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Abstract

We show that the diameter $D(G_n)$ of a random (unembedded) labelled connected planar graph with $n$ vertices is asymptotically almost surely of order $n^{1/4}$, in the sense that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $P(D(G_n) \in (n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})) \geq 1 - \exp(-n^c)$ for $\epsilon$ small enough and $n$ large enough ($n \geq n_0(\epsilon)$). We prove similar statements for rooted 2-connected and 3-connected embedded (maps) and unembedded planar graphs.

1 Introduction

The diameter of random embedded connected planar graphs (called planar maps) has attracted a lot of attention since the pioneering work by Chassaing and Schaeffer [6] on the radius $r(Q_n)$ of random quadrangulations with $n$ vertices, where they show that $r(Q_n)$ rescaled by $n^{1/4}$ converges as $n \to \infty$ to an explicit (continuous) distribution related to the Brownian snake. This suggests that random maps of size $n$ are to be rescaled by $n^{1/4}$ in order to converge; precise definitions of the convergence can be found in [14, 9], and the (spherical) topology of the limit is studied in [10, 16]; some general statements about the limiting profile and radius are obtained in [13, 15]. At the combinatorial level, the two-point function of random quadrangulations has surprisingly a simple exact expression, a beautiful result found in [4] that allows one to derive easily the limit distribution (rescaled by $n^{1/4}$) of the distance between two randomly chosen vertices in a random quadrangulation. In contrast, little is known about the profile of random unembedded connected planar graphs, even if it is strongly believed that the results should be similar as in the embedded case.

We have not been able to show a limit distribution for the profile (or radius, diameter) of a random connected planar graph rescaled by $n^{1/4}$; instead we have obtained large deviation results on the diameter that strongly support the belief that $n^{1/4}$ is the right scaling order. We say that a property $A$, defined for all values $n$ of a parameter, holds asymptotically almost surely if

$$P(A) \to 1,$$  \hspace{1em} as \hspace{1em} $n \to \infty$.
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In this case we write a.a.s. In this paper we need a certain rate of convergence of the probabilities. Suppose property $A$ depends on a real number $\epsilon > 0$ (usually very small). Then we say that $A$ holds a.a.s. with exponential rate if there is a constant $c > 0$, such that for every $\epsilon$ small enough there exist an integer $n_0(\epsilon)$ so that

$$P(\text{not } A) \leq e^{-n^c} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0(\epsilon).$$

(1)

The diameter of a graph (or map) $G$ is denoted by $D(G)$. The main results proved in this paper are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** The diameter of a random connected labelled planar graph with $n$ vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval

$$(n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon}).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $1 < \mu < 3$. The diameter of a random connected labelled planar graph with $n$ vertices and $\lfloor n \mu \rfloor$ edges is in the interval $(n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})$ a.a.s. with exponential rate.

This contrasts with so-called “subcritical” graph families, such as trees, outerplanar graphs, series-parallel graphs, where the diameter is in the interval $(n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon})$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. (see the remark just before the bibliography).

The basis of our proof is the result for planar maps (i.e., embedded planar graphs) mentioned above. Then we prove the result for 2-connected maps using the fact that a random map has a large 2-connected component a.a.s. A similar argument allows us to extend the result to 3-connected maps, which proves it also for 3-connected planar graphs, because they have a unique embedding in the sphere. We then reverse the previous arguments and go first to 2-connected and then connected planar graphs, but this is not straightforward. One difficulty is that the largest 3-connected component of a random 2-connected graph does not have the typical ratio between number of edges and number of vertices, and this is why we must study maps with a given ratio between edges and vertices. In addition, we must show that there is a 3-connected component of size $n^{1-c}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate, and similarly for blocks. Finally, we must show that the height of the tree associated to the decomposition of a 2-connected graph into 3-connected components is at most $n^c$, and similarly for the tree of the decomposition of a connected graph into blocks.

2 Preliminaries

Let $f(z) = \sum_n f_n z^n$ be a series with nonnegative coefficients and let $x > 0$ be a value such that $f(x)$ converges (in particular $x$ is at most the radius of convergence $\rho$). Recall the following elementary inequality: for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$f_n \leq f(x)x^{-n}.$$ (2)

(When minimized over $x$, this inequality is called saddle-point bound).

A bivariate version yields a lemma that will be used several times; it provides a simple criterion to ensure that the distribution of a parameter has exponentially fast decaying tail. First let us give some terminology. A weighted combinatorial class is a class of combinatorial objects (such as graphs, trees, maps) $\mathcal{A} = \cup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ endowed with a weight-function $w : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$. The weighted distribution in size $n$ is the unique distribution on $\mathcal{A}_n$ proportional to the weight: $P(\alpha) \propto w(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{A} = \cup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ be a weighted combinatorial class, and let $A(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} w(\alpha) z^{\mid \alpha \mid}$ be the corresponding weighted generating function. Let $\chi$ be a parameter for objects in $\mathcal{A}$ with associated bivariate generating function $A(z, u) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} w(\alpha) z^{\mid \alpha \mid} u^{\chi(\alpha)}$, where $z$ marks size and $u$ marks $\chi$. Let $\rho > 0$ be the dominant singularity of $A(z) = A(z, 1)$. Assume there is a polynomial $p(n)$ such that

$$A_n = [z^n] A(z) \geq \frac{1}{p(n)} \rho^{-n} \quad \text{for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

Assume also that there exists $u_0 > 1$ such that $A(z, u_0)$ has dominant singularity $\rho$ and $A(\rho, u_0)$ is finite.

