

Harris-Stephens' combined corner/edge detector

Frank Nielsen, INF555

September 2009

Abstract

We summarize Harris-Stephens¹ *combined* corner/thin edge detector that does not depend on rotation nor shift or affine change of intensity.

Let $I(x, y)$ denote the intensity pixels of Image I . Harris-Stephens extended the principle of Moravec's corner detector by considering the local auto-correlation energy $E(x, y) = \sum_{u,v} w_{u,v} (I(x+u, y+v) - I(u, v))^2$, where (u, v) denote a neighborhood of (x, y) . Traditionally, $w_{u,v} = 1$ if and only if $|x-u| \leq s$ and $|y-v| \leq s$, with $2s-1$ being the size of the square window patch. In order to be invariant to rotations, Harris-Stephens considered a smooth Gaussian circular window with $w_{u,v} = \exp(-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2})$ (and $u^2+v^2 \leq s^2$, with s denoting the radius size).

Observe when a window patch is:

- enclosed into an almost constant shaded region, shifts in *every* direction result in a small change of energy.
- straddling an edge, the shift along the edge yields a small variation of energy while moving perpendicular to that edge results in significant change.
- at a corner (including isolated points), then every direction yields a large change of energy.

Approximate the energy function at (x, y) by the bilinear form $E(u, v) = [u \ v] M_{x,y} [u \ v]^T$, where $M_{x,y}$ is a 2×2 positive definite matrix computed as

$$M_{x,y} = \sum_{u,v} w(u, v) \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{\partial I}{\partial x})^2 & \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} & (\frac{\partial I}{\partial y})^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{u,v} w(u, v) \nabla I(u, v) (\nabla I(u, v))^T, \quad (1)$$

with is the discrete image gradient $\nabla I(u, v) = [I(u+1, v) - I(u-1, v) \quad I(u, v+1) - I(u, v-1)]^T$. Thus the energy is independent of a constant intensity shift $I(x, y) = I(x, y) + c$. The symmetric $M_{x,y}$ matrix represents the smoothed variance-covariance matrix of the intensity gradient at (x, y) . Let α and β be the eigenvalues of M , geometrically interpreted as the elongations of the ellipsoid axes defined by M . For both α and β small, we are in a flat area ($\text{Trace}(M)$ is small). For either α or β large, we are on an edge. For both α and β large, we are located on a corner ($\det M$ is large). In order to avoid computing a SVD to retrieve the eigenvalues, consider the detector response $R = \alpha\beta - k(\alpha + \beta)^2 = \det M - k(\text{Trace}(M))^2$ (with $k \in [0.04, 0.06]$). According to R the pixels are classified as follows:

$R > 0$: corner pixel, $R \simeq 0$: pixel in flat region, $R < 0$: edge pixel.

Once R has been computed for each pixel, label a pixel a corner iff. it is a local maximum with respect to C_8 connectivity. Similarly, label a pixel an edgel iff. it is a local maximum in either the x or y direction.

Finally, apply edge hysteresis (using low and high thresholds with non-maxima suppressions) and delete spurs and short edges: This gives a clean set of edges and corners that delimit visual putative surfaces. Observe that maxima of the response R is independent of an affine change of intensity. However, the main drawback of Harris-Stephens as well as its class of detectors² is that it is not scale-invariant.

References.

1. Chris Harris and Mike Stephens, *A Combined Corner and Edge Detector*, Proceedings of The Fourth Alvey Vision Conference (Manchester, UK), pp. 147-151, 1988.
2. M. Zuliani, C. Kenney and B. S. Manjunath, *A Mathematical Comparison of Point Detectors*, Workshop on Image and Video Registration (IVR/CVPR Workshop), Volume 27, Issue 2, pp. 172-172, June 2004. (Provides a generalization of Harris-Stephens, Noble, and Shi and Tomasi corner detectors)