TD #6: Random projections Large-scale Mathematical Programming

#### Leo Liberti, CNRS LIX Ecole Polytechnique liberti@lix.polytechnique.fr

INF580



L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

(日)

1/42

## Outline

Do you believe in the JLL?

Using the JLL

Random projections applied to LP

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2/42

Ξ.

# Verifying the JLL

► The JLL depends on two parameters:

- 1. multiplicative approximation accuracy  $\varepsilon$
- 2. multiplicative factor C in  $k = O(\varepsilon^{-2} \ln n)$

where n = number of pts in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to project to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ 

- Consider m × n point matrix X sampled from U(0,1) and N(0,1)
- Sample random projector (RP) T in N(0,  $1/\sqrt{k}$ )
- Verify projection err for cols of TX w.r.t. cols of X

3/42

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

# **Projection errors**

Given Euclidean Distance Matrices (EDM) D of X, D' of TX, compute the following error measures:

ł

1. 
$$J = \{\max(0, |\frac{D'_{ij}}{D_{ij}} - 1| - \varepsilon) \mid i, j \le n$$
  
2.  $J_{card} = \sum_{\substack{e \in J \\ e > 0}} 1$   
3.  $J_{avg} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{e \in J} e$   
4.  $J_{max} = \max(J)$   
5.  $mde = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j \le n} |D_{ij} - D'_{ij}|$   
6.  $Ide = \max_{i,j \le n} |D_{ij} - D'_{ij}|$ 

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

э

4/42

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

#### Necessary tasks

#### sample random matrices from U(0,1) and N(0,1)

you can also try changing distribution parameters

- fast computation of large distance matrices
- fast dot product of large matrices

5/42

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Sampling random matrices

Python: import numpy as np

- m × n matrix sampled componentwise from U(0,1) np.random.rand(m,n)
- m × n matrix sampled componentwise from N(0,1)
  np.random.normal([0],[[1]],(m,n))

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

6/42

### Fast computation of distance matrices

Python: from scipy.spatial.distance import pdist

• X is a numpy array with *n* cols in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

#### Fast matrix product

#### Given matrices T ( $k \times m$ ) and X ( $m \times n$ ):

#### dense matrices:

import numpy as np
TX = np.dot(T,X)

#### sparse matrices in CSR format:

import scipy.sparse
TX = scipy.sparse.csr\_matrix.dot(T,A)

(日)

## Generating the points

```
import sys
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy.sparse
```

```
# uniform dense
X = np.random.rand(m,n)
```

```
# normal dense
X = np.random.normal([0],[[1]],(m,n))
```

# normal sparse (density s, CSR format)

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

9/42

# Main loop

```
D = pdist(X.T)
nD = len(D)
for eps in [0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2]:
   print(" -----")
   for C in [0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0]:
       k = int(round(C*(1/eps**2)*math.log(n)))
       T = (1/sqrt(k))*normalmatrix(k,m)
       TX = np.dot(T,X)
       TD = pdist(TX.T)
```

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの INE580

10 / 42

## Computing the errors

```
jllerr = [max(0, abs(TD[i]/D[i]-1)-eps) for i in range(nD)]
jllerr = [jle for jle in jllerr if jle > myZero]
jllerr = len(jllerr)
avgjllerr = sum(abs(TD[i]/D[i]-1) for i in range(nD)) / nD
maxjllerr = max(abs(TD[i]/D[i]-1) for i in range(nD))
mde = sum(abs(D[i] - TD[i]) for i in range(nD)) / nD
lde = max(abs(D[i] - TD[i]) for i in range(nD))
```

11/42

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

# Results on 2000 $\times$ 1000 matrix from U(0, 1)

ε	С	k	jllerr	avgjll	maxjll	mde	lde
0.05	0.5	1382	3919	0.015	0.09	0.273	1.684
0.05	1.0	2763	118	0.011	0.063	0.197	1.151
0.05	1.5	4145	4	0.009	0.053	0.16	0.976
0.05	2.0	5526	0	0.008	0.044	0.14	0.817
0.1	0.5	345	3504	0.029	0.194	0.536	3.615
0.1	1.0	691	101	0.021	0.13	0.391	2.372
0.1	1.5	1036	2	0.017	0.107	0.318	1.926
0.1	2.0	1382	0	0.015	0.085	0.273	1.541
0.15	0.5	154	3942	0.045	0.272	0.823	4.983
0.15	1.0	307	108	0.032	0.193	0.59	3.454
0.15	1.5	461	2	0.026	0.163	0.481	2.957
0.15	2.0	614	0	0.023	0.134	0.412	2.457
0.2	0.5	86	4675	0.062	0.373	1.13	6.88
0.2	1.0	173	58	0.043	0.271	0.776	4.969
0.2	1.5	259	11	0.037	0.252	0.668	4.656
0.2	2.0	345	0	0.03	0.18	0.549	3.281

