilon}(r,s) \). Namely, if \( \varepsilon \) is obtained by adding a sign at the end of \( \varepsilon' \), then each entry of \( B_{\varepsilon} \) is the sum of entries of \( B_{\varepsilon'} \) in a region depending on whether \( \varepsilon_{n} = \varepsilon_{n-1} \). These regions are sketched in Figure 23 and examples of such computations appear in Figure 24.

![Figure 23. Inductive computation of \( B_{\varepsilon} \): the black entry of \( B_{\varepsilon} \) is the sum of the entries of \( B_{\varepsilon'} \) over the shaded region. Entries outside the upper triangular region always vanish. When \( \varepsilon_{n} = -\varepsilon_{n-1} \), the only non-vanishing entries of \( B_{\varepsilon} \) are in the first row or in the first column.](image)

We observe that the transformations of Figure 23 are symmetric with respect to the diagonal of the matrix. Since \( B_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) is symmetric, and \( B_{\varepsilon} \) is obtained from \( B_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'} \) by successive applications of these symmetric transformations, we obtain that \( B_{\varepsilon} \) is always symmetric. Although this fact may seem natural to the reader, it is not at all immediate as there is an asymmetry on the three forced free gaps: for example gap 0 is always free.

For a matrix \( M := (m_{i,j}) \), we consider the matrix \( M_{\text{se}} := (m_{i,j}^{\text{se}}) \) where

\[ m_{i,j}^{\text{se}} := \sum_{p \geq i, q \geq j} m_{p,q} \]

is the sum of all entries located south-east of \((i,j)\) (in matrix notation). Observe that \( (B_{\varepsilon})_{1,1}^{\text{se}} \) is the sum of all entries of \( B_{\varepsilon} \), and thus equals the \( \varepsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian number \( B_{\varepsilon} \). Using Figure 23, we obtain a similar rule to compute the entries of \( B_{\varepsilon}^{\text{se}} \) as sums of entries of \( B_{\varepsilon'}^{\text{se}} \) when \( \varepsilon \) is obtained by adding a sign at the end of \( \varepsilon' \). This rule is presented in Figure 25.

This matrix interpretation of the formulas of Proposition 56 provides us with tools to bound the Baxter-Cambrian numbers. For a signature \( \varepsilon \), we denote by \( \text{switch}(\varepsilon) \) the set of gaps where \( \varepsilon \) switches sign.

**Proposition 60.** For any two signatures \( \varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon} \in \pm^{n} \), if \( \text{switch}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{switch}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \) then \( B_{\varepsilon} > B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \).

**Proof.** For two matrices \( M := (m_{i,j}) \) and \( \tilde{M} := (\tilde{m}_{i,j}) \), we write \( M \succ \tilde{M} \) when \( m_{i,j} \geq \tilde{m}_{i,j} \) for all indices \( i,j \) (entrywise comparison), and we write \( M \succeq \tilde{M} \) when \( M \succ \tilde{M} \) and \( M \neq \tilde{M} \). Consider
By repeated applications of these observations, we therefore obtain that

$$
\text{switch}
$$

Corollary 61. Among all signatures of $\pm^n$, the constant signature maximizes the Baxter-Cambrian number, while the alternating signature minimizes it: for all $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$,

$$
\binom{2n-2}{n-1} B_{(+)}^* \leq B_\varepsilon \leq B_{(+)}^n = \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1 \end{array}\right)^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} n+1 \end{array}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{n+1}{k-1}.
$$
Remark 62. The proof of Proposition 60 may seem unnecessarily intricate. Observe however that the situation is rather subtle:

- If \( \text{switch}(\epsilon) \not\subseteq \text{switch}(\bar{\epsilon}) \), we may have \( B_{\epsilon} < B_{\bar{\epsilon}} \) even if \( \lvert \text{switch}(\epsilon) \rvert < \lvert \text{switch}(\bar{\epsilon}) \rvert \). The smallest example is given by \( B_{+++---} = 18376 < 18544 = B_{++---} \).
- We may have \( B_{\epsilon} \geq B_{\bar{\epsilon}} \) but \( B_{\epsilon} \not> B_{\bar{\epsilon}} \). See the third column of Figure 24.

2.1.6. Geometric realizations. Using similar tools as in Section 1.1.7 and following [LR12], we present geometric realizations for pairs of twin Cambrian trees, for the Baxter-Cambrian lattice, and for the Baxter-Cambrian \( \mathbf{P}^1 \)-symbol. For a partial order \( \prec \) on \([n]\), we still define its incidence cone \( C(\prec) \) and its braid cone \( C^\circ(\prec) \) as

\[
C(\prec) := \text{cone}\{e_i - e_j \mid \text{for all } i < j\}
\] and

\[
C^\circ(\prec) := \{x \in H \mid x_i \leq x_j \text{ for all } i < j\}.
\]

The cones \( C(T_\circ \shuffle T_\bullet) \) for all pairs \( [T_\circ, T_\bullet] \) of twin \( \epsilon \)-Cambrian trees form (together with all their faces) a complete polyhedral fan that we call the \( \epsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian fan. It is the common refinement of the \( \epsilon \)- and \( (-\epsilon) \)-Cambrian fans. It is therefore the normal fan of the Minkowski sum of the associahedra \( \text{Asso}(\epsilon) \) and \( \text{Asso}(-\epsilon) \). We call this polytope \( \text{Baxter-Cambrian associahedron} \) and denote it by \( \text{BaxAsso}(\epsilon) \). Note that \( \text{BaxAsso}(\epsilon) \) is clearly centrally symmetric (since \( \text{Asso}(\epsilon) = -\text{Asso}(-\epsilon) \)) but not necessarily simple. Examples are illustrated on Figure 26.

The graph of \( \text{BaxAsso}(\epsilon) \), oriented in the direction \((n, \ldots, 1) - (1, \ldots, n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n + 1 - 2i) e_i \), is the Hasse diagram of the \( \epsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian lattice. Finally, the Baxter-Cambrian \( \mathbf{P}^1 \)-symbol can be read geometrically as

\[
[T_\circ, T_\bullet] = \mathbf{P}^1(\tau) \iff C(T_\circ \shuffle T_\bullet) \subseteq C(\tau) \iff C^\circ(T_\circ \shuffle T_\bullet) \supseteq C^\circ(\tau).
\]

**Figure 26.** The Minkowski sum (blue, right) of the associahedra \( \text{Asso}(\epsilon) \) (red, left) and \( \text{Asso}(-\epsilon) \) (green, middle) gives a realization of the \( \epsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian lattice. Illustrated with the signatures \( \epsilon = -++- \) (top) and \( \epsilon = +--- \) (bottom) whose \( \epsilon \)-Baxter-Cambrian lattice are represented in Figures 19, 20, and 21.
2.2. BAXTER-CAMBRIAN HOPF ALGEBRA

In this section, we define the Baxter-Cambrian Hopf algebra \text{BaxCamb}, extending simultaneously the Cambrian Hopf algebra and the Baxter Hopf algebra studied by S. Law and N. Reading [LR12] and S. Giraudo [Gir12]. We present again the construction of \text{BaxCamb} as a subalgebra of \text{FQSymb} and that of its dual \text{BaxCamb}∗ as a quotient of \text{FQSymb}.

2.2.1. Subalgebra of \text{FQSymb}. We denote by \text{BaxCamb} the vector subspace of \text{FQSymb} generated by the elements

\[ \mathbb{P}_{[T_o, T_σ]} := \sum_{τ ∈ \mathcal{Σ}} F_τ = \sum_{τ ∈ \mathcal{C}(T_o, T_σ)} F_τ, \]

for all pairs of twin Cambrian trees \([T_o, T_σ]\). For example, for the pair of twin Cambrian trees of Figure 18 (left), we have

\[ \mathbb{P} = F_{21} F_{31536} + F_{371536} + F_{221346} + F_{271346} + F_{271536} + F_{721536}. \]

**Theorem 63.** \text{BaxCamb} is a Hopf subalgebra of \text{FQSymb}.

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is left to the reader as it is very similar to that of Theorem 24. Exchanges in a permutation τ of the product \(\mathbb{P}_{[T_o, T_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T'_o, T'_σ]}\) are due to exchanges either in the linear extensions of \(T_o \updownarrow T_σ\) and \(T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ\) or in the shuffle product of these linear extensions. The coproduct is treated similarly. \(\square\)

As for the Cambrian algebra, we can describe combinatorially the product and coproduct of \(\mathbb{P}\)-basis elements of \text{BaxCamb} in terms of operations on pairs of twin Cambrian trees.

**Proposition 64.** For any two pairs \([T_o, T_σ]\) and \([T'_o, T'_σ]\) of twin Cambrian trees, the product \(\mathbb{P}_{[T_o, T_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T'_o, T'_σ]}\) is given by

\[ \mathbb{P}_{[T_o, T_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T'_o, T'_σ]} = \sum_{[S_o, S_σ]} \mathbb{P}_{[S_o, S_σ]}, \]

where \([S_o, S_σ]\) runs over the interval between \([T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T_o \updownarrow T'_σ]\) and \([T_o \downarrow T'_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]\) in the \(\varepsilon(T_o) ε(T'_o)\)-Baxter-Cambrian lattice.

**Proof.** The result relies on the fact that the \(\varepsilon\)-Baxter-Cambrian classes are intervals of the weak order on \(\mathcal{Σ}^\varepsilon\), and that the shuffle product of two intervals of the weak order is again an interval of the weak order. See the similar proof of Proposition 25. \(\square\)

For example, we can compute the product

\[ \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} = \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \]

\[ = \left( \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \right) \cdot \left( \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \right) \]

\[ = \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \]

\[ = \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \downarrow T'_o \uparrow T_σ, T'_o \downarrow T'_σ]} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{[T_o \updownarrow T_σ, T'_o \updownarrow T'_σ]} \].
Remark 65 (Multiplicative bases). Similar to the multiplicative bases defined in Section 1.3, the bases $E^{[T_o, T_s]}$ and $H^{[T_o, T_s]}$ defined by

$$E^{[T_o, T_s]} := \sum_{[T_o, T_s] \leq [T'_o, T'_s]} P^{[T'_o, T'_s]} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{[T_o, T_s]} := \sum_{[T_o, T_s] \leq [T'_o, T'_s]} P^{[T'_o, T'_s]}$$

are multiplicative since

$$E^{[T_o, T_s]} \cdot E^{[T'_o, T'_s]} = E^{[T_o \rightarrow T'_o, T_s \rightarrow T'_s]} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{[T_o, T_s]} \cdot H^{[T'_o, T'_s]} = H^{[T'_o \leftarrow T_o, T'_s \leftarrow T_s]}.$$ 

The $E$-indecomposable elements are precisely the pairs $[T_o, T_s]$ for which all linear extensions of $T_o \uparrow T_s$ are indecomposable. In particular, $[T_o, T_s]$ is $E$-indecomposable as soon as $T_o$ is $E$-indecomposable or $T_s$ is $H$-indecomposable. This condition is however not necessary. For example $P(3142)$ is $E$-indecomposable while $P(3142) = P(1342)$ is $E$-decomposable and $P(2413) = P(4213)$ is $H$-indecomposable. The enumerative and structural properties studied in Section 1.3 do not hold anymore for the set of $E$-indecomposable pairs of twin Cambrian trees: they form an ideal of the Baxter-Cambrian lattice, but this ideal is not principal as in Proposition 34, and they are not counted by simple formulas as in Proposition 36. Let us however mention that

- the numbers of $E$-indecomposable elements with constant signature $(-)^n$ are given by $1, 1, 3, 11, 47, 221, \ldots$ See [OEIS, A217216].
- the numbers of $E$-indecomposable elements with constant signature $(+-)^{n/2}$ are given by $1, 1, 3, 9, 29, 97, 333, 1165, 4135, \ldots$ These numbers are the coefficients of the Taylor series of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e^{-x}}}$. See [OEIS, A081606] for references and details.