Then, there exists constants $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that, for $R_n$ taken at random under the weighted distribution in size $n$ $P(\chi(R_n) \geq k) \leq C p(n) e^{-ck}$, for every $n$ and $k$ positive.
Proof. We have \( P(\chi(R_n) = k) = [z^n u^k] A(z, u)/[z^n] A(z) \). A bivariate version of (2) ensures that 
\( [z^n u^k] A(z, u) \leq A(p, u_0)p^{-n} u_0^{-k} = O(p^{-n} e^{-ck}) \) where \( c = \log(u_0) \). Hence \( P(\chi(R_n) = k) = O(p(n)e^{-ck}) \), so \( P(\chi(R_n) \geq k) = O(p(n)e^{-ck}) \).

\[ \square \]

3 Quadrangulations and maps

We recall here the definitions of maps. A planar map (shortly called a map here) is a connected unlabelled graph embedded in the plane up to isotopic deformation. Loops and multiple edges are allowed. A rooted map is a map where an edge incident to the outer face is marked so as to have the outer face on its left; the root-vertex is the origin of the root. A quadrangulation is a map where all faces have degree 4.

3.1 Quadrangulations

We recall Schaeffer’s bijection (itself an adaptation of an earlier bijection by Cori and Vauquelin [7]) between labelled trees and quadrangulations. A rooted plane tree is a rooted map with a unique face. A labelled tree is a rooted plane tree with a label \( \ell(v) \in \mathbb{Z} \) on each vertex \( v \) so that the labels of the extremities of each edge \( e = (v, v') \) satisfy \( |\ell(v) - \ell(v')| \leq 1 \), and such that the root vertex has label 0. A useful observation is that labelled trees are in bijection with rooted plane trees where a subset of the edges is oriented arbitrarily (for the onto mapping, one orients an edge with labels \( (i, i + 1) \) toward the vertex with label \( i + 1 \) and one leaves an edge of type \( (i, i) \) unoriented). Thus the number of labelled trees with \( n \) edges is \( 3^n C_n \) with \( C_n := (2n)!//n!// (n + 1)! \) the \( n \)th Catalan number. A signed labelled tree is a pair \((\tau, \sigma)\) where \( \tau \) is a labelled tree and \( \sigma \) is an element of \( \{-1, +1\} \).

Theorem 3.1 (Schaeffer [17], Chassaing, Schaeffer [6]). Signed labelled trees with \( n \) vertices are in bijection with rooted quadrangulations with \( n \) vertices and a secondary pointed vertex \( v_0 \). Each vertex \( v \) of a labelled tree corresponds to a non-pointed vertex \( (\neq v_0) \) in the associated quadrangulation \( Q \), and \( \ell(v) - \ell_{\min} + 1 \) gives the distance from \( v \) to \( v_0 \) in \( Q \), where \( \ell_{\min} \) is the minimum label in the tree.

From this bijection, it is easy to show large deviation results for the diameter of a quadrangulation (the basic idea, originating in [6], is that the typical depth \( k \) of a vertex in the tree is \( n^{1/2} \), and the typical discrepancy of the labels along a branch is \( k^{1/2} = n^{1/4} \)). The main result we use, from [8], is the property that (under general conditions) the height of a random tree of size \( n \) from a given family has diameter in \((n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon})\) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

Let \( T = \cup_n T_n \) be a class of rooted trees endowed with a weight-function \( w : T \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+ \). Let \( y(z) = \sum_n z^n \sum_{\tau \in T_n} w(\tau) \) be the associated generating function, and denote by \( \rho \) the radius of convergence of \( y(z) \), where \( \rho \) is assumed to be strictly positive. Assume \( y(z) \) satisfies an equation of the form

\[ y = F(z, y), \]

with \( F(z, y) \) a bivariate function with nonnegative coefficients, nonlinear in \( y \), analytic around \((0, 0)\), and \( F(0, y) = 0 \). By the non-linearity of (3) according to \( y \), \( y(\rho) \) is finite; let \( \tau := y(\rho) \). Equation (3) is called admissible if \( F(z, y) \) is analytic at \((\rho, \tau)\). A height-parameter for (3) is a nonnegative integer parameter \( \xi \) such that \( y_h(z) = \sum_n z^n \sum_{\tau \in T_n, |\xi(\tau)| \leq h} w(\tau) \) satisfies

\[ y_{h+1}(z) = F(z, y_h(z)) \]

for \( h \geq 0 \).

Lemma 3.2 (Flajolet et al. Theorem 3.1 in [8]). Let \( T \) be a family of rooted trees endowed with a weight-function \( w(\cdot) \) so that the corresponding (weighted) series \( y(z) \) satisfies an equation of the form (3), and such that (3) is admissible.

Let \( \xi \) be a height-parameter for (3) and let \( T_n \) be taken at random in \( T_n \) under the weighted distribution in size \( n \). Then \( \xi(T_n) \in (n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon}) \) a.a.s. with exponential rate$^1$.

Proposition 3.3. The diameter of a random rooted quadrangulation with \( n \) vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval \((n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})\).