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

12/42

# Results on 2000 $\times$ 1000 matrix from N(0, 1)

ε	С	k	jllerr	avgjll	maxjll	mde	lde
0.05	0.5	1382	4170	0.015	0.094	0.952	5.961
0.05	1.0	2763	98	0.011	0.064	0.686	4.149
0.05	1.5	4145	0	0.009	0.05	0.554	3.127
0.05	2.0	5526	0	0.008	0.045	0.485	2.883
0.1	0.5	345	3560	0.03	0.209	1.877	13.173
0.1	1.0	691	145	0.022	0.139	1.389	8.717
0.1	1.5	1036	2	0.018	0.11	1.127	6.824
0.1	2.0	1382	0	0.015	0.094	0.975	5.971
0.15	0.5	154	4589	0.046	0.282	2.891	17.811
0.15	1.0	307	120	0.032	0.212	2.053	13.294
0.15	1.5	461	10	0.027	0.169	1.68	10.824
0.15	2.0	614	0	0.022	0.13	1.42	8.41
0.2	0.5	86	4498	0.061	0.402	3.878	25.188
0.2	1.0	173	74	0.042	0.244	2.681	15.296
0.2	1.5	259	1	0.035	0.202	2.205	13.089
0.2	2.0	345	0	0.03	0.174	1.911	10.999

#### Comparative results

			Uni(0,1) N(0,1)					1) U(0,1)					Differences				
eps	с	k	jllerr	avgerr	maxerr	mde	lde	jllerr	avgerr	maxerr	mde	lde					
0.05	0.5	1382	4170	15	94	952	5961	3919	15	0.09	273	1684	251	0	93.91	679	4277
0.05	1.0	2763	98	11	64	686	4149	118	11	63	197	1151	-20	0	1	489	2998
0.05	1.5	4145	0	9	0.05	554	3127	4	9	53	0.16	976	-4	0	-52.95	553.84	2151
0.05	2.0	5526	0	8	45	485	2883	0	8	44	0.14	817	0	0	1	484.86	2066
0.1	0.5	345	3560	0.03	209	1877	13173	3504	29	194	536	3615	56	-29	15	1341	9558
0.1	1.0	691	145	22	139	1389	8717	101	21	0.13	391	2372	44	1	138.87	998	6345
0.1	1.5	1036	2	18	0.11	1127	6824	2	17	107	318	1926	0	1	-106.89	809	4898
0.1	2.0	1382	0	15	94	975	5971	0	15	85	273	1541	0	0	9	702	4430
0.15	0.5	154	4589	46	282	2891	17811	3942	45	272	823	4983	647	1	10	2068	12828
0.15	1.0	307	120	32	212	2053	13294	108	32	193	0.59	3454	12	0	19	2052.41	9840
0.15	1.5	461	10	27	169	1.68	10824	2	26	163	481	2957	8	1	6	-479.32	7867
0.15	2.0	614	0	22	0.13	1.42	8.41	0	23	134	412	2457	0	-1	-133.87	-410.58	-2448.59
0.2	0.5	86	4498	61	402	3878	25188	4675	62	373	1.13	6.88	-177	-1	29	3876.87	25181.12
0.2	1.0	173	74	42	244	2681	15296	58	43	271	776	4969	16	-1	-27	1905	10327
0.2	1.5	259	1	35	202	2205	13089	11	37	252	668	4656	-10	-2	-50	1537	8433
0.2	2.0	345	0	0.03	174	1911	10999	0	0.03	0.18	549	3281	0	0	173.82	1362	7718

#### JLL tests on 2000 x 1000 random data point matrix

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

INF580

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

14/42

# The Achlioptas sparse projector

► Let *T* be sampled componentwise from the distribution:

$$T_{ij} \sim \left\{egin{array}{cc} -1 & ext{with prob. } p/2 \ 0 & ext{with prob. } 1-p \ 1 & ext{with prob. } p/2 \end{array}
ight.$$