Coproduct. A cut of a pair of twin Cambrian trees $[S_o, S_s]$ is a pair $\gamma = [\gamma_o, \gamma_s]$ where $\gamma_o$ is a cut of $S_o$ and $\gamma_s$ is a cut of $S_s$ such that the labels of $S_o$ below $\gamma_o$ coincide with the labels of $S_s$ above $\gamma_s$. Equivalently, it can be seen as a lower set of $T_o \uparrow T_s$. An example is illustrated in Figure 27.

![Figure 27. A cut $\gamma$ of a pair of twin Cambrian trees.](image)

We denote by $AB([S_o, S_s], [\gamma_o, \gamma_s])$ the set of pairs $[A_o, B_s]$, where $A_o$ appears in the product $\prod_{T \in A([S_o, S_s])} P_T$ while $B_s$ appears in the product $\prod_{T \in B([S_o, S_s])} P_T$, and $A_o$ and $B_s$ are twin Cambrian trees. We define $BA([S_o, S_s], [\gamma_o, \gamma_s])$ similarly exchanging the role of $A$ and $B$. We obtain the following description of the coproduct in the Baxter-Cambrian algebra $\text{BaxCamb}$.

Proposition 66. For any pair of twin Cambrian trees $[S_o, S_s]$, the coproduct $\Delta P_{[S_o, S_s]}$ is given by

$$\Delta P_{[S_o, S_s]} = \sum_{\gamma} \left( \sum_{[B_s, A_s]} P_{[B_s, A_s]} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{[A_o, B_o]} P_{[A_o, B_o]} \right),$$

where $\gamma$ runs over all cuts of $[S_o, S_s]$, $[B_s, A_s]$ runs over $BA([S_o, S_s], [\gamma_o, \gamma_s])$ and $[A_o, B_s]$ runs over $AB([S_o, S_s], [\gamma_o, \gamma_s])$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 26. The difficulty here is to describe the linear extensions of the union of the forest $A(S_o, \gamma_o)$ with the opposite of the forest $B(S_s, \gamma_s)$. This difficulty is hidden in the definition of $AB([S_o, S_s], [\gamma_o, \gamma_s])$. \hfill \Box
For example, we can compute the coproduct
\[
\Delta P = \Delta (F_{2a} T_a + F_{2b} T_b) = 1 \otimes (F_{2a} T_a + F_{2b} T_b) + F_{2a} \otimes (F_{2a} T_a + F_{2b} T_b) + F_{2b} \otimes (F_{2a} T_a + F_{2b} T_b) + F_{2a} + F_{2b} \otimes F_a + F_{2b} \otimes F_b + (F_{2a} T_a + F_{2b} T_b) \otimes 1
\]

In the result line, we have grouped the summands according to the six possible cuts of the pair of twin Cambrian trees.

**Matriochka algebras** As the Baxter-Cambrian classes refine the Cambrian classes, the Baxter-Cambrian Hopf algebra is sandwiched between the Hopf algebra on signed permutations and the Cambrian Hopf algebra. It completes our sequence of subalgebras:

Rec ⊂ Camb ⊂ BaxCamb ⊂ FQSym⁺.

### 2.2.2. Quotient algebra of FQSym⁺

As for the Cambrian algebra, the following result is automatic from Theorem 63.

**Theorem 67.** The graded dual BaxCamb⁺ of the Baxter-Cambrian algebra is isomorphic to the image of FQSym⁺ under the canonical projection
\[
\pi : \mathbb{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(A)/\equiv^1,
\]

where \(\equiv^1\) denotes the Baxter-Cambrian congruence. The dual basis \(Q_{[T, T]}\) of \(P_{[T, T]}\) is expressed as \(Q_{[T, T]} = \pi(G_T)\), where \(\tau\) is any linear extension of \(T_{\circ}\).

We now describe the product and coproduct in BaxCamb⁺ by combinatorial operations on pairs of twin Cambrian trees. We use the definitions and notations introduced in Section 1.2.3.

**Product** The product in BaxCamb⁺ can be described using gaps and laminations similarly to Proposition 29. An example is illustrated on Figure 28. For two Cambrian trees \(T\) and \(T'\) and a shuffle \(s\) of the signatures \(\varepsilon(T)\) and \(\varepsilon(T')\), we still denote by \(T \leftrightarrow T'\) the tree described in Section 1.2.3.

**Proposition 68.** For any two pairs of twin Cambrian trees \([T_0, T]\) and \([T'_0, T']\), the product \(Q_{[T_0, T]} \cdot Q_{[T'_0, T']}\) is given by
\[
Q_{[T_0, T]} \cdot Q_{[T'_0, T']} = \sum_s Q_{[T_0 \leftarrow T_0; T' \leftrightarrow T']}\]

where \(s\) runs over all shuffles of the signatures \(\varepsilon(T_0) = \varepsilon(T)\) and \(\varepsilon(T'_0) = \varepsilon(T').\)

**Proof.** The proof follows the same lines as that of Proposition 29. The only difference is that if \(\tau \in \mathcal{L}(T_0 I T)\), \(\tau' \in \mathcal{L}(T_0' I T'_0)\), and \(\sigma \in \tau \star \tau'\), then \(T_0 = P(\tau)\) appears below \(T'_0 = P(\tau')\) in \(P(\sigma)\) since \(\sigma\) is inserted from left to right in \(P(\sigma)\), while \(T_0 = P(\tau)\) appears above \(T'_0 = P(\tau')\) in \(P(\sigma)\) since \(\sigma\) is inserted from right to left in \(P(\sigma)\).

For example, we can compute the product
\[
Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] \cdot Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] = G_{T_1} \cdot G_{T_2}
\]

\[
= G_{T_1 T_2} + G_{T_1 I T_2} + G_{T_2 T_1} + G_{T_2 I T_1} + G_{T_1 T_3} + G_{T_2 T_3} + G_{T_1 T_2}
\]

\[
= Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}] + Q_{[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}].
\]
Figure 28. Two pairs of twin Cambrian trees $[T_\circ, T_\bullet]$ and $[T'_\circ, T'_\bullet]$ (left), and a pair of twin Cambrian tree which appear in the product $Q_{[T_\circ, T_\bullet]} \cdot Q_{[T'_\circ, T'_\bullet]}$ (right).

**Coproduct** The coproduct in $\text{BaxCamb}^*$ can be described combinatorially as in Proposition 30. For a Cambrian tree $S$ and a gap $\gamma$ between two consecutive vertices of $S$, we still denote by $L(S, \gamma)$ and $R(S, \gamma)$ the left and right Cambrian subtrees of $S$ when split along the path $\lambda(S, \gamma)$.

**Proposition 69.** For any pair of twin Cambrian trees $[S_\circ, S_\bullet]$, the coproduct $\Delta Q_{[S_\circ, S_\bullet]}$ is given by

$$\Delta Q_{[S_\circ, S_\bullet]} = \sum_{\gamma} Q[L(S_\circ, \gamma), L(S_\bullet, \gamma)] \otimes Q[R(S_\circ, \gamma), R(S_\bullet, \gamma)],$$

where $\gamma$ runs over all gaps between consecutive positions in $[n]$.

**Proof.** The proof is identical to that of Proposition 30. \qed

For example, we can compute the coproduct

$$\Delta Q_{[T_\circ, T_\bullet]} = \Delta G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} = 1 \otimes Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] + G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} \otimes G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} + G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} \otimes G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} + G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} \otimes G_{T_\circ T_\bullet} \otimes 1$$

$$= 1 \otimes Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] + Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] \otimes Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] + Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] \otimes Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] + Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] \otimes Q[T_\circ T_\bullet] \otimes 1.$$

### 2.3. Cambrian tuples

This section is devoted to a natural extension of our results on twin Cambrian trees and the Baxter-Cambrian algebra to arbitrary intersections of Cambrian congruences. Since the results presented here are straightforward generalizations of that of Sections 2.1 and 2.2, all proofs of this section are left to the reader.

**2.3.1. Combinatorics of Cambrian tuples.** As observed in Remark 43, pairs of twin Cambrian trees can as well be thought of as pairs of Cambrian trees on opposite signature whose union is acyclic. We extend this idea to arbitrary signatures. For an $\ell$-tuple $T$ and $k \in [\ell]$, we denote by $T[k]$ the $k$th element of $T$.

**Definition 70.** A **Cambrian $\ell$-tuple** is an $\ell$-tuple $T$ of Cambrian trees $T[k]$ on the same vertex set, and whose union forms an acyclic graph. The signature of $T$ is the $\ell$-tuple of signatures $\varepsilon(T) := [\varepsilon(T[1]), \ldots, \varepsilon(T[\ell])]$. Let $\text{Camb}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the set of Cambrian $\ell$-tuples of signature $\mathcal{E}$. 
**Definition 71.** A leveled Cambrian $\ell$-tuple is a $\ell$-tuple $T$ of leveled Cambrian trees $T_k$ with labelings $p(k), q(k)$, and such that $q(k) \circ p(k)^{-1}$ is independent of $k$. In other words, it is a Cambrian $\ell$-tuple endowed with a linear extension of the union of its trees.

For example, pairs of twin (leveled) Cambrian trees are particular (leveled) Cambrian 2-tuples. A Cambrian 2-tuple and a leveled Cambrian 2-tuple with signature $[\ldots \ldots +, + \ldots + \ldots +]$ are represented in Figure 29.

