A Hybrid Denotational Semantics for Hybrid Systems

Olivier BOUISSOU

CEA Saclay Laboratoire Modélisation et Analyse de Systèmes en Intéraction

October 1, 2007





Value of intgrx after 1 iteration:



Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 2 iterations:



Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 3 iterations:



Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 4 iterations:



Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 5 iterations:



Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 6 iterations:







Simulation (dynamical analysis)

Value of intgrx after 9 iterations:













Problem

Loss of precision because:

- we assume that x can instantaneously jump from -1 to 1
- $\bullet\,$ we do not consider extra information about ${\rm x}$ (incoming rate, continuous evolution)

Proposed solution:

- analyze the program together with its physical environment
- introduce hybrid statements to the program

Difficulties:

- program and environment have different behaviors:
 - program is discrete
 - environment is continuous
- need to express both in a unified semantics

Hybrid Syntax

Program

```
#define SUP 4
#define INF -4
#define h 1/8.0
sensor x;
actuator k;
static float intgrx = 0.0;
void main() {
   float xi:
   while (true) {
     wait(h);
     sens.x?xi:
     intgrx += xi*h;
     if (intgrx > SUP) {
       intgrx = SUP;
       act.k!0:
     if (intgrx < INF)
       intgrx = INF;
```

Environment

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = k * y \\ \dot{y} = -k * x \end{cases}$$

We write it:

$$\dot{Y} = F_k(Y)$$

Assumptions for F_k :

- continuous
- piecewise Lipschitz

Goal of the talk

Give a denotational semantics to this system: the semantics is computed using only *one* fix-point.

Assumption

The environment is perfectly known.

• Semantics of discrete statements: textbook

$$\begin{array}{ll} \llbracket e1 + e2 \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, n_1 + n_2) \mid (\sigma, n_1) \in \llbracket e1 \rrbracket \text{ and } (\sigma, n_2) \in \sigma \} \\ \llbracket v < e \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, true) : \llbracket v \rrbracket \sigma < \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \} \\ \cup \{(\sigma, false) : \llbracket v \rrbracket \sigma \geq \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \} \\ \llbracket v = e \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma' = \sigma \ [v \mapsto n] \text{ and } (\sigma, n) \in \llbracket e \rrbracket \} \\ \\ \texttt{while}(b) \ inst \rrbracket &= Fix(\Gamma) \ \text{with } \Gamma(\varphi) = \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = true \ \text{and } (\sigma, \sigma') \in \varphi \circ \llbracket inst \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket i_1; i_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket i_2 \rrbracket \circ \llbracket i_1 \rrbracket \end{array}$$

• Semantics of hybrid statements:

- [sens.y?x] = Binds x with the present value of y
 - \llbracket wait $c \rrbracket$ = Move time forward of c seconds
 - $[act.k!c] = Chose the function <math>y_c$ for the future

Assumption

The environment is perfectly known.

Semantics of discrete statements: textbook

- Semantics of hybrid statements:
 - $\llbracket \text{sens.y?x} \rrbracket = \{(\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma.y(\sigma.time)] \}$
 - \llbracket wait $c \rrbracket$ = Move time forward of c seconds
 - [act.k!c] = Chose the function y_c for the future

Assumption

The environment is perfectly known.

• Semantics of discrete statements: textbook

$$\begin{split} \llbracket e\mathbf{1} + e\mathbf{2} \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, n_1 + n_2) \mid (\sigma, n_1) \in \llbracket e\mathbf{1} \rrbracket \text{ and } (\sigma, n_2) \in \sigma \} \\ \llbracket v < e \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, true) : \llbracket v \rrbracket \sigma < \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \} \\ &\cup \{(\sigma, false) : \llbracket v \rrbracket \sigma \geq \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \} \\ \llbracket v = e \rrbracket &= \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma' = \sigma [v \mapsto n] \text{ and } (\sigma, n) \in \llbracket e \rrbracket \} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \texttt{while}(b) \textit{ inst} \rrbracket &= \textit{Fix}(\Gamma) \textit{ with } \Gamma(\varphi) = \{(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = \textit{true and } (\sigma, \sigma') \in \varphi \circ \llbracket \textit{inst} \rrbracket \} \\ \llbracket i_1; i_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket i_2 \rrbracket \circ \llbracket i_1 \rrbracket \end{split}$$

• Semantics of hybrid statements:

$$\begin{bmatrix} sens.y?x \end{bmatrix} = \{(\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma.y(\sigma.time)] \}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} wait \ c \end{bmatrix} = \{(\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma[time \mapsto \sigma.time + c] \}$$

[act.k!c] = Chose the function y_c for the future

Assumption

The environment is perfectly known.