- For p = 1/3 we get [Achlioptas 2003]'s RP
- For  $p = 1/\sqrt{m}$  we get [Li, Hastie, Church 2006]'s RP
- Get sparse RPs with density p
- Scaling factor: pre-multiply by  $1/\sqrt{pk}$
- ▶ Hint: use unscaled  $T \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{km}$  to compute *TX*, then scale reduces time for floating point computations

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580 15 / 42

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Tasks

Verify the JLL with Achlioptas' projectors
Consider the k × m sparse RP S with density p

$$S \sim rac{1}{\sqrt{pk}} \left( \mathsf{N}(0,1) ext{ with prob. } p 
ight)$$

Verify the JLL with S

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Outline

Do you believe in the JLL?

#### Using the JLL

Random projections applied to LP

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

17 / 42

ж

#### Images

- Read all image files in a given directory
- Scale them to identical size and color depth
- Transform them into set X of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$
- Cluster X using K-means (with given K)
- Randomly project X to  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  where  $k = O(\ln |X|)$
- Cluster Y using K-means
- Compare clusterings and timings for different image folders
- **Task:** simply put together the code from the various parts and use it

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580 18 / 42

# Structure of the python code

- 1. Read all files in a given dir: glob.glob
- 2. Read, scale, convert images: PIL.Image
- 3. K-means: sklearn.cluster.KMeans
- 4. CPU time: time.time
- 5. Compare clusterings:

sklearn.metrics.cluster.adjusted\_mutual\_info\_score

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Imports

import sys import os import time import math from math import sqrt import numpy as np from PIL import Image import glob from sklearn.cluster import KMeans from sklearn.metrics.cluster import adjusted\_mutual\_info\_score

20 / 42

## **Global parameters**

```
myZero = 1e-10
image_exts = [".jpg",".gif",".png"]
thumbnailsize = (100, 100)
thumbnaildepth = 3
jlleps = 0.15
j11C = 2.0
```

## **Functions**

# round and output a number as part of a string
def outstr(x,d):
 return str(round(x,d))

# generate a componentwise Normal(0,1) matrix
def normalmatrix(m, n):
 return np.random.normal([0],[[1]],(m,n))

# generate a componentwise Uniform(0,1) matrix
def uniformmatrix(m, n):
 return np.random.rand(m,n)

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

22 / 42

**INE580** 

# **Functions**

```
def outclustering(clust, filenames):
    nclust = len(set(list(clust.labels_)))
    for c in range(nclust):
        print(" " + str(c+1) + ":", end=' ')
        for j in range(n):
             if clust.labels_[j] == c:
                 print(filenames[j], end=' ')
        print()
def nonemptyclust(clust):
    nclust = len(set(list(clust.labels )))
    clustering = {}
    for c in range(nclust):
        cluster = [j for j in range(n) if clust.labels_[j] == c]
        if len(cluster) > 0:
             clustering[c] = cluster
    return clustering
                                              ▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶   臣   のへで
    L. Liberti, CNRS LIX
                           TD #6: Random projections
                                                        INE580
                                                                   23/42
```

## Read command line

```
if len(sys.argv) < 3:
    print("syntax is" + sys.argv[0] + "dir nclust")
    print(" dir contains image files")
    print(" nclust is number of clusters")
    sys.exit(1)
dir = sys.argv[1]
nclust = int(sys.argv[2])
if nclust < 2:
    sys.exit('nclust must be at least 2')
if len(sys.argv) >= 4:
   m = int(sys.argv[2])
   n = int(sys.argv[3])
    thumbnailsize = (m,n)
 = thumbnailsize[0]*thumbnailsize[1]*thumbnaildepth
m
```

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ● INE580

24 / 42

# Read files into vectors

```
filenames = []
X = []
n = 0
print("reading " + dir + " ...", end=' ')
sys.stdout.flush()
t0 = time.time()
for ext in image_exts:
    for filename in glob.glob(dir + '/*' + ext):
        im = Image.open(filename)
        im = im.resize(thumbnailsize)
        im = im.convert("RGB")
        filenames.append(os.path.basename(filename))
        imvect = np.reshape(np.array(im), (m))
        X.append(imvect)
        n += 1
X = np.array(X)
t1 = time.time()
print("took " + outstr(t1-t0,2) + "s")
                                            L. Liberti, CNRS LIX
                          TD #6: Random projections
                                                      INE580
                                                                 25 / 42
```