We now want to define an analogue of the Cambrian correspondence. For this, we need permutations recording $\ell$ different signatures. Call $\ell$-signed permutation a permutation table where each dot receives an $\ell$-tuple of signs. In other words, it is an element of the wreath product of $S$ by $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\ell$. For example,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

is a 2-signed permutation whose signatures are marked with $\sim \\sim$ and $\sim$ respectively. For a $\ell$-signed permutation $\tau$ and $k \in [\ell]$, we denote by $\tau[k]$ the signed permutation where we only keep the $k$-th signature. For example

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\end{array} 
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array} 
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\sim \begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 7 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell, \E}$ the set of all $\ell$-signed permutations and by $\mathfrak{S}_{\E}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{S}^\ell$) the set of $\ell$-signed permutations with $p$-signatures (resp. $v$-signatures) $\E$. Applying the Cambrian correspondences in parallel yields a map form $\ell$-signed permutations to Cambrian $\ell$-tuples.

**Proposition 72.** The map $\Theta_\ell$ defined by $\Theta_\ell(\tau) = [\Theta(\tau_1), \ldots, \Theta(\tau_\ell)]$ is a bijection from $\ell$-signed permutations to leveled Cambrian $\ell$-tuples. The map $P_\ell$ defined by $P_\ell(\tau) = [P(\tau_1), \ldots, P(\tau_\ell)]$ is a surjection from $\ell$-signed permutations to Cambrian $\ell$-tuples.

For example, the Cambrian 2-tuple and the leveled Cambrian 2-tuple on Figure 29 are

$$P_2\left(\frac{2751346}{123546}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2\left(\frac{2751346}{123546}\right).$$

As in the Cambrian and Baxter-Cambrian situation, the permutations with the same $P^1$-symbol define the Cambrian congruence classes on $\ell$-signed permutations.

**Definition 73.** For a signature $\ell$-tuple $\E$, the $\E$-Cambrian congruence on $\mathfrak{S}_{\E}$ is the intersection $\equiv_\E = \bigcap_{k \in [\ell]} \equiv_{E_k}$ of all $E_k$-Cambrian congruences. In other words, it is the transitive closure of the rewriting rule $UacV \equiv_\E UcaV$ if for all $k \in [\ell]$, there exists $a < b_k < c$ such that $(E_k)[b_k] = +$ and $b_k$ appears in $U$, or $(E_k)[b_k] = -$ and $b_k$ appears in $V$. The Cambrian congruence on $\mathfrak{S}_{1, \E}$ is the equivalence relation $\equiv_\E$ on all $\ell$-signed permutations obtained as the union of all $E$-Cambrian congruences.

For example, the $[-+-+, +++++]$-Cambrian classes are represented on Figure 30.
An example is illustrated on Figure 32. The 1-skeleton of this polytope, oriented in the direction

\[ \tau \equiv_\ell \tau' \iff P_\ell(\tau) = P_\ell(\tau'). \]

Proposition 75. The \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian class indexed by the Cambrian \( \ell \)-tuple \( \mathcal{T} \) is the intersection of the \( \mathcal{E}_k \)-Cambrian classes indexed by \( \mathcal{T}_k \) over \( k \in \ell \).

We now present the rotation operation on Cambrian \( \ell \)-tuples.

Definition 76. Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be a Cambrian \( \ell \)-tuple and consider an edge \( i \to j \) of the union \( \bigcup_{k \in \ell} \mathcal{T}_k \). We say that the edge \( i \to j \) is rotatable if either \( i \to j \) is an edge or \( i \) and \( j \) are incomparable in each tree \( \mathcal{T}_k \) (note that \( i \to j \) is an edge in at least one of these trees since it belongs to their union). If \( i \to j \) is rotatable in \( \mathcal{T} \), its rotation transforms \( \mathcal{T} \) to the \( \ell \)-tuple of trees \( \mathcal{T}' := \left[ \mathcal{T}'_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}'_{\ell} \right] \), where \( \mathcal{T}'_k \) is obtained by rotation of \( i \to j \) in \( \mathcal{T}_k \) if possible and \( \mathcal{T}'_k = \mathcal{T}_k \) otherwise.

Proposition 77. Rotating a rotatable edge \( i \to j \) in a Cambrian \( \ell \)-tuple \( \mathcal{T} \) yields a Cambrian \( \ell \)-tuple \( \mathcal{T}' \) with the same signature.

Consider the *increasing rotation graph* whose vertices are \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian tuples and whose arcs are increasing rotations \( \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}' \), i.e., for which \( i < j \) in Definition 76. This graph is illustrated on Figure 31 for the signature 2-tuple \( \mathcal{E} = [-++-, +---] \).

Proposition 78. For any cover relation \( \tau < \tau' \) in the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E} \), either \( P_\ell(\tau) = P_\ell(\tau') \) or \( P_\ell(\tau) \to P_\ell(\tau') \) in the increasing rotation graph.

It follows that the increasing rotation graph on \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian tuples is acyclic. We call *\( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian poset* its transitive closure. In other words, the previous statement says that the map \( P_\ell \) defines a poset homomorphism from the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E} \) to the \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian poset. This homomorphism is in fact a lattice homomorphism.

Proposition 79. The \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian poset is a lattice quotient of the weak order on \( \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E} \).

The \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian lattice has natural geometric realizations, similar to the geometric realizations of the Baxter-Cambrian lattice. Namely, for a signature \( \ell \)-tuple \( \mathcal{E} \), the cones \( C(\mathcal{T}) := C(\bigcup_{k \in \ell} \mathcal{T}_k) \) for all \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian tuples \( \mathcal{T} \) form (together with all their faces) a complete polyhedral fan that we call the \( \mathcal{E} \)-Cambrian fan. It is the common refinement of the \( \mathcal{E}_k \)-Cambrian fans for \( k \in \ell \). It is therefore the normal fan of the Minkowski sum of the associahedra \( \text{Asso}(\mathcal{E}_k) \) for \( k \in \ell \). An example is illustrated on Figure 32. The 1-skeleton of this polytope, oriented in the direction.
of \((n, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, n) = \sum_{i \in [n]} (n+1-2i) e_i\), is the Hasse diagram of the \(E\)-Cambrian lattice. Finally, the \(E\)-Cambrian \(P\)-symbol can be read geometrically as

\[ T = P_\ell(\tau) \iff C(T) \subseteq C(\tau) \iff C^0(T) \supseteq C^0(\tau). \]

2.3.2. Cambrian tuple Hopf Algebra. In this section, we construct a Hopf algebra indexed by Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples, similar to the Baxter-Cambrian algebra. Exactly as we needed to consider the Hopf algebra \(\text{FQSym}_E\) on signed permutations when constructing the Cambrian algebra to keep track of the signature, we now need to consider a natural extension of \(\text{FQSym}\) on \(\ell\)-signed permutation to keep track of the \(\ell\) signatures of \(E\).

The \textit{shifted shuffle product} \(\tau \uplus \tau'\) (resp. \textit{convolution product} \(\tau \ast \tau'\)) of two \(\ell\)-signed permutations \(\tau, \tau'\) is still defined as the shifted product (resp. convolution product) where signs travel with their values (resp. stay at their positions). When \(\ell = 2\) and the two signatures are marked with \(\sim_\ell\) respectively, we have for example

\[ T_2 \uplus 231 = \{ 2453, 14253, 14523, 14532, 41253, 41523, 41532, 45123, 45132, 45312, 45321, 453T2 \}, \]

\[ T_2 \ast 231 = \{ 2453, 14253, 14523, 14532, 23451, 2345T, 23541, 2354T, 23514, 2351T, 23524, 2352T \}. \]

We denote by \(\text{FQSym}_{\ell, E}\) the Hopf algebra with basis \((F_\tau)_{\tau \in \Theta_{\ell, E}}\) indexed by \(\ell\)-signed permutations and whose product and coproduct are defined by

\[ F_\tau \cdot F_{\tau'} = \sum_{\sigma \in \tau \uplus \tau'} F_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F_\tau = \sum_{\sigma \in \tau \ast \tau'} F_\sigma \otimes F_{\sigma'}. \]

**Remark 80** (Cambrian algebra vs. \(G\)-colored binary tree algebra). Checking that these product and coproduct produce a Hopf algebra is standard. It even extends to a Hopf algebra \(\text{FQSym}_G\) on \(G\)-colored permutations for an arbitrary semigroup \(G\), see e.g. \([NT10, BH08, BH06]\). In these papers, the authors use this algebra \(\text{FQSym}_G\) to defined \(G\)-colored subalgebras from congruence relations on permutations, see in particular \([BH06]\). Note that our construction of the Cambrian algebra and of the tuple Cambrian algebra really differs from the construction of \([BH06]\) as our congruence relations depend on the signs, while their congruences do not.

We denote by \(\text{Camb}_\ell\) the vector subspace of \(\text{FQSym}_{\ell, E}\) generated by the elements

\[ P_T := \sum_{\tau \in \Theta_{\ell, E}} F_\tau = \sum_{\tau \in \Theta_{\ell, E}} F_{\tau(T)}, \]

for all Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples \(T\). For example, for the Cambrian tuple of Figure 29 (left), we have

\[ P_T = F_{12T, 745} + F_{217, 345} + F_{274, 315} + F_{274, 135} + F_{274, 315} + F_{274, 135} + F_{274, 135} + F_{274, 135}. \]

**Theorem 81.** \(\text{Camb}_\ell\) is a Hopf subalgebra of \(\text{FQSym}_{\ell, E}\) if and only if

As for the Cambrian algebra, the product and coproduct of \(P\)-basis elements of the \(\ell\)-Cambrian algebra \(\text{Camb}_\ell\) can be described directly in terms of combinatorial operations on Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples.

**[PRODUCT]** The product in the \(\ell\)-Cambrian algebra \(\text{Camb}_\ell\) can be described in terms of intervals in \(\ell\)-Cambrian lattices. We denote by \(\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' := [\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_1'], \ldots, \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_n']\) the componentwise concatenation of two signature \(\ell\)-tuples \(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'\). Similarly, for two Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples \(T, T'\), we define

\[ T \uplus T' := [T_1 \uplus T_1', \ldots, T_\ell \uplus T_\ell'] \quad \text{and} \quad T \ast T' := [T_1 \ast T_1', \ldots, T_\ell \ast T_\ell']. \]

**Proposition 82.** For any two Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples \(T\) and \(T'\), the product \(P_T \cdot P_{T'}\) is given by

\[ P_T \cdot P_{T'} = \sum_{S} P_S, \]

where \(S\) runs over the interval between \(T \uplus T'\) and \(T \ast T'\) in the \(\mathcal{E}(T)\mathcal{E}(T')\)-Cambrian lattice.
Figure 31. The \([-++,+---]\)-Cambrian lattice on Cambrian tuples. See also Figure 30.