• Semantics of discrete statements: textbook

Semantics of hybrid statements:

$$\begin{split} & \left[\text{sens.} y? \mathbf{x} \right] &= \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma [x \mapsto \sigma. y(\sigma. \textit{time})] \right\} \\ & \left[\text{wait } c \right] &= \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma [\textit{time} \mapsto \sigma. \textit{time} + c] \right\} \\ & \left[\text{act.klc} \right] &= \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma \left[y \mapsto \lambda x. \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma. y(x) & \textit{if } x \leq \sigma. \textit{time} \\ y_c(x) & \textit{else} \end{array} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

Continuous Semantics

Assumption: the discrete evolution is known

- the switching times are known
- the evolution of the environment is governed by one IVP

Initial value problem (IVP):

- an ODE $\dot{y} = F(y)$ that governs the evolution
- an initial condition $y(0) = y_0$ that explicits the starting point

Solution an IVP:

- a function y such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ \dot{y}(t) = F(y(t))$ and $y(0) = y_0$
- y verifies y = y₀ + ∫₀^x F(y(s))ds, i.e. y is a fixpoint of the PICARD operator P : y → λx.y₀ + ∫₀^x F(y(s))ds

Goal of the continuous semantics

Express y as a the fix-point of a (possibly monotone) function on a lattice and show that it is the limit of Kleene's iterates.

Basic idea

Continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty[$ are elements with perfect information. Construct a lattice that respect this information order.



Basic idea

Continuous functions defined on $[0,\infty[$ are elements with perfect information. Construct a lattice that respect this information order.

• Approximation of a continuous function: interval valued function defined on [0, X].



Basic idea

Continuous functions defined on $[0,\infty[$ are elements with perfect information. Construct a lattice that respect this information order.

- Approximation of a continuous function: interval valued function defined on [0, X].
- A function that is defined on [0, *X* + 1] and that is tighter is a better approximation.



Basic idea

Continuous functions defined on $[0,\infty[$ are elements with perfect information. Construct a lattice that respect this information order.

- Approximation of a continuous function: interval valued function defined on [0, X].
- A function that is defined on [0, *X* + 1] and that is tighter is a better approximation.
- Formally: $\mathcal{IF}_X^0 = \{f : [0, X] \to \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{R})\}$ such that the upper and the lower functions are continuous. $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{X \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{IF}_X^0 \cup \mathcal{IF}_{\infty}^0$.



Lattice of Partial Functions: Order and Join





$$\Gamma_{F,y_0}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} y_0 + \int_0^x F(y(s))ds & \text{if } x \le X_f \\ J + F(J) * [-e^\alpha, e^\alpha] * (x - X), \\ \text{with } J = y_0 + \int_0^X F(f(s))ds & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$\Gamma_{F,y_0}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} y_0 + \int_0^x F(y(s))ds & \text{if } x \le X_f \\ J + F(J) * [-e^\alpha, e^\alpha] * (x - X), \\ \text{with } J = y_0 + \int_0^X F(f(s))ds & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$\Gamma_{F,y_0}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} y_0 + \int_0^x F(y(s))ds & \text{if } x \le X_f \\ J + F(J) * [-e^{\alpha}, e^{\alpha}] * (x - X), \\ \text{with } J = P_{[0,X_f]}(F,y_0)(f)(X) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$\Gamma_{F,y_0}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} y_0 + \int_0^x F(y(s))ds & \text{if } x \le X_f \\ J + F(J) * [-e^\alpha, e^\alpha] * (x - X), \\ \text{with } J = y_0 + \int_0^X F(f(s))ds & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$\Gamma_{F,y_0}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} y_0 + \int_0^x F(y(s))ds & \text{if } x \le X_f \\ J + F(J) * [-e^{\alpha}, e^{\alpha}] * (x - X), \\ \text{with } J = P_{[0,X_f]}(F,y_0)(f)(X) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- We build a sequence of function *f_n* such that:
 - f_n defined on [0, n]
 - $\forall n, \forall x \in [0, n], y(x) \in f_n(x)$
- We use Keye Martin's measurement theory to prove that the sequence converges.



- We build a sequence of function *f_n* such that:
 - f_n defined on [0, n]
 - $\forall n, \forall x \in [0, n], y(x) \in f_n(x)$
- We use Keye Martin's measurement theory to prove that the sequence converges.