# K-means

```
## cluster the data matrix
print(str(nclust) + "-means clustering ...", end=' ')
sys.stdout.flush()
t2 = time.time()
clust = KMeans(n_clusters=nclust).fit(X)
t3 = time.time()
#outclustering(clust, filenames)
print("took " + outstr(t3-t2,2) + "s")
```

## Random projection

```
## projecting the data matrix
print("projecting data matrix ...", end=' ')
sys.stdout.flush()
t4 = time.time()
k = int(round(jllC*(1/(jlleps**2))*math.log(n)))
T = (1/sqrt(k))*normalmatrix(m,k)
XT = np.dot(X,T)
t5 = time.time()
print("took " + outstr(t5-t4,2) + "s")
print("projected from " + str(m) + " to " + str(k) + " dims")
```

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

INF580

27 / 42

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

# K-means on projected data

```
## projected k-means clustering
print(str(nclust) + "-means proj. clustering ...", end='
sys.stdout.flush()
projclust = KMeans(n_clusters=nclust).fit(XT)
t6 = time.time()
#outclustering(projclust, filenames)
print("took " + outstr(t6-t5,2) + "s")
print("clust took "+outstr(t3-t2,2)+"s;", end=' ')
print("proj+clust took "+outstr(t6-t4,2)+"s")
```