Figure 32. The Minkowski sum (blue, right) of the associahedra $\text{Asso}(-+++)$ (red, left) and $\text{Asso}(+---)$ (green, middle) gives a realization of the \([-++,+---]\)-Cambrian lattice, represented in Figures 30 and 31.
\textbf{Remark 83} (Multiplicative bases). Similar to the multiplicative bases defined in Section 1.3 and Remark 65, the bases $E^T$ and $H^T$ defined by

$$E^T := \sum_{T \subseteq T'} P_T$$
and $$H^T := \sum_{T' \subseteq T} P_T,$$

are multiplicative since

$$E^T \cdot E^{T'} = E^{T \cup T'}$$
and $$H^T \cdot H^{T'} = H^{T \setminus T'}.$$  

The $\mathbb{E}$-indecomposable elements are precisely the Cambrian $\ell$-tuples $T$ such that all linear extensions of the union $\bigcup_{k \in [\ell]} T[k]$ are indecomposable. In particular, $T$ is $\mathbb{E}$-indecomposable as soon as one of the $T[k]$ is $\mathbb{E}$-indecomposable, but this condition is not necessary. The $\mathbb{E}$-indecomposable $E$-Cambrian tuples form an ideal of the $E$-Cambrian lattice, but this ideal is not principal.

\textbf{Coproduct} A cut $\gamma$ of a Cambrian $\ell$-tuple $S$ is a cut of the union $\bigcup_{k \in [\ell]} S[k]$. It defines a cut $\gamma[k]$ on each Cambrian tree $S[k]$. We denote by

$$A(S, \gamma) := A(S[1], \gamma[1]) \times \cdots \times A(S[\ell], \gamma[\ell])$$
and $$B(S, \gamma) := B(S[1], \gamma[1]) \times \cdots \times B(S[\ell], \gamma[\ell]).$$

\textbf{Proposition 84.} For any Cambrian $\ell$-tuple $S$, the coproduct $\Delta P_S$ is given by

$$\Delta P_S = \sum \gamma \left( \sum_{B \in B(S, \gamma)} P_B \otimes \left( \sum_{A \in A(S, \gamma)} P_A \right) \right),$$
where $\gamma$ runs over all cuts of $S$.

2.3.3. \textbf{Dual Cambrian tuple Hopf Algebra.} We now consider the dual Hopf algebra of $\text{Camb}_\ell$. Again, the following statement is automatic from Theorem 81.

\textbf{Theorem 85.} The graded dual $\text{Camb}^*_\ell$ of the $\ell$-Cambrian algebra is isomorphic to the image of $FQSym^\ell$ under the canonical projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(A)/\equiv_\ell,$$
where $\equiv_\ell$ denotes the $\ell$-Cambrian congruence. The dual basis $Q_T$ of $P_T$ is expressed as $Q_T = \pi(G_T)$, where $\pi$ is any linear extension of $\bigcup_{k \in [\ell]} T[k]$.

We now describe the product and coproduct in $\text{Camb}^*_\ell$ in terms of combinatorial operations on Cambrian $\ell$-tuples. We use the definitions and notations introduced in Section 1.2.3.

\textbf{Product} The product in $\text{Camb}^*_\ell$ can be described using gaps and laminations similarly to Proposition 29. For two Cambrian trees $T$ and $T'$ and a shuffle $s$ of the signatures $\varepsilon(T)$ and $\varepsilon(T')$, we still denote by $T \setminus T'$ the tree described in Section 1.2.3. For two Cambrian $\ell$-tuples $T$ and $T'$, with trees of size $n$ and $n'$ respectively, and for a shuffle $s$ of $[n]$ and $[n']$, we write

$$T \setminus T' := [T[1] \setminus T'[1], \ldots, T[\ell] \setminus T'[\ell]],$$
where we see $s$ as a shuffle of the signatures $\varepsilon(T[k])$ and $\varepsilon(T'[k]).$

\textbf{Proposition 86.} For any two Cambrian $\ell$-tuples $T, T'$, the product $Q_T \cdot Q_{T'}$ is given by

$$Q_T \cdot Q_{T'} = \sum_s Q_{T \setminus T'},$$
where $s$ runs over all shuffles of $[n]$ and $[n']$ (where $n$ and $n'$ denote the respective sizes of the trees of $T$ and $T'$).

\textbf{Coproduct} The coproduct in $\text{Camb}^*_\ell$ can be described combinatorially as in Proposition 30. For a Cambrian $\ell$-tuple $S$, with trees of size $n$, and a gap $\gamma \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, we define

$$L(S, \gamma) = [L(T[1], \gamma), \ldots, L(T[\ell], \gamma)]$$
and $$R(S, \gamma) = [R(T[1], \gamma), \ldots, R(T[\ell], \gamma)].$$

\textbf{Proposition 87.} For any Cambrian $\ell$-tuple $S$, the coproduct $\Delta Q_S$ is given by

$$\Delta Q_S = \sum \gamma Q_{L(S, \gamma)} \otimes Q_{R(S, \gamma)},$$
where $\gamma$ runs over all gaps between consecutive positions in $[n]$ (where $n$ denotes the size of the trees of $T$).
Part 3. The Schröder-Cambrian Hopf Algebra

3.1. Schröder-Cambrian trees

We already insisted on the fact that the bases of M. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer’s algebra on permutations, of J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco’s algebra on binary trees, and of L. Solomon’s descent algebra correspond to the vertices of the permutahedra, of the associahedra, and of the cubes respectively. In [Cha00], F. Chapoton generalized these algebras to three Hopf algebras with bases indexed by all faces of the permutahedra, of the associahedra, and of the cubes. To conclude the paper, we show that F. Chapoton’s construction extends as well to the Cambrian setting. We obtain the Schröder-Cambrian Hopf algebra with basis indexed by all faces of all associahedra of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange. It is also a good occasion to observe relevant combinatorial properties of Schröder-Cambrian trees, which correspond to the faces of these associahedra.

3.1.1. Schröder-Cambrian trees. The faces of J.-L. Loday’s n-dimensional associahedron correspond to Schröder trees with n + 1 leaves, i.e. trees where each internal node has at least 2 children. We first recall the Cambrian counterpart of these trees, which correspond to all faces of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange’s associahedra (see Section 3.1.6). These trees were defined in [LP13] as “spines” of dissections of polygons, see Remark 90.

Definition 88. Consider a signature ε ∈ ±n. For X ⊆ [n], we denote by X+ := {x ∈ X | εx = +} and X− := {x ∈ X | εx = −}. A Schröder ε-Cambrian tree is a directed tree T with vertex set V endowed with a vertex labeling ε : V → ±n such that

(i) the labels of T partition [n], i.e. v ≠ w ∈ V ⇒ p(v) ∩ p(w) = ∅ and ∪v∈V p(v) = [n];
(ii) each vertex v ∈ V has one incoming (resp. outgoing) subtree Tε,1 for each interval I of [n] \ p(v)− (resp. of [n] \ p(v)+) and all labels of Tε,1 are subsets of I.

For p ≥ 0 and ε ∈ ±n, we denote by SchrCambεp the set of Schröder ε-Cambrian trees with at most n − p internal nodes, and we define SchrCambεp(n) := ∪I⊆ε±n SchrCambεp(ε) and SchrCambεp := ∪p≥0 SchrCambεp(n). They correspond to associahedron faces of dimension at least p. Finally, we simply omit the superscript εp in the previous notations to denote Schröder-Cambrian trees with arbitrary many internal nodes. Note that this defines a filtration

SchrCamb = ∪p≥1 SchrCambεp

with SchrCambε0 ⊂ SchrCambε1 ⊂ ... .

Definition 89. A k-leveled Schröder ε-Cambrian tree is a directed tree with vertex set V endowed with two labelings p : V → 2[k] \ ∅ and q : V → [k] which respectively define a Schröder ε-Cambrian tree and an increasing tree (meaning that q is surjective and v → w in T implies that q(v) < q(w)).

A Schröder-Cambrian tree and a 3-leveled Schröder-Cambrian tree are represented in Figure 33. Note that each level of a k-leveled Schröder ε-Cambrian tree may contain more than one node.

Figure 33. A Schröder-Cambrian tree (left), an increasing tree (middle), and a 3-leveled Schröder-Cambrian tree (right).

Remark 90 (Spines of dissections). Exactly as ε-Cambrian trees correspond to triangulations of the (n + 2)-gon Pε (see Remark 4), Schröder ε-Cambrian trees correspond to all dissections of Pε. See Figure 34 and refer to [LP13] for details.
Remark 90 immediately implies that the number of Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees with $k$ nodes is the number of $(n - k)$-dimensional faces of the associahedron, and is therefore independent of the signature $\varepsilon$. An alternative proof based on generating trees is mentioned in Remark 108.

**Proposition 91.** For any signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, the number of Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees with $k$ internal nodes is

$$\frac{1}{k + 1} \binom{n + 2 + k}{k + 1} \binom{n - 1}{k + 1},$$

see [OEIS, A033282].

3.1.2. **Schröder-Cambrian correspondence.** We now define an analogue of the Cambrian correspondence and Cambrian $\mathbf{P}$-symbol, which will map the faces of the permutahedron to the faces of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange's associahedra. Recall first that the $(n - k)$-dimensional faces of the $n$-dimensional permutahedron correspond to surjections from $[n]$ to $[k]$, or equivalently to ordered partitions of $[n]$ into $k$ parts. See Figure 35. We denote (abusively) by $\pi^{-1}$ the ordered partition corresponding to a surjection $\pi : [n] \to [k]$, i.e. given by $\pi^{-1} := \pi^{-1}((1)) \mid \pi^{-1}((2)) \mid \cdots \mid \pi^{-1}((k))$.

