



1. Software Validation and Verification

Software Testing [Myers 1979]	Computer-assisted Proof	Model Checking [Clarke, Emerson, and Sifakis 1981]	Abstract Interpretation [Cousot and Cousot 1976]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Widely used (Verification and Qualification) derived from the specification, model-based testing, ... code coverage metrics Still <i>Program testing can be used to show the presence of bugs, but never to show their absence!</i> (E. Dijkstra (1972)) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property of interest is seen as a Theorem (logically valid) using Predicates calculus Powerful, Complete formal proof of the 4 colors theorem (1976) Tools: Coq, SMV ... Issue: Decidability, termination, hardly automated and not scalable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Derive a formal model from the real program (temporal logic automaton ...) Prove the needed properties on the model Tools: BLAST, SPIN, ... Issue : State explosion problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Semantic formalized as a fix-point of a monotonic operator in a partially ordered structure, Fully automated, Industrial tools exists : Polyspace Verifier (MathWorks), Astrée (ENS), Fluctuat (CEA), aIT (ABSINT), ... Issue: find the <i>suitable</i> abstract domain for the properties of interest.

2. Concrete Semantics

```
#Second order Filter
begin
En = [-1, 1]; ①
Sn1 = 0; ②
Sn2 = 0; ③
i = 0; ④
while (i < 100) ⑤ do
  Sn = h(En, Sn1, Sn2); ⑥
  Sn2 = Sn1; ⑦
  Sn1 = Sn; ⑧
  En = [-1, 1]; ⑨
  i = i + 1; ④
done;
end
```

Control Flow Graph

Equations System

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_0 = \llbracket \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \rrbracket, \text{ where } \mathbb{I} = \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\} \\ \mathcal{X}_1 = \llbracket E_n \leftarrow [-1, 1] \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_0) \\ \mathcal{X}_2 = \llbracket S_{n-1} \leftarrow 0 \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_1) \\ \mathcal{X}_3 = \llbracket S_{n-2} \leftarrow 0 \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_2) \\ \mathcal{X}_4 = \llbracket S_n \leftarrow h(E_n, S_{n-1}, S_{n-2}) \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_3) \\ \mathcal{X}_5 = \llbracket i < 100 \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_4) \\ \mathcal{X}_6 = \llbracket S_n \leftarrow h(E_n, S_{n-1}, S_{n-2}) \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_5) \\ \mathcal{X}_7 = \llbracket S_{n-2} \leftarrow S_{n-1} \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_6) \\ \mathcal{X}_8 = \llbracket S_{n-1} \leftarrow S_n \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_7) \\ \mathcal{X}_9 = \llbracket E_n \leftarrow [-1, 1] \rrbracket(\mathcal{X}_8) \end{cases}$$

- $D = (\wp(\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}), \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, (\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}))$ is a **complete lattice**
- each operator $\mathcal{X}_i \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_i)$ is monotonic
- **Tarski Theorem** ensures the existence of a least fixpoint for \mathcal{F}
- If the transfer functions $(\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket)$ are continuous
- **Kleene Iteration Technique** reaches the least fixpoint

Issues :

- $\wp(\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{I})$ is non representable in finite memory,
- $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ are non computable,
- Iterations over the lattice may be transfinite.

3. Abstract Interpretation Based Static Analysis

Abstract Domain

Non Relational Domain
 $x \in I_x, y \in I_y$

Relational Domain
 $\lambda x + \mu y \leq c$

Abstract the concrete semantics to get a computable over approximation

4. Affine Forms Abstract Domain [Goubault and Putot: 06, 08]

[J. L. D. Comba and J. Stolfi: 93] :

$$\hat{x} = \alpha_0^x + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^x \epsilon_i$$

→ **shared** noise symbols express **implicit dependencies** between variables

example :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} = 10 - 4\epsilon_1 & +2\epsilon_3 + 3\epsilon_4 \\ \hat{y} = 5 - 2\epsilon_1 + 1\epsilon_2 & -1\epsilon_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Contributions

- ATV (Astrum ST) Case Study **[DASIA 09]**
- Use of optimization techniques (SemiDefinite Programming) in abstract transfer functions,
- Development of an abstract domain, *Taylor1+* (Licence GPL), based on affine forms, as a new domain of APRON Library (<http://apron.cri.ensmp.fr>) **[CAV 09]**

Ongoing work: Design of an abstract domain with support of constraints over noise symbols in order to improve the abstraction of the *test* transfer functions.