$^1$In [8] they prove the result on an example and say that all the arguments in the proof hold for any system of the form \( y = z \phi(y) \). We have checked that actually all arguments still hold in the general case of an admissible system of the form \( y = F(z, y) \).
Proof. The range $\Delta := \ell_{\text{max}} - \ell_{\text{min}} + 1$ in a labelled tree $T$ gives the radius of the associated rooted pointed quadrangulation $Q$ with respect to the pointed vertex. Hence $D(Q)/2 \leq \Delta \leq D(Q)$. So we just have to show that $\Delta$ is in $(n^{1/4-\varepsilon}, n^{1/4+\varepsilon})$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. Since the label either increases by 1, stays equal, or decreases by 1 along each edge (going away from the root), the series $T(z)$ of labelled trees counted according to vertices satisfies

$$T(z) = \frac{z}{1 - 3T(z)},$$

and the usual height of the tree is a height-parameter for this equation. The equation is clearly admissible (the singularity is 1/12 and $T(1/12) = 1/6$), hence by Lemma 3.2 the height is in $(n^{1/2-\varepsilon}, n^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. So in a random labelled tree there is a.a.s. with exponential rate a branch $B$ of length $k = n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$. The labels along $B$ form a random walk with increments $+1, 0, -1$ each with probability $1/3$. Classically the maximum of such a walk is at least $k^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ (which is $\geq n^{1/4-2\varepsilon}$) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Hence the label of the vertex $v$ on $B$ at which the maximum occurs is at least the label of the root-vertex plus $n^{1/4-2\varepsilon}$, so $\ell_{\text{max}} \geq n^{1/4-2\varepsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. Since $\ell_{\text{min}} \leq 0$, this proves the lower bound (one can replace $2\varepsilon$ by $\varepsilon$ up to dividing by 2 the constant $c$ in (1)).

For the upper bound (already proved in [6]), since the height is at most $n^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate, the same is true for the depth $k$ of a random vertex $v$ in a random labelled tree of size $n$. Along the path from the root-vertex to $v$, the random walk of the labels has maximum at most $k^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. Hence $|\ell(v)| \leq n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate, so the same easily holds for the property $|\ell(v)| \leq n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. Hence (multiplying by $n$ keeps the probability of failure exponentially small), the property $\{\forall v, |\ell(v)| \leq n^{1/4+\varepsilon}\}$ is true a.a.s. with exponential rate. This completes the proof.

We also need a weighted version of the previous theorem. Recall that a rooted quadrangulation $Q$ has a unique bicoloration of its vertices in black and white such that the origin of the root is black and each edge connects a black with a white vertex. Call it the *canonical bicoloration* of $Q$. Given $x > 0$, a rooted quadrangulation with $v$ black vertices is weighted with parameter $x$ if we assign to it weight $x^v$. The next theorem generalizes Proposition 3.3 to the weighted case. The analytical part of the proof is a little more delicate since the system specifying weighted labelled trees is two-lines, and has to be transformed to a one-line equation in order to apply Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $0 < a < b$. The diameter of a random quadrangulation weighted by $x$ is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval $(n^{1/4-\varepsilon}, n^{1/4+\varepsilon})$, uniformly over $x \in [a, b]$.

**Proof.** We first recall some facts about the bijection in [17, 6]. First, the signed labelled tree $(T, \sigma)$ associated with a rooted pointed (canonically bicoloring) quadrangulation $Q$ inherits from $Q$ a vertex-bicoloration such that the monocolored edges (same color at the two extremities) are the ones with no increment, and the bicolored edges are the ones with increment (either $+1$ or $-1$, with equal probability). Moreover, the color of the root vertex of the tree is determined by the sign $\sigma$: it is black if $\sigma = +1$, and white if $\sigma = -1$ (this follows from [6, proof of Theorem 4]; see [5] for more details).

In a bicolored tree the *white-black* distance of a vertex $v$ is defined as the number of edges going from a white to a black vertex on the path from the root-vertex to $v$, and the *white-black height* is defined as the maximum over all vertices of the white-black distance. We use here a decomposition of a bicoloured labelled tree into monocolored components (the components are obtained by removing the bicolored edges); each such component being a plane tree. Let $f(z)$ ($g(z)$) be the series counting bicolored labelled trees rooted at a black (white, resp.) vertex, where each tree $\tau$ with $i$ black vertices has weight $w(\tau) = x^i$.

Let $T(z)$ be the series counting rooted plane trees according to edges, $T(z) = 1/(1 - zT(z))$. A tree counted by $f(z)$ is made of a monochromatic component (a plane tree) where in each corner one might insert a series of trees counted by $g(z)$. Since a rooted plane tree with $k$ edges has $2k + 1$ corners and $k + 1$ vertices, we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{xz}{1 - g(z)} T(\frac{xz}{1 - g(z)^2}).$$

Similarly

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1 - f(z)} T(\frac{z}{1 - f(z)^2}).$$

Hence the series $y = f(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$y = F(z, y), \quad \text{where } F(z, y) = \frac{xz}{1 - G(z, y)} T(\frac{xz}{1 - G(z, y)^2}), \quad G(z, y) = \frac{z}{1 - y} T(\frac{z}{1 - y^2}). \quad (4)$$
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In addition the white-black height is clearly a height-parameter for this system.

**Claim.** The system (4) is admissible.

**Proof of the claim.** Call \( \rho \) be the singularity of \( f(z), \tau := f(\rho) \). Let us prove first that \( G(z, y) \) is analytic at \((\rho, \tau)\). Note that \( \tau < 1 \), otherwise there would be \( z_0 < \rho \) such that \( g(z_0) = 1 \), and \( G(z, y) \) would diverge at \((z_0, 1)\), so \( g(z) \) would diverge at \( z_0 \), which contradicts the fact that \( g(z) \) is analytic at \( z_0 \). The other possible cause of singularity is if \( \rho/(1-\tau)^2 \) is a branch point of \( T(.) \). But we have (where \( \geq \) means coefficient-wise domination)

\[
f'(z) \leq x^2 f'(z) T'(\frac{z}{1-f(z)}^2),
\]

since the right-hand side gathers a certain subfamily of labelled trees with a secondary root. Consequently (noticing that the \( f'(z) \) factors on each side cancel out), we have

\[
T'(\frac{z}{1-f(z)}^2) \leq \frac{1}{xz^2} \text{ as } z \to \rho^-.
\]

Note that \( T'(u) \) diverges at its singularity \( 1/4 \). Hence \( \rho/(1-\tau)^2 \neq 1/4 \) (actually \( < 1/4 \)) otherwise we would have the contradiction that the left-hand side diverges whereas the right-hand side, which is larger, converges. So this excludes a singularity due to a branch point of \( T \). We conclude that \( G(z, y) \) is analytic at \((\rho, \tau)\). One then proves similarly that \( F(z, y) \) is analytic at \((\rho, \tau)\).