Conversely, we denote (abusively) by $\lambda^{-1}$ the surjection corresponding to an ordered partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 | \lambda_2 | \cdots | \lambda_k$, i.e. such that each $i$ belongs to the part $\lambda_{\pi^{-1}(i)}$. We represent graphically a surjection $\pi : [n] \to [k]$ by the $(k \times n)$-table with a dot in row $\pi(j)$ in each column $j$. Therefore, we represent an ordered partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_k$ of $[n]$ by the $(k \times n)$-table with a dot in row $i$ and column $j$ for each $j \in \lambda_i$. See Figure 36 (left). In this paper, we work with ordered partitions rather than surjections to match better the presentation of the previous sections: the permutations of $[n]$ used in the previous sections have to be thought of as ordered partitions of $[n]$ into $n$ parts. We denote by $\mathfrak{P}^{\geq p}$ the set of ordered partitions of $[n]$ into at most $n - p$ parts, and we set $\mathfrak{P}^{\geq p} := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{P}^{\geq p}_n$. It correspond to permutahedron faces of dimension at least $p$. As previously, we omit the superscript $\geq p$ in these notations to forget this dimension restriction.

A **signed ordered partition** is an ordered partition table where each dot receives a $+$ or $-$ sign. For a signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, we denote by $\mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq p}$ the set of ordered partitions of $[n]$ into at most $n - p$ parts signed by $\varepsilon$, and we set

$$\mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq p}_\pm := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in \pm^n} \mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq p}_n.$$

We omit again the superscript $\geq p$ in the previous notations to denote signed ordered partitions with arbitrarily many parts. This gives again a filtration

$$\mathfrak{P}_\pm = \bigsqcup_{p \geq 1} \mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq p}_\pm \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq 0}_\pm \supset \mathfrak{P}^{\varepsilon \geq 1}_\pm \supset \cdots.$$

Given such a signed ordered partition $\lambda$, we construct a leveled Schröder-Cambrian tree $\Theta^*(\lambda)$ as follows. As a preprocessing, we represent the table of $\lambda$, we draw a vertical wall below the negative dots and above the positive dots, and we connect into nodes the dots at the same level which are not separated by a wall. Note that we might obtain several nodes per level. We then...
sweep the table from bottom to top as follows. The procedure starts with an incoming strand in between any two consecutive negative values. At each level, each node $v$ (connected set of dots) gathers all strands in the region below and visible from $v$ (i.e. not hidden by a vertical wall) and produces one strand in each region above and visible from $v$. The procedure finished with an outgoing strand in between any two consecutive positive values. See Figure 36.

**Figure 35.** The 3-dimensional permutahedron $\text{Perm}([4])$. Its $(4-k)$-dimensional faces correspond equivalently to the surjections from $[4]$ to $[k]$ (left), or to the ordered partitions of $[4]$ into $k$ parts (right). Vertices are in blue and facets in red. The reader is invited to label the edges accordingly.

**Figure 36.** The insertion algorithm on the signed ordered partition $1257|3|4|6$.

**Proposition 92 ([LP13]).** The map $\Theta^*$ is a bijection from signed ordered partitions to leveled Schröder-Cambrian trees.

We define the $P^*$-symbol of a signed ordered partition $\lambda$ as the Schröder-Cambrian tree $P^*(\lambda)$ defined by $\Theta^*(\lambda)$. Note that an ordered partition of $[n]$ into $k$ parts is sent to a Schröder-Cambrian tree with at least $k$ internal nodes, since some levels can be split into several nodes. In other words, the fibers of the Schröder-Cambrian $P^*$-symbol respect the filtrations $(\text{SchrCamb}_{\geq p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\text{SchrCamb}_{\geq p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, in the sense that

$$(P^*)^{-1}(\text{SchrCamb}_{\geq p}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\geq p}.$$ 

The following characterization of the fibers of the map $P^*$ is immediate from the description of the Schröder-Cambrian correspondence. For a Schröder-Cambrian tree $T$, we write $i \rightarrow j$ in $T$ if the node of $T$ containing $i$ is below the node of $T$ containing $j$, and $i \sim j$ in $T$ if $i$ and $j$ belong to the same node of $T$. We say that $i$ and $j$ are incomparable in $T$ when $i \not\sim j$, $j \not\sim i$, and $i \not\sim j$.

**Proposition 93.** For any Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree $T$ and signed ordered partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq}$, we have $P^*(\lambda) = T$ if and only if $i \sim j$ in $T$ implies $\lambda^{-1}(i) = \lambda^{-1}(j)$ and $i \rightarrow j$ in $T$ implies $\lambda^{-1}(i) < \lambda^{-1}(j)$. In other words, $\lambda$ is obtained from a linear extension of $T$ by merging parts which label incomparable vertices of $T$. 
Example 94. When $\varepsilon = (+)^n$, the Schröder-Cambrian tree $P^{\ast}(\lambda)$ is the increasing tree of $\lambda^{-1}$. Here, the increasing tree $IT(\pi)$ of a surjection $\pi = \pi^{(1)}1\pi^{(2)}1\ldots1\pi^{(p)}$ is defined inductively by grafting the increasing trees $IT(\pi^{(1)}), \ldots, IT(\pi^{(p)})$ from left to right on a bottom root labeled by 1. Similarly, when $\varepsilon = (-)^n$, the Schröder-Cambrian tree $P^{\ast}(\lambda)$ is the decreasing tree of $\lambda^{-1}$. Here, the decreasing tree $DT(\pi)$ of a surjection $\pi = \pi^{(1)}k\pi^{(2)}k\ldots k\pi^{(p)}$ from $[n]$ to $[k]$ is defined inductively by grafting the decreasing trees $DT(\pi^{(1)}), \ldots, DT(\pi^{(p)})$ from left to right on a top root labeled by $k$. See Figure 37.

![Figure 37](image)

Figure 37. The insertion procedure produces increasing Schröder trees when the signature is constant positive (left) and decreasing Schröder trees when the signature is constant negative (right).

Remark 95 (Schröder-Cambrian correspondence on dissections). Similar to Remark 11, we can describe the map $P^{\ast}$ on the dissections of the polygon $P^{\varepsilon}$. Namely the dissection dual to the Schröder-Cambrian tree $P^{\ast}(\lambda)$ is the union of the paths $\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_n$ where $\pi_i$ is the path between vertices 0 and $n+1$ of $P^{\varepsilon}$ passing through the vertices in the symmetric difference $\varepsilon^{-1}(-) \triangle (\bigcup_{j \in [i]} \lambda_j)$.  

3.1.3. Schröder-Cambrian congruence. As for the Cambrian congruence, the fibers of the Schröder-Cambrian $P^{\ast}$-symbol define a congruence relation on signed ordered partitions, which can be expressed by rewriting rules.

Definition 96. For a signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, the Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation on $P^{\varepsilon}_\pm$ defined as the transitive closure of the rewriting rules

$$U|a|c|V \equiv^\varepsilon_\pm U|ac|V \equiv^\varepsilon_\pm U|ca|V,$$

where $a, c$ are parts while $U, V$ are sequences of parts of $[n]$, and there exists $a < b < c$ such that $\varepsilon_b = +$ and $b \in U \cup V$, or $\varepsilon_b = -$ and $b \in U \cap V$. The Schröder-Cambrian congruence is the equivalence relation $\equiv^\ast_{P^{\ast}}$ on $P^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}$ obtained as the union of all Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian congruences.

For example,

$$1257|34|6 \equiv^* 12|57|34|6 \equiv^* 57|12|34|6 \not\equiv^* 57|34|12|6.$$  

Proposition 97. Two signed ordered partitions $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{P}^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}$ are Schröder-Cambrian congruent if and only if they have the same $P^{\ast}$-symbol:

$$\lambda \equiv^* \lambda' \iff P^{\ast}(\lambda) = P^{\ast}(\lambda').$$

Proof. It boils down to observe that two consecutive parts $a$ and $c$ of an ordered partition $U|a|c|V$ in a fiber $(P^{\ast})^{-1}(T)$ can be merged to $U|ac|V$ and even exchanged to $U|ca|V$ while staying in $(P^{\ast})^{-1}(T)$ precisely when they belong to distinct subtrees of a node of $T$. They are therefore separated by the vertical wall below (resp. above) a value $b$ with $a < b < c$ and such that $\varepsilon_b = -$ and $b \in V$ (resp. $\varepsilon_b = +$ and $b \in U$).

3.1.4. Weak order on ordered partitions and Schröder-Cambrian lattices. In order to define the Schröder counterpart of the Cambrian lattice, we first need to extend the weak order on permutations to all ordered partitions. This was done by D. Krob, M. Latapy, J.-C. Novelli, H. D. Phan and S. Schwer in [KLN01]. See also [BHKN01] for representation theoretic properties of this order and [PR06] for an extension to all finite Coxeter systems.
Definition 98. The coinversion map \( \text{coinv}(\lambda) : \binom{[n]}{2} \to \{-1, 0, 1\} \) of an ordered partition \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n \) is the map defined for \( i < j \) by

\[
\text{coinv}(\lambda)(i, j) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } \lambda^{-1}(i) < \lambda^{-1}(j), \\
0 & \text{if } \lambda^{-1}(i) = \lambda^{-1}(j), \\
1 & \text{if } \lambda^{-1}(i) > \lambda^{-1}(j).
\end{cases}
\]

It is also called the inversion map of the surjection \( \lambda^{-1} \).

Definition 99. There are two natural poset structures on \( \mathfrak{P}_n \):

- The refinement poset \( \subseteq \) defined by \( \lambda \subseteq \lambda' \) if \( |\text{coinv}(\lambda)(i, j)| \geq |\text{coinv}(\lambda')(i, j)| \) for all \( i < j \). It is isomorphic to the face lattice of the permuted poset \( \mathfrak{P}_n \), and respects the filtration \( (\mathfrak{P}_n^p)_{p \in [n]} \).
- The weak order \( \leq \) defined by \( \lambda \leq \lambda' \) if \( \text{coinv}(\lambda)(i, j) \leq \text{coinv}(\lambda')(i, j) \) for all \( i < j \).

These two posets are represented in Figure 38 for \( n = 3 \).

Figure 38. The refinement poset (left) and the weak order (right) on \( \mathfrak{P}_3 \).

Note that the restriction of the weak order to \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) is the classical weak order on permutations, which is a lattice. This property was extended to the weak order on \( \mathfrak{P}_n \) in [KLN+01].

Proposition 100 ([KLN+01]). The weak order \( < \) on the set of ordered partitions \( \mathfrak{P}_n \) is a lattice.

In the following statement and throughout the remaining of the paper, we define for \( X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N} \)

\[ X \preceq Y \iff \max(X) < \min(Y) \iff x < y \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y. \]

Proposition 101 ([KLN+01]). The cover relations of the weak order \( < \) on \( \mathfrak{P}_n \), are given by

\[ \lambda_1 \cdots | \lambda_i | \lambda_{i+1} \cdots | \lambda_k \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda_i \preceq \lambda_{i+1}, \]
\[ \lambda_1 \cdots | \lambda_i | \lambda_{i+1} \cdots | \lambda_k \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda_i \preceq \lambda_{i+1}. \]

We now extend the Cambrian lattice on Cambrian trees to a lattice on all Schröder-Cambrian trees. For the constant signature \( \varepsilon = (-)^n \), the order considered below was already defined by P. Palacios and M. Ronco in [PR06], although its lattice structure (Proposition 105) was not discussed in there.