- We build a sequence of function *f_n* such that:
 - *f_n* defined on [0, *n*]
 - $\forall n, \forall x \in [0, n], y(x) \in f_n(x)$
- We use Keye Martin's measurement theory to prove that the sequence converges.



- We build a sequence of function *f_n* such that:
 - *f_n* defined on [0, *n*]
 - $\forall n, \forall x \in [0, n], y(x) \in f_n(x)$
- We use Keye Martin's measurement theory to prove that the sequence converges.



Combining two semantics:

- hybrid environments (σ_d, σ_c)
- semantics of discrete statements remain unchanged
- semantics of a sens:

$$\llbracket \text{sens.y?x} \rrbracket = \bigl\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma [x \mapsto \sigma.y(\sigma.\textit{time})] \bigr\}$$

$$\llbracket \texttt{act.k!c} \rrbracket = \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma \left[y \mapsto \lambda x. \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \sigma. y(x) & \text{if } x \leq \sigma. \textit{time} \\ y_c(x) & \text{else} \end{array} \right] \right\}$$

Combining two semantics:

- hybrid environments (σ_d, σ_c)
- semantics of discrete statements remain unchanged
- semantics of a sens:

 $[sens.y?x]^{\mathcal{H}} = perform one step of the continuous Kleene's iteration$

$$\llbracket \texttt{act.k!c} \rrbracket = \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma \left[y \mapsto \lambda x. \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \sigma. y(x) & \text{if } x \leq \sigma. \textit{time} \\ y_c(x) & \text{else} \end{array} \right] \right\}$$

Combining two semantics:

- hybrid environments (σ_d, σ_c)
- semantics of discrete statements remain unchanged
- semantics of a sens:

$$\llbracket \text{sens.y?x} \rrbracket^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_d, \sigma_c) = (\sigma'_d, \sigma'_c) \text{ with } \begin{cases} \sigma'_c = \sigma_c[y \mapsto \Gamma^n_{\sigma_d.F, y(0)}(y)] \\ \sigma'_d = \sigma_d[x \mapsto \textit{mid}(\sigma'_c.y(\sigma_d.\textit{time}))] \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \texttt{act.k!c} \rrbracket = \left\{ (\sigma, \sigma') | \sigma' = \sigma \left[y \mapsto \lambda x. \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \sigma. y(x) & \text{if } x \leq \sigma. \textit{time} \\ y_c(x) & \text{else} \end{array} \right] \right\}$$

Combining two semantics:

- hybrid environments (σ_d, σ_c)
- semantics of discrete statements remain unchanged
- semantics of a sens:

$$\llbracket \text{sens.y?x} \rrbracket^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_d, \sigma_c) = (\sigma'_d, \sigma'_c) \text{ with } \begin{cases} \sigma'_c = \sigma_c[y \mapsto \Gamma^n_{\sigma_d.F, y(0)}(y)] \\ \sigma'_d = \sigma_d[x \mapsto \textit{mid}(\sigma'_c.y(\sigma_d.\textit{time}))] \end{cases}$$

semantics of a act:

 $[[act.k!c]]^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_d, \sigma_c) = \text{ change the function defining the ODE}$

Combining two semantics:

- hybrid environments (σ_d, σ_c)
- semantics of discrete statements remain unchanged
- semantics of a sens:

$$\llbracket \text{sens.y?x} \rrbracket^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_d, \sigma_c) = (\sigma'_d, \sigma'_c) \text{ with } \begin{cases} \sigma'_c = \sigma_c[y \mapsto \Gamma^n_{\sigma_d.F.y(0)}(y)] \\ \sigma'_d = \sigma_d[x \mapsto \textit{mid}(\sigma'_c.y(\sigma_d.\textit{time}))] \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \texttt{act.klc} \rrbracket^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma_d, \sigma_c) = \left(\sigma_d \left[F \mapsto \lambda t, y, \begin{cases} \sigma_d. F(y, t) & t \leq \sigma_d. \textit{time} \\ \sigma_c. F_c(y, t) & \textit{else} \end{cases} \right], \sigma_c \right)$$

Conclusion

New model for hybrid systems that:

- remains close to existing programs
- is designed to be integrated to existing static analyzers
- does not permit physically impossible phenomena (Zeno effect)

Denotational semantics for this model that:

- unifies the description of the continuous and discrete systems
- uses only one fixpoint to compute the semantics of the whole system

Future work:

- define an abstract semantics and analysis:
 - analysis of the continuous system: validated integration
 - analysis of the discrete system: classic domains (octagons, error series,...)
 - analysis of the interactions: needs to be formalized
- define a suitable widening for the continuous functions