The claim combined with Lemma 3.2 ensures that the white-black height of a labelled tree with black vertices weighted by \( x \in [a, b] \), and a black root vertex, is in \((n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon})\) a.a.s. with exponential rate. In addition, the chain of calculations in [8] to prove Lemma 3.2 is easily seen to be uniform in \( x \in [a, b] \). The same results hold for bicolored labelled trees in which the root-vertex is white, from similar arguments applied to the function \( g(z) \).

Now the proof can be concluded in a similar way as in Proposition 3.3. Define the bicolored distance of a vertex \( v \) from the root as the number of bicolored edges on the path from the root to \( v \), and define the bicolored height as the maximum of the bicolored distance over all vertices in the tree. Note that the bicolored distance \( d(v) \) and the white-black distance \( d'(v) \) of a vertex \( v \) satisfy the inequalities \( 2d'(v) \leq d(v) \leq 2d'(v) + 2 \), so the bicolored height is in \((n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon})\) a.a.s. with exponential rate, uniformly over \( x \in [a, b] \). Similarly as in Proposition 3.3, this ensures that \( \ell_{\text{max}} - \ell_{\text{min}} \) is in \((n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})\) a.a.s. with exponential rate. And the uniformity over \( x \in [a, b] \) follows from the uniformity over \( x \in [a, b] \) for the height.

There is a last subtlety to deal with, namely that in the bijection from labelled trees to rooted pointed quadrangulations, we have no control on the color of the pointed vertex, which means that if this vertex \( v \) is black, in the quadrangulation \( v \) has weight 1 instead of having weight \( x \) as wished. But this biases the weighted (weight \( x \) on *every* black vertex) distribution on rooted quadrangulations only by the finite bounded factor \( x \in [a, b] \), so the large deviation result will also transfer to the (perfectly) weighted distribution (this point would be delicate if we were dealing with explicit limit distributions instead of large deviation results). \( \square \)

### 3.2 Maps

We recall the classical bijection between rooted quadrangulations with \( n \) faces (and thus \( n+2 \) vertices) and rooted maps with \( n \) edges. Starting from \( Q \) endowed with its canonical bicoloration, add in each face a new edge connecting the two (diagonally opposed) black vertices. Return the rooted map \( M \) formed by the newly added edges and the black vertices, rooted at the edge corresponding to the root-face of \( Q \), and with same root-vertex as \( Q \). Conversely, to obtain \( Q \) from \( M \), add a new white vertex \( v \) inside each face \( f \) of \( M \) (even the outer face) and add new edges from \( v \) to every corner around \( f \); then delete all edges from \( M \), and take as root-edge of \( Q \) the one corresponding to the incidence root-vertex/outer-face in \( M \). Clearly, under this bijection, vertices of a map correspond to black vertices of the associated quadrangulation, and faces correspond to white vertices.

Map families are here weighted at their vertices, i.e., for a given parameter \( x > 0 \), a map with \( v \) vertices has weight \( x^v \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( 0 < a < b \). The diameter of a random rooted map with \( n \) edges and weight \( x \) at the vertices is in the interval \((n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})\), uniformly over \( x \in [a, b] \).
Proof. The first important observation is that the bijection transports the weighted (weight $x > 0$ for each black vertex) distribution on rooted quadrangulations with $n + 2$ vertices to the weighted (weight $x$ for each vertex) distribution on rooted maps with $n$ edges. We start with the proof for $x = 1$ (uniform distribution). Let $M$ be a random rooted map with $n$ edges and let $Q$ be the associated rooted quadrangulation (with $n + 2$ vertices). Every path $b_1 b_2 \ldots b_k$ in $M$ yields a path $b_1 w_1 b_2 \ldots w_{k-1} b_k$ in $Q$, calling $w_i$ the white vertex corresponding to the face on the left of $(b_i, b_{i+1})$. Hence $D(Q) \leq 2D(M)$. This shows that the diameter of $M$ is at least $n^{1/4-\epsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. To prove the upper bound, let $x = b_1 w_1 b_2 w_2 \ldots b_k = y$ be a path in $Q$, where the $b_i$ are black vertices and the $w_i$ are white. Let $f_i$ be the size of the face in $M$ corresponding to $b_i$. Then we can find a path in $M$ between $x$ and $y$ of length $k + f_1 + \cdots + f_k$. Therefore, calling $\Delta(M)$ the maximal face-degree in $M$, we have
\[
D(M) \leq D(Q) \cdot \Delta(M). \tag{5}
\]

Let $A(z, u)$ be the series counting rooted maps where $z$ marks the number of edges and $u$ marks the root-face degree. Using the quadratic method, Tutte [18] has found an explicit expression for $A(z, u)$ ensuring that
- $[z^n]A(z, 1) = \Theta(n^{-5/2}12^n)$,
- for $u$ in an open interval around 1, $z \mapsto A(z, u)$ has radius of convergence 1/12 and $A(1/12, u)$ is finite.