Definition 102. Consider a Schröder \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree \( T \), and an edge \( e = \{v, w\} \) of \( T \). We denote by \( T/e \) the tree obtained by contracting \( e \) in \( T \). It is again a Schröder \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree. We say that the contraction is increasing if \( p(u) < p(v) \) and decreasing if \( p(v) < p(u) \). Otherwise, we say that the contraction is non-monotone.

Definition 103. There are two natural poset structures on \( \text{SchrCamb}_\varepsilon \):

- The contraction poset \( \subseteq \) defined as the transitive closure of the relation \( T \subseteq T/e \) for any Schröder \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree \( T \) and edge \( e \in T \). It is isomorphic to the face lattice of the association \( \text{Ass}(\varepsilon) \), and respects the filtration \( (\text{SchrCamb}_\varepsilon^p)_{p \in [n]} \).
- The Schröder \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian poset \( < \) defined as the transitive closure of the relation \( T < T/e \) (resp. \( T/e < T \)) for any Schröder \( \varepsilon \)-Cambrian tree \( T \) and any edge \( e \in T \) defining an increasing (resp. decreasing) contraction.
These two posets are represented in Figure 39 for the signature $\,++-\,$. Observe that there are two non-monotone contractions. Note also that the restriction of the Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian poset $<$ to the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is the $\varepsilon$-Cambrian lattice.

**Figure 39.** The contraction poset (left) and the Schröder $\,++-\,$-Cambrian poset (right) on Schröder $\,++-\,$-Cambrian trees.

**Proposition 104.** The map $P^*$ defines a poset homomorphism from the weak order on $\mathfrak{P}_\varepsilon$ to the Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian poset on $\text{SchrCamb}(\varepsilon)$.

**Proof.** Let $\lambda < \lambda'$ be a cover relation in the weak order on $\mathfrak{P}_\varepsilon$. Assume that $\lambda'$ is obtained by merging the parts $\lambda_i \ll \lambda_{i+1}$ of $\lambda$ (the other case being symmetric). Let $u$ denote the rightmost node of $P^*(\lambda)$ at level $i$, and $v$ the leftmost node of $P^*(\lambda)$ at level $i+1$. If $u$ and $v$ are not comparable, then $P^*(\lambda) = P^*(\lambda')$. Otherwise, there is an edge $u \to v$ in $P^*(\lambda)$ and $P^*(\lambda')$ is obtained by the increasing contraction of $u \to v$ in $P^*(\lambda)$. $\Box$

**Proposition 105.** For any signature $\varepsilon \in \pm^n$, the Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian poset on Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian trees is a lattice quotient of the weak order on ordered partitions of $[n]$.

This proposition is proved by the following two lemmas, similar to N. Reading’s approach [Rea06].

**Lemma 106.** The Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian classes are intervals of the weak order.

**Proof.** Let $T$ be a Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian tree, with vertex labeling $p : V \to 2^{[n]} \setminus \emptyset$. Consider a linear extension of $T$, i.e. an ordered partition $\lambda$ whose parts are the labels of $T$ and such that $p(v)$ appears before $p(w)$ for $v \to w$ in $T$. If $v$ and $w$ are two incomparable nodes of $T$, then either $p(v) \ll p(w)$ or $p(w) \ll p(v)$ since they are separated by a wall. By successive exchanges, there exists a linear extension $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ (resp. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$) of $T$ such that $p(v)$ appears before (resp. after) $p(w)$ for any two incomparable nodes $v$ and $w$ such that $p(v) \ll p(w)$. By construction, the $(i,j)$-entries of the coinversion tables of $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ are given for $i < j$ by

$$\text{coinv}(\lambda_{\text{min}})(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \to i \text{ in } T, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \sim j \text{ in } T, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{coinv}(\lambda_{\text{max}})(i,j) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \to j \text{ in } T, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \sim j \text{ in } T, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the fiber of $T$ under $P^*$ is the weak order interval $[\lambda_{\text{min}}, \lambda_{\text{max}}]$. $\Box$

**Lemma 107.** Let $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$ be two signed ordered partitions from distinct Schröder $\varepsilon$-Cambrian classes $C$ and $C'$. If $\lambda < \lambda'$ then $\min(C) < \min(C')$ and $\max(C) < \max(C')$ (all in weak order).
Proof. We prove the result for maximums, the proof for the minimums being similar. We first observe that \( \lambda' \) covers \( \lambda \) in weak order, so that there exists a position \( i \) such that either \( X_i = \lambda_i \cup \lambda_{i+1} \) and \( \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1} \), or \( X_i = \lambda_i \cup X_{i+1} \) and \( X_{i+1} \leq X_i \). The proof then works by induction on the weak order distance between \( \lambda \) and \( \max(C) \). If \( \lambda = \max(C) \), the result is immediate as \( \max(C) = \lambda < \lambda' \leq \max(C') \). Otherwise, consider an ordered partition \( \mu \) in \( C \) covering \( \lambda \) in weak order. There exists a position \( j \neq i \) such that \( \mu_j = \lambda_j \cup \lambda_{j+1} \) and \( \lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1} \), or \( \lambda_j = \mu_j \cup \mu_{j+1} \) and \( \mu_{j+1} \leq \mu_j \). We now distinguish four cases, according to the relative positions of \( i \) and \( j \):

- If \( |i - j| > 1 \), then the local changes from \( \lambda \) to \( \lambda' \) at position \( i \) and from \( \lambda \) to \( \mu \) at position \( j \) are independent. Define \( \mu' \) to be the ordered partition obtained from \( \lambda \) by performing both local changes at \( i \) and at \( j \). We then check that \( \lambda' \equiv* \mu' \) since any witness for the equivalence \( \lambda \equiv* \mu \) is also a witness for the equivalence \( \lambda' \equiv* \mu' \). Moreover, \( \mu < \mu' \).
- Otherwise, the local changes at \( i \) and \( j \) are not independent anymore. We therefore need to treat various cases separately, depending on whether the local changes from \( \lambda \) to \( \lambda' \) and from \( \lambda \) to \( \mu \) are merging or splitting, and on the respective positions of these local changes. In all cases below, \( a, b, c \) are parts of \( [n] \) such that \( a \ll b \ll c \), while \( U, V \) are sequences of parts of \( [n] \).

- If \( \lambda = U[a|b|c]V, \lambda' = U[abc]V, \) and \( \mu = U[ab]cV, \) then define \( \mu' := U[abc]V \). Any witness for the Schröder-Cambrian congruence \( \lambda \equiv* \mu \) is also a witness for the Schröder-Cambrian congruence \( \lambda' \equiv* \mu' \). Moreover, we have \( \mu < \mu' \) since \( a \ll bc \). The same arguments yield the same conclusions in the following cases:
  - if \( \lambda = U[a|bc]V, \lambda' = U[abc]V, \) and \( \mu = U[ab]cV, \) then define \( \mu' := U[abc]V \).
  - if \( \lambda = U[abc]V, \lambda' = U[c|ab]V, \) and \( \mu = U[bc]aV, \) then define \( \mu' := U[c|bc]aV \).
  - if \( \lambda = U[a|bc]V, \lambda' = U[bc]aV, \) and \( \mu = U[ab]cV, \) then define \( \mu' := U[c|bc]aV \).

- If \( \lambda = U[a|bc]V, \lambda' = U[abc]V, \) and \( \mu = U[a|c|b]V, \) then define \( \mu' := U[c|ab]V \). Any witness for the Schröder-Cambrian congruence \( \lambda \equiv* \mu \) is also a witness for the Schröder-Cambrian congruence \( \lambda' \equiv* \mu' \). Moreover, \( \mu < \mu' \) by comparison of the coinversion tables.


Remark 108. (Extremal elements and pattern avoidance) Since the Schröder-Cambrian classes are generated by rewriting rules, their minimal elements are precisely the ordered partitions avoiding the patterns \( c|a - b \) and \( b - c \), while their maximal elements are precisely the ordered partitions avoiding the patterns \( a|c - b \) and \( b - a \). This enables us to construct a generating tree for these permutations. Similar arguments as in Section 1.1.4 could thus provide an alternative proof of Proposition 91.

3.1.5. Canopy. We define the canopy of a Schröder-Cambrian tree using the same observation as for Cambrian trees: in any Schröder-Cambrian tree, the numbers \( i \) and \( i + 1 \) appear either in the same label, or in two comparable labels.

Definition 109. The canopy of a Schröder-Cambrian tree \( T \) is the sequence \( \text{can}^*(T) \in \{-, 0, +\} \) defined by

\[
\text{can}^*(T)_i = \begin{cases} 
- & \text{if } i \text{ appears above } i + 1 \text{ in } T, \\
0 & \text{if } i \text{ and } i + 1 \text{ appear in the same label in } T, \\
+ & \text{if } i \text{ is below } i + 1 \text{ in } T.
\end{cases}
\]
For example, the canopy of the Schröder-Cambrian tree of Figure 33 (left) is 0+0−++. The following statement provides an immediate analogue of Proposition 23 in the Schröder-Cambrian setting. We define the recoil sequence of an ordered partition \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n \) as \( \text{rec}^*(\lambda) \in \{-,0,\}^{n-1} \), where \( \text{rec}^*(\lambda)_i = \text{coinv}(\lambda)(i,i+1) \).

**Proposition 110.** The maps \( \mathbf{P}^* \), \( \text{can}^* \), and \( \text{rec}^* \) define the following commutative diagram of lattice homomorphism

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{P}_e & \xrightarrow{\text{rec}^*} \{-,0,\}^{n-1} \\
\mathbf{P}^* & \xrightarrow{} \text{SchrCamb}(\varepsilon) \\
\text{can}^* & \xrightarrow{}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 40 (left) illustrates this proposition for the signature +−−.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 40.** The fibers of the maps \( \mathbf{P}^* \) (red) and \( \text{rec}^* \) (green) on the weak orders of \( \mathfrak{S}_{++-} \) (left), and the geometric realization of these maps (right).