# Clustering similarity

```
## evaluate clustering similarity
print("used " + multmethod + " for matrix dot product")
q = adjusted_mutual_info_score(clust.labels_, projclust.labels_)
print("adj mutual info = " + outstr(q,3) + " (0=differ, 1=equal)")
clustering = nonemptyclust(clust)
print(str(n) + " images clustered into", end=' ')
print(str(len(clustering.keys())) + " non-empty clusters")
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

# Output: 13 images and K = 3

reading ~/gif/art/ ... took 2.07s 3-means clustering ... took 0.12s projecting data matrix ... took 0.31s projected from 30000 to 228 dims 3-means proj. clustering ... took 0.01s clust took 0.12s; proj+clust took 0.32s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 1.0 (0=different, 1=equal) 13 images clustered into 3 non-empty clusters

# Output: 12 images and K = 4

reading ~/gif/places/ ... took 1.34s 4-means clustering ... took 0.11s projecting data matrix ... took 0.29s projected from 30000 to 213 dims 4-means proj. clustering ... took 0.01s clust took 0.11s; proj+clust took 0.31s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 1.0 (0=different, 1=equal) 12 images clustered into 4 non-empty clusters

# Output: 88 images and K = 4

reading ~/gif/things/ ... took 5.61s 4-means clustering ... took 0.88s projecting data matrix ... took 0.57s projected from 30000 to 396 dims 4-means proj. clustering ... took 0.02s clust took 0.88s; proj+clust took 0.59s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 0.847 (0=different, 1=equal) 88 images clustered into 4 non-empty clusters

## Output: 244 images and K = 3

reading ~/gif/foods/ ... took 29.47s 5-means clustering ... took 3.33s projecting data matrix ... took 0.94s projected from 30000 to 487 dims 5-means proj. clustering ... took 0.1s clust took 3.33s; proj+clust took 1.04s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 0.719 (0=different, 1=equal) 240 images clustered into 3 non-empty clusters

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INE580

33 / 42

## Output: 395 images and K = 10

reading ~/gif/people/ ... took 25.29s 10-means clustering ... took 10.47s projecting data matrix ... took 1.07s projected from 30000 to 531 dims 10-means proj. clustering ... took 0.27s clust took 10.47s; proj+clust took 1.34s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 0.519 (0=different, 1=equal) 395 images clustered into 10 non-empty clusters

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

## Output: 395 images and K = 3

reading ~/gif/people/ ... took 25.58s 3-means clustering ... took 5.98s projecting data matrix ... took 1.07s projected from 30000 to 531 dims 3-means proj. clustering ... took 0.13s clust took 5.98s; proj+clust took 1.21s used numpy.dot for matrix dot product adj mutual info = 0.729 (0=different, 1=equal) 395 images clustered into 3 non-empty clusters

TD #6: Random projections

INE580

Random projections applied to LP

## Outline

Do you believe in the JLL?

Using the JLL

Random projections applied to LP

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

36 / 42

# The diet problem

Given:

- a set F of n possible foods in the diet, with unit costs c<sub>j</sub> ~ max(0.1, 1 + 0.1 N(0, 1)) let c be the food cost vector
- a set N of m nutrients the diet must provide, in quantity at least b<sub>i</sub> let b be the required nutrient quantity vector
- values a<sub>ij</sub> ~ U(0.05, 2.5) such that food j contains a<sub>ij</sub> units of nutrient i let A be the nutrient-food occurrence matrix make sure A has density 0.1
- find the diet of least cost

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

**INE580** 

#### Tasks

#### Formulate the diet problem

- Write an AMPL or Python script in order to generate random feasible instances A, b, c of given sizes m, n of the diet problem [Hint: generate b last]
- Write a Python script to read these instances
- Write Python code to apply a RP to the diet problem LP
- Solve both original and projected problem using amplpy
- Compare results (approximation quality, feasibility, CPU time) for instances of various sizes

38 / 42

# Solution retrieval is tricky

- With AMPL: sstatus suffix if x[j].sstatus == "bas" ...
- With amplpy: xstat[j] = diet.getVariable("x")[j+1].sstatus()
- Basis elements come from simplex tableau they may refer to slack or Phase I variables
   must look for them in constraint structures too cstat[i] = diet.getConstraint("nutrients")[i+1].sstatus()
- ▶ ⇒ Since problem constraints are  $Ax \ge b$  you must project  $\overline{A} = (A|I_m)$ as RPs are applied to LPs in standard form (with linear equations) var (resp. constr) basic elts indexed in  $\{1, ..., n\}$  (resp.  $\{1, ..., m\}$ ) but *i*-th basic constr. index refers to (n + i)-th col in  $\overline{A}$

When you retrieve x' = (A<sub>H</sub><sup>T</sup>A<sub>H</sub>)<sup>-1</sup>A<sub>H</sub><sup>T</sup>b (see lectures), x'<sub>j</sub> may correspond to a basic element from variables or constraints
 ⇒ zero padding will need to fill in "missing indices" retrieved x will be in ℝ<sup>n+m</sup> [Hint: encode x' in a dictionary: xd[j] = value of x'<sub>j</sub>]

L. Liberti, CNRS LIX

TD #6: Random projections

INF580

39 / 42

#### CPLEX on original 4000 $\times$ 5000 instance

CPLEX 12.8.0.0: display=1 Parallel mode: deterministic, using up to 4 threads for concurrent optimization. Linear dependency checker was stopped due to maximum work limit. No LP presolve or aggregator reductions. Elapsed time = 63.74 sec. (61801.03 ticks, 1 iterations) Dual simplex solved model. CPLEX 12.8.0.0: optimal solution; objective 793.258801 2690 dual simplex iterations (0 in phase I) cost = 793.259 real 2m56.951s, user 4m8.926s, svs 0m5.516s

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Random projections applied to LP

# With random projection code ( $\varepsilon = 0.08$ , C = 1)

```
reading data data read in 6.90s
projecting from 4000 to 1423 constraints projection took 1.75s
writing projected problem to projdiet.dat wrote instance in 21.38s
passing data to AMPL using file passed data to AMPL in 3.82s
solving projected problem solved projected problem in 90.17s
CPLEX 12.8.0.0: baropt
bardisplay=1
Linear dependency checker was stopped due to maximum work limit.
No LP presolve or aggregator reductions.
Parallel mode: using up to 4 threads for barrier.
CPLEX 12.8.0.0: optimal solution; objective 793.2632
10 barrier iterations
9 push, 792 exchange dual crossover iterations
solution retrieval retrieval took 0.77s
optimal objective function value = 793.2632
||(A|I m)xretr - b|| 2 / m = 1.5309781918953325e-14
||\min(\text{xretr.0})|| 1 / (n+m) = 2.4976317310650604e-15
CPU times: read=6.90,proj=1.75,out=21.38,solve=90.17,retr=0.77,tot=120.98
real 2m7.021s, user 4m13.557s, sys 0m4.257s
```

INE580

41/42

# A last warning

If you obtained error-free results (like me in last slide), you probably biased the random instance in the generation phase!

Find what the bias is, repair the random generation code, and repeat the tests: what results do you obtain?

イロト イヨト イヨト ・