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, the root-face degree $\delta(M)$ in a random rooted planar map with $n$ edges satisfies $P(\delta(M) \geq k) = O(e^{-ck})$ for some $c > 0$. The probability distribution is the same if the map is bi-rooted (two root-edges possibly equal, the root-face being the face incident to the primary root). When exchanging the secondary root with the primary root, the root-face can be seen as a face $f$ taken at random under distribution $P(f) = \deg(f)/(2n)$. Thus $\delta(M)$ is distributed as the degree of the (random) face $f$. Hence $P(\Delta(M) \geq k) \leq \frac{k}{2n} P(\delta(M) \geq k)$, so $P(\Delta(M) \geq k) = O(e^{-ck/2})$. Since the diameter of $D(Q)$ is at most $n^{1/4+\epsilon/2}$ and since $\Delta(M)$ is at most $n^{\epsilon/2}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate, we conclude from (5) that the diameter of $M$ is at most $n^{1/4+\epsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate.

The proof for arbitrary $x \in [a, b]$ is similar. Let $A(x, z, u)$ be the series counting rooted maps where $x$ marks non-root vertices, $z$ marks edges, and $u$ marks the root-face degree. Let $\rho_x$ be the radius of convergence of $z \mapsto A(x, z, 1)$. As an easy extension of Tutte's result, see [3], one finds an expression of $A(x, z, u)$ ensuring that
- $[z^n]A(x, z, 1) \sim c_x \rho_x^{-n} n^{-5/2}$ with $c_x$ a positive constant that evolves continuously in $x$,
- there exists $u_0 > 1$ such that for each $x \in [a, b]$, $A(x, \rho(x), u_0)$ is a finite constant that evolves continuously in $x$.

Consequently all arguments used in the case $x = 1$ hold in the same way. \[\square\]

### 3.3 2-connected maps

Here it is convenient to include the empty map in the families $M = \cup_n M_n$ of rooted maps and $C = \cup_n C_n$ of rooted 2-connected maps. As described by Tutte in [18], a rooted map $M$ is obtained by taking a rooted 2-connected map $C$, called the core of $M$, and then inserting in each corner $i$ of $C$ an arbitrary rooted map $M_i$. The maps $M_i$ are called the pieces of $M$. Denoting by $M(x, z)$ ($C(x, z)$, resp.) the series of rooted connected (2-connected, resp.) maps according to non-root vertices and edges, this decomposition yields
\[
M(x, z) = C(x, H(x, z)), \quad \text{where } H(x, z) = z M(x, z)^2, \tag{6}
\]
since a core with $k$ edges has $2k$ corners where to insert rooted maps.

An important property of the composition scheme is to preserve the uniform distribution, as well as the (vertex-weighted) distribution. Precisely, let $M$ be a rooted map with $n$ edges and weight $x$ at the vertices. Let $C$ be the core of $M$, call $k$ its size, and let $M_1, \ldots, M_{2k}$ be the pieces of $M$, call $n_1, \ldots, n_{2k}$ their sizes. Then, conditioned to have size $k$, $C$ is a random rooted 2-connected map with $k$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices, and conditioned to have size $n_i$ the $i$th piece $M_i$ is a random rooted map with $n_i$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices.
Lemma 3.6. Let $0 < a < b$, and let $x \in [a, b]$. Let $\rho^{(x)}$ be the radius of convergence of $z \mapsto M(x, z)$. Following [1], define
\[ \alpha^{(x)} = \frac{H(x, \rho^{(x)})}{\rho^{(x)} H_2(x, \rho^{(x)})}. \]

Let $n \geq 0$, and let $M$ be a random rooted map with $n$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices. Let $X_n = |C|$ be the size of the core of $M$, and let $M_1, \ldots, M_{2|C|}$ be the pieces of $M$. Then
\[ P(X_n = \lfloor \alpha^{(x)} n \rfloor, \max(|M_i|) \leq n^{3/4}) = \Theta(n^{-2/3}) \]
uniformly over $x \in [a, b]$.

The proof is given in the appendix. In [1] the authors derive the limit distribution of $X_n$, and they show that $P(X_n = \lfloor \alpha^{(x)} n \rfloor) = \Theta(n^{-2/3})$. So Lemma 3.6 says that the asymptotic order of $P(X_n = \lfloor \alpha^{(x)} n \rfloor)$ is the same under the additional condition that all pieces are of size at most $n^{3/4}$ (one could actually ask $n^{3/4+\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$). A closely related result proved in [11] is that, for any fixed $\delta > 0$, there is a.a.s. no piece of size larger than $n^{3/4+\delta}$ provided the core has size larger than $n^{2/3+\delta}$.

Theorem 3.7. For $0 < a < b$, the diameter of a random rooted 2-connected map with $n$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval $(n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})$, uniformly over $x \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Again we treat only the case $x = 1$ in details. Let $M$ be a rooted map with $n$ edges taken uniformly at random, $C$ the core of $M$ and $(M_i)_{i \in [1, 2|C|]}$ the pieces of $M$. Note that
\[ D(C) \leq D(M) \leq D(C) + 2\max(D(M_i)), \]
the first inequality is trivial, the second one follows from the property that a diametral path in $M$ either stays in a same piece, or it starts in a certain piece, travels along edges of $C$, and then finishes in another piece.

Since $|C| = n/3$ has polynomially small probability (order $\Theta(n^{-2/3})$ as shown in [1]) and $D(M) > n^{1/4+\epsilon}$ has exponentially small probability (meaning smaller than $\exp(-c n^{\epsilon})$ for some $c > 0$), the event $D(M) > n^{1/4+\epsilon}$ knowing $|C| = n/3$ has exponentially small probability. Since $D(M) \geq D(C)$, this yields the a.a.s. upper bound on $D(C)$.

For the lower bound, Lemma 3.6 ensures that the event $\mathcal{E} := \{|C| = n/3\}, \max(|M_i|) \leq n^{3/4}$ occurs with polynomially small probability (of order $\Theta(n^{-2/3})$). We claim that the event $\max(|M_i|) \leq n^{3/4}$ implies a.a.s. in $n$ that $\max(D(M_i)) \leq n^{1/5}$. (Proof: when $n_i := |M_i| \leq n^{1/5}$, $D(M_i) \leq n^{1/5}$ trivially.