3.1.6. **Geometric realizations.** We close this section with the geometric motivation of Schröder-Cambrian trees. More details can be found in [LP13]. We still denote by \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) the canonical basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and by \( \mathbb{H} \) the hyperplane of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) orthogonal to \( \sum e_i \). We define the incidence cone \( C(T) \) and the braid cone \( C^0(T) \) of a directed tree \( T \) with vertices labeled by subsets of \([n]\) as

\[
C(T) := \text{cone} \{ e_i - e_j \mid \text{for all } i \rightarrow j \text{ or } i \sim j \text{ in } T \} \quad \text{and} \quad C^0(T) := \{ x \in \mathbb{H} \mid x_i \leq x_j \text{ for all } i \rightarrow j \text{ or } i \sim j \text{ in } T \}.
\]

These two cones lie in the space \( \mathbb{H} \) and are polar to each other. Note that if \( T \) has \( k \) nodes, then \( \dim(C^0(T)) = k - 1 \). For an ordered partition \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n \), we denote by \( C(\lambda) \) and \( C^0(\lambda) \) the incidence and braid cone of the chain \( \lambda_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \lambda_n \). Finally, for a vector \( \chi \in \{-,0,\}^{n-1} \), we denote by \( C(\tau) \) and \( C^0(\tau) \) the incidence and braid cone of the oriented path \( 1 - \cdots - n \), where \( i \rightarrow i+1 \) if \( \chi_i = +, i \leftarrow i+1 \) if \( \chi_i = - \), and \( i \) and \( i+1 \) are merged to the same node if \( \chi_i = 0 \).

As explained in Section 1.1.7, the collections of cones

\[
\{ C^0(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_n \}, \quad \{ C^0(T) \mid T \in \text{SchrCamb}_\varepsilon \}, \quad \text{and} \quad C^0(\chi) \mid \chi \in \{-,0,\}^{n-1}\}
\]

form complete simplicial fans, which are the normal fans of the classical permutohedron \( \text{Perm}(n) \), of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange’s associahedron \( \text{Asso}(\varepsilon) \), and of the parallellepipeds \( \text{Para}(n) \) respectively. See Figures 40 (right) and 10 for 2- and 3-dimensional examples of these polytopes.

The incidence and braid cones also characterize the maps \( \mathbf{P}^* \), \( \text{can}^* \), and \( \text{rec}^* \) as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}^*(\lambda) & \iff C(T) \subseteq C(\lambda) \iff C^0(T) \supseteq C^0(\lambda), \\
\text{can}^*(T) & \iff C(\chi) \subseteq C(T) \iff C^0(\chi) \supseteq C^0(T), \\
\text{rec}^*(\lambda) & \iff C(\chi) \subseteq C(\lambda) \iff C^0(\chi) \supseteq C^0(\lambda).
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, the weak order, the Schröder-Cambrian lattice and the boolean lattice correspond to the lattice of faces of the permutohedron \( \text{Perm}(n) \), associahedron \( \text{Asso}(\varepsilon) \) and parallellepipeds \( \text{Para}(n) \), oriented in the direction \( (n, \ldots, 1) - (1, \ldots, n) = \sum_{i \in [n]} (n + 1 - 2i) e_i \).
3.2. Schröder-Cambrian Hopf Algebra

In this section, we define the Schröder-Cambrian Hopf algebra \( \text{SchrCamb} \), extending simultaneously the Cambrian Hopf algebra and F. Chapoton’s Hopf algebra on Schröder trees \( [\text{Cha00}] \). We construct the algebra \( \text{SchrCamb} \) as a subalgebra of a signed version of F. Chapoton’s Hopf algebra on ordered partitions \( [\text{Cha00}] \). We then also consider the dual algebra \( \text{SchrCamb}^* \) as a quotient of the dual Hopf algebra on signed ordered partitions.

3.2.1. Shuffle and convolution products on signed ordered partitions. We define here a natural analogue of the shifted shuffle and convolution products of Section 1.2.1 on ordered partitions. Equivalent definitions in the world of surjections can be found in \( [\text{Cha00}] \). Here, we stick to ordered partitions to match our presentation of the Cambrian algebra in Section 1.2.

We first define two restrictions on ordered partitions. Consider an ordered partition \( \mu \) of \( [n] \) into \( k \) parts. As already mentioned earlier, we represent graphically \( \mu \) by the \((k \times n)-\)table with a dot in row \( i \) and column \( j \) for each \( j \in \mu_i \). For \( I \subseteq [k] \), we let \( n_I := \{|j \in [n]| \exists i \in I, j \in \mu_i \}| \) and we denote by \( \mu_I \) the ordered partition of \( [n_I] \) into \( |I| \) parts whose table is obtained from the table of \( \mu \) by deleting all rows not in \( I \) and standardizing to get a \((|I| \times n_I)\)-table. Similarly, for \( J \subseteq [n] \), we let \( k_J := \{|i \in [k]| \exists j \in J, j \in \mu_i \}| \) and we denote by \( \mu_J \) the ordered partition of \( [|J|] \) into \( k_J \) parts whose table is obtained from the table of \( \mu \) by deleting all columns not in \( J \) and standardizing to get a \((k_J \times |J|)\)-table. These restrictions are illustrated in Figure 41.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 3 \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 3 \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 41.** The tables of the ordered partitions \( \lambda = 16|27|435 \) (left) and of its restrictions \( \lambda_{(2,3)} \) (middle) and \( \lambda^{(1,3,5)} \) (right).

We define the **shifted concatenation** \( \lambda \tilde{\lambda}' \), the **shifted shuffle product** \( \lambda \tilde{\cup} \lambda' \), and the **convolution product** \( \lambda \star \lambda' \) of two (unsigned) ordered partitions \( \lambda, \lambda' \in \frak{P}_n \) as

\[
\lambda \tilde{\lambda}' := \lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_k | n + \lambda'_1 | \cdots | n + \lambda'_l, \quad \text{where } n + \lambda'_i := \{n + j | j \in \lambda'_i \},
\]

\[
\lambda \tilde{\cup} \lambda' := \left\{ \mu \in \frak{P}_{n+n'} \mid \mu^{(1,\ldots,n)} = \lambda \text{ and } \mu^{(n+1,\ldots,n+n')} = \lambda' \right\},
\]

and

\[
\lambda \star \lambda' := \left\{ \mu \in \frak{P}_{n+n'} \mid \mu^{(1,\ldots,n)} = \lambda \text{ and } \mu^{(n+1,\ldots,n+n')} = \lambda' \right\}.
\]

For example,

\[
12 \tilde{\cup} 231 = \{12|4|53, 12|4|53, 1|4|2|53, 1|4|2|53, 1|4|532, 14|2|53, 14|2|53, 14|2|53, 14|2|53, 14|53|2, 14|53|2, 14|53|2, 14|53|2\},
\]

\[
12 \star 231 = \{12|4|53, 13|3|4|52, 14|3|52, 15|3|42, 2|3|4|51, 2|4|3|51, 2|4|3|51, 3|5|2|4|1, 4|5|2|31\}.
\]

Graphically, the table of the shifted concatenation \( \tilde{\lambda}_k \lambda' \) contains the table of \( \lambda \) as the bottom left block and the table of \( \lambda' \) as the top right block. The tables in the shifted shuffle product \( \lambda \tilde{\cup} \lambda' \) (resp. in the convolution product \( \lambda \star \lambda' \)) are obtained by shuffling the rows (resp. columns) of the table of \( \lambda \tilde{\lambda}' \). See Figure 42.

**Remark 111.**

(i) Note that the shifted shuffle and convolution products are compatible with the filtration \( (\frak{P}_n^{\geq p})_{p \in [n]} \):

\[
\frak{P}_n^{\geq p} \tilde{\cup} \frak{P}_n^{\geq p'} \subseteq \frak{P}_n^{\geq p+p'} \quad \text{and} \quad \frak{P}_n^{\geq p} \star \frak{P}_n^{\geq p'} \subseteq \frak{P}_n^{\geq p+p'}.
\]

(ii) By projection on the quotient \( \frak{P}/\frak{P}^{\geq 1} \cong \frak{S} \), the (signed) shifted shuffle and convolution products coincide with the description of Section 1.2.1.
(iii) The shifted product of ordered partitions preserves intervals of the weak order. Namely,

\[ [\lambda, \mu] \bar{\sqcup} [\lambda', \mu'] = [\lambda \bar{\sqcup} \lambda', \mu \bar{\sqcup} \mu'] \]

These definitions extend to signed ordered partitions: signs travel with their values in the signed shifted shuffle product, and stay at their positions in the signed convolution product.

3.2.2. Subalgebra of \textit{OrdPart}_±. We denote by \textit{OrdPart}_± the Hopf algebra with basis \((F_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Pi_\pm}\) and whose product and coproduct are defined by

\[ F_\lambda \cdot F_\lambda' = \sum_{\mu \in \bar{\lambda} \bar{\sqcup} \lambda'} F_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F_\mu = \sum_{\mu \in \lambda \bar{\sqcup} \lambda'} F_\lambda \otimes F_\lambda'. \]

Note that the Hopf algebra \(FQSym_\pm\) is isomorphic to the quotient \(\textit{OrdPart}_\pm / \textit{OrdPart}_{\geq 1 \pm}\). Note also that the unsigned version of \(\textit{OrdPart}_\pm\) is the dual of the algebra \(WQSym\) of word quasi-symmetric functions (also denoted \(NCQSym\) for non-commutative quasi-symmetric functions), see [BZ09, NT06].

Remark 112. The proof that \(\textit{OrdPart}_\pm\) is indeed a Hopf algebra is left to the reader: it consists in translating F. Chapoton’s proof [Cha00] from surjections to signed ordered partitions. In fact, F. Chapoton’s Hopf algebras on faces of the permutahedra, associahedra, and cubes could be decorated by an arbitrary group, similar to the constuctions in [NT10, BH08, BH06]. Once again the main point here is that the Schröder-congruence relations depend on the decoration.

We denote by \(\textit{SchrCamb}\) the vector subspace of \(\textit{OrdPart}_\pm\) generated by the elements

\[ P_T := \sum_{\lambda \in \Pi_\pm: P^\text{e}(\lambda) = T} F_\lambda \]

for all Schröder-Cambrian trees \(T\). For example, for the Schröder-Cambrian tree of Figure 33 (left), we have

\[ P_T = F_{1257\bar{1}4\bar{3}4} + F_{1257\bar{1}4\bar{3}4} + F_{1257\bar{1}4\bar{3}4}. \]

Note that the Hopf algebra \(\textit{Camb}\) is isomorphic to the quotient \(\textit{SchrCamb}_\pm / \textit{SchrCamb}_{\geq 1 \pm}\).

Theorem 113. \(\textit{SchrCamb}\) is a Hopf subalgebra of \(\textit{OrdPart}_\pm\).