Moreover for $\delta > 0$ small enough, $P(D(M_i) > n_i^{1/4+\delta}) \leq \exp(-n_i^{\delta})$ for some $c > 0$, so when $n^{1/5} \leq n_i \leq n^{3/4}$, $P(D(M_i) > n_i^{3/4+\delta}) \leq \exp(-n_i^{\delta})$, and we can take $\delta$ small enough so that $3/4(1/4 + \delta) \leq 1/5$. So $D(M_i) > n^{1/5}$ has exponentially small probability in $n$, and the same holds for $\max(D(M_i))$.) Hence the event $\mathcal{E}' := \{|C| = n/3\}, \max(D(M_i)) \leq n^{1/5}$ occurs with polynomially small probability. In that case, since $D(C) \geq D(M) - 2\max(D(M_i))$ and since the event $D(M) < n^{1/4 - \epsilon}$ occurs with exponentially small probability, we conclude that the event $D(C) < n^{1/4 - \epsilon} - 2n^{1/5}$ occurs with exponentially small probability. As $n^{1/5} < n^{1/4 - \epsilon}$ for $\epsilon$ small enough, this finally gives the a.a.s. lower bound on $D(C)$.

The case of arbitrary $x > 0$ is done by the same arguments, the uniformity in $x \in [a, b]$ of the bounds following from the uniformity in $x$ in Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.6. \hfill $\Box$

3.4 3-connected maps

In a similar way as in Section 3.3 (where one goes from connected to 2-connected maps) there is a decomposition of 2-connected maps in terms of 3-connected components that allows to transfer the diameter concentration property from 2-connected to 3-connected maps. In this section it is convenient to exclude the loop-map from the family of 2-connected maps, so all 2-connected maps are loopless.

As shown by Tutte [18], a rooted 2-connected map $C$ is either a series or parallel composition of 2-connected maps, or it is obtained from a rooted 3-connected map $T$ where each non-root edge is possibly substituted by a rooted 2-connected map $C_e$ (identifying the extremities of $e$ with the extremities of the root of $C_e$). In that case $T$ is called the 3-connected core of $C$ and the components $C_e$ are called the pieces of $C$. Call $C(x, z)$ ($\tilde{C}(x, z)$) the series counting rooted 2-connected maps (rooted 2-connected maps with a 3-connected core, resp.) according to vertices not incident to the root (variable $x$) and
edges (variable $z$). Call $T(x, z)$ the series counting rooted 3-connected maps according to vertices not incident to the root (variable $x$) and edges (variable $z$). Then

$$\tilde{C}(x, z) = T(x, C(x, z)).$$  

(7)

Accordingly (similarly as in Section 3.3), for a random rooted 2-connected map with $n$ edges, weight $x$ at vertices, and conditioned to have a 3-connected core $T$ of size $k$, $T$ is a random rooted 3-connected map with $k$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices; and each piece $C_v$ conditioned to have a given size $n_v$ is a random rooted 2-connected map with $n_v$ edges and weight $x$ at vertices.

Calling $f_e$ the degree of the root face of $C_v$, we have

$$D(T) \leq D(C) \leq D(T) \cdot \max_e(f_e) + 2\max_e(D(C_e)).$$  

(8)

The first inequality is trivial. The second one follows from the fact that a diametral path $P$ in $C$ starts in a piece, ends in a piece, and in between it passes by adjacent vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ of $H$ such that for $1 \leq i < k$, $v_i$ and $v_{i+1}$ are connected in $H$ by an edge $e$ and $P$ travels in the piece $C_v$ to reach $v_{i+1}$ from $v_i$ (since $P$ is geodesic, its length in $C_v$ is bounded by the distance from $v_i$ to $v_{i+1}$, which is clearly bounded by $f_e$).

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $0 < a < b$. The diameter of a random 3-connected map with $n$ edges with weight $x$ at the vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval $(n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})$, uniformly over $x \in [a, b]$.

**Proof.** Let us give the sketch of proof when $x = 1$. The upper bound again follows from $D(T) \leq D(C)$ and the fact [1] that the probability of having a 3-connected core of size $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ is polynomially small whereas the probability that $D(C) > n^{1/4+\epsilon}$ is exponentially small. For the lower bound we look at the second inequality in (8). Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 one can prove (using Lemma 2.1) that $P(f_e \geq k) \leq \exp(-ck)$ for some $c > 0$, so $\max_e(f_e) \leq n^c$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. Moreover, similarly as in Lemma 3.6, one can show that the probability of the event $\mathcal{E} := \{|T| = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor, \max(|C_v|) \leq n^{2/3}\}$ is $\Theta(n^{-2/3})$. Since the $f_e$ are small and $D(C) \geq n^{1/4-\epsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate, Equation (8) easily implies that, conditioned to have $\mathcal{E}$, $D(T) \geq n^{1/4-\epsilon}$ a.a.s. with exponential rate. The same arguments hold in the weighted case. \hfill $\square$

## 4 Planar graphs

### 4.1 3-connected planar graphs

For the moment we need 3-connected graphs labelled at the edges (this is enough to avoid symmetries). The number of edges is now $m$, and $n$ is reserved for the number of vertices. By Whitney’s theorem 3-connected graphs have a unique embedding on the sphere (up to reflexion). Hence from the last theorem on 3-connected maps we obtain directly the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $0 < a < b$. The diameter of a random 3-connected planar graph with $m$ edges with weight $x$ at the vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval $(m^{1/4-\epsilon}, m^{1/4+\epsilon})$.