\textit{Proof.} Similar to the proof of Theorem 24. \(\square\)

As for the Cambrian algebra, the product and coproduct of \(P\)-basis elements of the Schröder-Cambrian algebra \(\textit{SchrCamb}\) can be described directly in terms of combinatorial operations on Schröder-Cambrian trees.

\textbf{Product} The product in the Schröder Cambrian algebra \(\textit{SchrCamb}\) can again be described in terms of intervals in the Schröder-Cambrian lattice. Given two Schröder-Cambrian trees \(T, T'\), we denote by \(T \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(T)\varepsilon(T')} T'\) the Schröder \(\varepsilon(T)\varepsilon(T')\)-Cambrian tree obtained by grafting the rightmost
outgoing leaf of $T$ on the leftmost incoming leaf of $T$ and shifting all labels of $T'$. We define similarly $T \preceq T'$.

**Proposition 114.** For any Schröder-Cambrian trees $T, T'$, the product $P_T \cdot P_{T'}$ is given by
\[ P_T \cdot P_{T'} = \sum_{S} P_S, \]
where $S$ runs over the interval between $T \rhd T'$ and $T \preceq T'$ in the Schröder $\varepsilon(T)\varepsilon(T')$-Cambrian lattice.

**Proof.** Similar to that of Proposition 25. \qed

For example, we can compute the product
\[
\prod_{T \in \operatorname{RecPart}_+} P_T \cdot P_{T'} = (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T})
\[
= (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T}) + (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T}) + (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T})
\[
= P_{T_1} \cdot P_{T_2} + P_{T_2} \cdot P_{T_1} + P_{T_1} \cdot P_{T_2}.
\]

**Coproduct** The coproduct in the Schröder Cambrian algebra $\operatorname{SchrCamb}$ can again be described in terms of cuts. A cut of a Schröder-Cambrian tree $S$ is a set $\gamma$ of edges such that any geodesic vertical path in $S$ from a down leaf to an up leaf contains precisely one edge of $\gamma$. We denote again by $A(S, \gamma)$ and $B(S, \gamma)$ the two Schröder-Cambrian forests above and below $\gamma$ in $S$.

**Proposition 115.** For any Schröder-Cambrian tree $S$, the coproduct $\triangle P_S$ is given by
\[
\triangle P_S = \sum_{\gamma} \left( \prod_{T \in B(S, \gamma)} P_T \right) \otimes \left( \prod_{T' \in A(S, \gamma)} P_{T'} \right),
\]
where $\gamma$ runs over all cuts of $S$.

**Proof.** Similar to that of Proposition 26. \qed

For example, we can compute the coproduct
\[
\triangle P_{T_{1,2,3}} = \triangle (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T})
\[
= 1 \otimes (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T}) + (F_{T_1|T_2|T} + F_{T_2|T_1|T} + F_{T_1|T_2|T}) \otimes 1
\[
= 1 \otimes P_{T_1} + P_{T_2} \otimes P_{T_1} + P_{T_1} \otimes P_{T_2} + (P_{T_1} \cdot P_{T_2}) \otimes P_{T_2} + P_{T_2} \otimes 1.
\]

**Matriochka algebras** To conclude, we connect the Schröder-Cambrian algebra to F. Chapoton’s algebra on faces of the cubes defined in [Cha00]. We call trilean Hopf algebra the Hopf subalgebra $\operatorname{Tril}$ of $\operatorname{OrdPart}_+$ generated by the elements
\[
X_\chi := \sum_{\lambda \in \Psi_+ \text{rec}^*(\lambda) = \chi} F_\lambda
\]
for all $\chi \in \{-, 0, +\}^{n-1}$. The commutative diagram of Proposition 110 ensures that
\[
X_\chi = \sum_{T \in \operatorname{SchrCamb}} X(T) \cdot P_T,
\]
and thus that $T_{\mathsf{Tril}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathsf{SchrCamb}$. In other words, the Schröder-Cambrian algebra is sandwiched between the signed ordered partitions algebra and the trilean algebra $T_{\mathsf{Tril}} \subset \mathsf{SchrCamb} \subset \mathsf{OrdPart}_{\pm}$.

3.2.3. Quotient algebra of $\mathsf{OrdPart}_{\pm}^*$. We switch to the dual Hopf algebra $\mathsf{OrdPart}_{\pm}^*$ with basis $(G_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_{\pm}}$ and whose product and coproduct are defined by

$$G_{\lambda} \cdot G_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\mu \in \lambda \ast \lambda'} G_{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta G_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu \in \lambda \bar{\ast} \lambda'} G_{\lambda} \otimes G_{\lambda'}.$$  

Note that the unsigned version of $\mathsf{OrdPart}_{\pm}^*$ is the algebra $\mathsf{WQSym}$ of word quasi-symmetric functions (also denoted $\mathsf{NCQSym}$ for non-commutative quasi-symmetric functions), see [BZ09, NT06]. The following statement is automatic from Theorem 113.

**Theorem 116.** The graded dual $\mathsf{SchrCamb}^*$ of the Schröder-Cambrian algebra is isomorphic to the image of $\mathsf{OrdPart}_{\pm}^*$ under the canonical projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A)/\equiv,$$

where $\equiv$ denotes the Schröder-Cambrian congruence. The dual basis $Q_T$ of $\mathbb{P}_T$ is expressed as $Q_T = \pi(G_{\lambda})$, where $\lambda$ is any ordered partition such that $P^*(\lambda) = T$.

Similarly as in the previous section, we can describe combinatorially the product and coproduct of $Q$-basis elements of $\mathsf{SchrCamb}^*$ in terms of operations on Schröder-Cambrian trees.

**Product** We define gaps and laminations of Schröder-Cambrian trees exactly as we did for Cambrian trees in Section 1.2.3. Note that laminations may or may not split the nodes of a Schröder-Cambrian tree, see Figure 43(c) for examples. Given two Schröder-Cambrian trees $T$ and $T'$ on $[n]$ and $[n']$ respectively, and a shuffle $s$ of their signature defining multisets $\Gamma$ of gaps of $[n]$ and $\Gamma'$ of gaps of $[n']$, we still denote by $T \lambda_{\mathsf{Schr}} T'$ the Schröder-Cambrian tree obtained by connecting the up leaves of $\lambda(T, \Gamma)$ to the down leaves of the forest defined by the lamination $\lambda(T', \Gamma')$. See Figure 43.

![Figure 43](image)

**Figure 43.** (a) Two Schröder-Cambrian trees $T^\text{\textcircled{O}}$ and $T^\Box$. (b) Given the shuffle $s = \Box\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box$, the positions of the $\Box$ are reported in $T^\text{\textcircled{O}}$ and the positions of the $\oplus$ are reported in $T^\Box$. (c) The corresponding laminations. (d) The trees are split according to the laminations. (e) The resulting Schröder-Cambrian tree $T^\text{\textcircled{O}} \lambda_{\mathsf{Schr}} T^\Box$.

**Proposition 117.** For any Schröder-Cambrian trees $T, T'$, the product $Q_T \cdot Q_{T'}$ is given by

$$Q_T \cdot Q_{T'} = \sum_s Q_{T \lambda_{\mathsf{Schr}} T'},$$

where $s$ runs over all shuffles of the signatures of $T$ and $T'$. 
Proof. Similar to that of Proposition 29.

For example, we can compute the product:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q & \cdot Q \\
& = G_{L^3} \cdot G_{T^2 L^3} \\
& = G_{L^3 T^3} + G_{T^3 L^3} + G_{L^3 T^3} + G_{L^2 T^2 L^2} + G_{L^2 T^2 L^2} + G_{L^3 T^3} + G_{L^2 T^2 L^2} + G_{L^2 T^2 L^2} \\
& = Q + Q + Q + Q + Q + Q + Q + Q.
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{Coproduct}\]

For a gap \(\gamma\) of a Schröder-Cambrian tree \(S\), we still denote by \(L(S, \gamma)\) and \(R(S, \gamma)\) the left and right Schröder-Cambrian subtrees of \(S\) when split along the path \(\lambda(S, \gamma)\).

**Proposition 118.** For any Cambrian tree \(S\), the coproduct \(\Delta Q_S\) is given by

\[
\Delta Q_S = \sum_{\gamma} Q_{L(S, \gamma)} \otimes Q_{R(S, \gamma)},
\]

where \(\gamma\) runs over all gaps between vertices of \(S\).

Proof. Similar to that of Proposition 30.

For example, we can compute the coproduct:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta Q & = \Delta G_{T^2 L^2} \\
& = 1 \otimes G_{T^2 L^2} + G_T \otimes G_{L^2 T} + G_T \otimes G_{L^2 T} + G_{T^2 L^2} \otimes G_T + G_{T^2 L^2} \otimes 1 \\
& = 1 \otimes Q + Q \otimes Q + Q \otimes Q + Q \otimes Q + Q \otimes Q + Q.
\end{align*}
\]

3.3. Schröder-Cambrian Tuples

As a conclusion, we just want to mention that it would also be possible to extend simultaneously the Cambrian tuple algebra and the Schröder-Cambrian algebra. The objects are Schröder-Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples, i.e. \(\ell\)-tuples of Schröder-Cambrian trees whose union is acyclic.

The first step is then to describe the combinatorics of these tuples:

- applying Schröder-Cambrian correspondences in parallel yields a correspondence \(\Theta^*_\ell\) between \(\ell\)-signed ordered partitions and leveled Schröder-Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples, and thus defines a surjection \(P^*_\ell\) from \(\ell\)-signed ordered partitions to Schröder-Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples;
- the fibers of \(P^*_\ell\) are intersections of Schröder-Cambrian congruences, and thus define a lattice congruence of the weak order on ordered partitions;
- the \(\ell\)-tuples of Schröder-Cambrian trees correspond to all faces of a Minkowski sum of \(\ell\) associahedra of [HL07].

An interesting combinatorial problem is to count the number of \(\ell\)-tuples of Schröder-Cambrian trees, in particular the number of Baxter-Schröder-Cambrian trees.

The second step is to define as usual the Schröder-Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuple Hopf algebra \(\text{SchrCamb}_\ell\) as a subalgebra of the Hopf algebra \(\text{OrdPart}_{\ell, d}\) of \(\ell\)-signed ordered partitions, and its dual \(\text{SchrCamb}^*_\ell\) as a quotient of \(\text{OrdPart}_{\ell, d}\). The product and coproduct both \(\text{SchrCamb}_\ell\) and \(\text{SchrCamb}^*_\ell\) can then directly be described by the combinatorial operations on Schröder-Cambrian \(\ell\)-tuples, similar to the operations described in Sections 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.
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