### 4.2 Networks

Before handling 2-connected planar graphs we treat the closely related family of (planar) networks. A network is a connected simple planar graph with two marked vertices called the poles, such that adding an edge between the poles, called the root-edge, makes the graph 2-connected. At first it is convenient to consider the networks as labelled at the edges.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $0 < a < b$. The diameter of a random network with $m$ edges with weight $x$ at the vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval

$$(m^{1/4-\epsilon}, m^{1/4+\epsilon}),$$

uniformly over $x \in [a, b]$. 
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Proof. We provide only a sketch of proof in the case \( x = 1 \), and will provide a more detailed proof for the transition from 2-connected to connected planar graphs, which uses similar arguments but allows for a simpler presentation. The arguments are the following. First there is a classical decomposition of a network \( N \) into (edge-rooted) 3-connected planar components that are assembled together using series-parallel operations. Each 3-connected component is uniformly distributed when conditioned on a fixed number of edges. Using the bound (2) (see Lemma 4.5 later) one shows that there is a 3-connected component \( T \) of size \( k \geq n^{1+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. This provides the lower bound since \( D(T) \leq D(N) \) and since \( D(T) \geq k^{1/4-\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

For the upper bound one considers first the tree \( \tau \) whose nodes are the 3-connected components (there are also nodes for the series and the parallel compositions), and shows using Lemma 2.1 (and using the fact that the composition scheme from 3-connected planar graphs to networks is critical) that the diameter of \( \tau \) is at most \( n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Then one has to show that two vertices (actually it is more convenient to work with edges) on a same component \( H \) of \( \tau \) are at distance at most \( n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Say that \( H \) is 3-connected (the case of a series or parallel composition node of \( \tau \) is of smaller contribution to the diameter). One knows from Tutte’s monograph [19] that the edges of \( N \) (including the additional root-edge) can be partitioned into networks \( N_e \) attached by their poles at each edge \( e \in H \). Call \( d_e \) the geodesic distance in \( N_e \) between the poles (i.e., the extremities of \( e \)). Using again Lemma 2.1, one shows that under the uniform distribution on networks with a marked 3-connected component, the maximum of \( d_e \) over \( e \in H \) is at most \( n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Moreover by Theorem 4.1 \( D(H) \leq n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate; since the \( d_e \) are small, this remains true even when each edge \( e \in H \) has contribution \( d_e \) (instead of 1) to the distance between two vertices on \( H \), which corresponds to the geodesic distance in \( N \).

Lemma 4.3. Let \( 1 < a < b < 3 \). For \( N_{n,m} \), a network with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) labelled edges taken uniformly at random, \( D(N_{n,m}) \in (n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon}) \) a.a.s. with exponential rate, uniformly over \( m/n \in [a,b] \).

Proof. Let \( \mu \in [a,b] \), let \( m \geq 0 \), and define \( n := \lfloor \mu m \rfloor \). For \( x > 0 \), let \( X_x \) be the number of vertices of a random network \( N_m^{(x)} \) with \( m \) edges and vertices weighted by \( x \). As shown in [2] there exists \( x_\mu > 0 \) such that, for \( x = x_\mu \), \( P(X_x = n) = \Theta(m^{-1/2}) \), uniformly over \( \mu \in [a,b] \). In addition \( x_\mu \) evolves continuously increasingly with \( \mu \) so it maps \([a,b]\) to a compact interval. Therefore, Theorem 4.2 implies that \( D(N_m^{(x)}) \in [m^{1/4-\epsilon}, m^{1/4+\epsilon}] \) a.a.s. with exponential rate uniformly over \( n = \lfloor \mu m \rfloor \). Since \( P(X_m = n) = \Theta(m^{-1/2}) \), uniformly over \( \mu \in [a,b] \), we conclude that the event \( D(N_m^{(x)}) \in [m^{1/4-\epsilon}, m^{1/4+\epsilon}] \) knowing that \( X_m = n \) holds a.a.s. with exponential rate uniformly over \( n = \lfloor \mu m \rfloor \), which concludes the proof (note that the distribution of \( N_m^{(x)} \) knowing that \( X_m = n \) is the uniform distribution on networks with \( m \) edges and \( n \) vertices).

An important remark is that networks with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges can be labelled either at vertices or at edges, and the uniform distribution in one case corresponds to the uniform distribution in the second case. Hence the result of Lemma 4.3 holds for random networks with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges and labelled at vertices.

4.3 2-connected planar graphs

It is proved in [2] that for a random network \( N_n \) with \( n \) vertices the ratio \( r = \#\text{edges}/\#\text{vertices} \) is concentrated around a certain \( \mu \approx 2.2 \), implying that for \( \delta > 0 \) \( P(r \not\in [\mu - \delta, \mu + \delta]) \) is exponentially small. Hence \( D(N_n) \in (n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon}) \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. The same holds for the diameter of a random 2-connected planar graph \( B_n \) with \( n \) vertices (indeed 2-connected planar graphs are a subset of networks, the ratios of the cardinalities being of order \( n \)). We obtain:

Theorem 4.4. The diameter of a random 2-connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices is, a.a.s. with exponential rate, in the interval \((n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})\).

4.4 Connected planar graphs

We prove here from Theorem 4.4 that a random connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices has diameter in \((n^{1/4-\epsilon}, n^{1/4+\epsilon})\) a.a.s. with exponential rate. We use the well known decomposition of a connected planar graph \( C \) into 2-connected blocks such that the incidences of the blocks with the vertices form
Figure 1: The block-decomposition of a connected planar graph. In the associated tree (incidences between 2-connected blocks and vertices) there is a white vertex for each block.

a tree, see Figure 1. An important point is that if \( C \) is chosen uniformly at random among connected planar graphs with \( n \) vertices, then each block \( B \) of \( C \) is uniformly distributed when conditioned to have a given size. Formulated on pointed graphs, the block-decomposition ensures that a pointed planar graph is obtained as follows: take a collection of 2-connected pointed planar graphs, and merge their pointed vertices into a single vertex; then attach at each non-marked vertex \( v \) in these blocks a pointed connected planar graph \( C_v \). Calling \( C(z) (B(z)) \) the series counting pointed connected (2-connected, resp.) planar graphs, this yields the equation

\[
F(z) = z \exp(B'(F(z))), \quad \text{where } F(z) = zC'(z).
\]

Note that the inverse of \( F(z) \) is the function \( \phi(u) = u \exp(-g(u)) \), where \( g(u) := B'(u) \). Call \( \rho \) the radius of convergence of \( C(z) \) and \( R \) the radius of convergence of \( B(u) \).

**Lemma 4.5.** A random connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices has a block of size at least \( n^{1-\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

**Proof.** Call \( b_i := [u^i]g(u), g_k(u) := \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_i u^i \), and call \( F_k(z) \) the series of pointed connected planar graphs where all blocks have size at most \( k \). Note that the probability of a random connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices to have all its blocks of size at most \( k \) is \( [z^n]F_k(z)/[z^n]F(z) \). Clearly

\[
F_k(z) = z \exp(g_k(F_k(z))),
\]

hence the inverse of \( F_k(z) \) is \( \phi_k(u) := u \exp(-g_k(u)) \). Call \( \rho_k \) the singularity of \( F_k(z) \). Since \( \phi_k(u) \) is analytic everywhere, the singularity at \( \rho_k \) is caused by a branch point, i.e., \( \rho_k = \phi_k(R_k) \), where \( R_k \) is the unique \( u > 0 \) such that \( \phi_k'(u) = 0 \): \( \phi_k'(u) > 0 \) for \( 0 < u < R_k \) and \( \phi_k'(u) < 0 \) for \( u > R_k \). According to (2), \( [z^n]F_k(z) \leq F_k(x)x^{-n} \) for \( x < \rho_k \), or equivalently, writing \( u = F_k(x) \),

\[
[z^n]F_k(z) \leq u \phi_k(u)^{-n} \quad \text{for all } u \text{ s.t. } \phi_k'(u) > 0.
\]

Define \( u_k := R \cdot (1 + 1/(k \log k)) \). Observing that \( (u_k/R)^k \sim 1 \) one easily shows that \( g_k(u_k) \rightarrow g(R), \ g'_k(u_k) \rightarrow g'(R), \text{ hence } \phi'_k(u_k) \rightarrow \phi'(R) \). It is shown in [12] that \( a := \phi'(R) \) is strictly positive (i.e., the singularity of \( F(z) \) is not due to a branch point), so for \( k \) large enough, \( \phi'_k(u_k) \geq a/2 > 0 \), i.e., the bound (10) can be used, giving

\[
[z^n]F_k(z) \leq 2\phi_k(u_k)^{-n} \quad \text{for large enough and any } n \geq 0.
\]

Moreover

\[
\phi_k(u_k) - \rho = (\phi_k(u_k) - \phi_k(R)) + (\phi_k(R) - \phi(R)) = a \cdot (u_k - R) + O(k^{-3/2}) \sim \frac{a}{k \log k}.
\]

where \( \phi_k(R) - \phi(R) = O(k^{-3/2}) \) is due to \( b_i = \Theta(R^{-i}i^{-5/2}) \) which is shown in [12] (so \( g(R) - g_k(R) = O(k^{-3/2}) \)). Hence for \( k \) large enough and any \( n \geq 0 \):

\[
[z^n]F_k(z) \leq 2 \left( \rho + \frac{a}{2k \log k} \right)^{-n}.
\]

Hence, for \( k = n^{1-\epsilon}, [z^n]F_k(z) = \Theta(\rho^{-n} \exp(-n^{\epsilon/2})) \). Finally, according to [12], \( [z^n]F(z) = \Theta(\rho^{-n}n^{-5/2}) \), so \( [z^n]F_k(z)/[z^n]F(z) = O(\exp(-n^{\epsilon/3})) \).
Lemma 4.6 directly implies that a random connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices has diameter at least \( n^{1/4+\epsilon} \). Indeed it has a block of size \( k \geq n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate and since the block is uniformly distributed in size \( k \), it has diameter at least \( k^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

Let us now prove the upper bound, which relies on the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.6.** The block-decomposition tree \( \tau \) of a random connected planar graph with \( n \) vertices has diameter at most \( n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

**Proof.** Define a bi-pointed graph as a graph with two marked vertices (a primary and a secondary) that are distinct and unlabelled (and do not contribute to the size). The series counting bi-pointed connected (2-connected, resp.) planar graphs is \( z \mapsto C''(z) \) (\( w \mapsto B''(w) \), resp.). Deriving (9) w.r.t. \( z \) one obtains:

\[
z^2C''(z) = \frac{w^2 B''(w)}{1 - w B''(w)}, \text{ where } w = zC'(z).
\]

This equation has an easy combinatorial interpretation: there is a chain of (bi-pointed) blocks to go from the first pointed to the second pointed vertex, and at each vertex of the chain of blocks one might attach a pointed connected planar graph. Call \( C''(z, u) \) the bivariate refinement of \( C''(z) \) where \( u \) marks the number \( \chi \) of blocks in the chain of blocks. Then

\[
z^2 C''(z, u) = \frac{u w^2 B''(w)}{1 - uw B''(w)}, \text{ where } w = zC'(z).
\]

It is shown in [12] that \( w = R \) when \( z = \rho \) and that \( C''(\rho) \) is finite. Hence \( B''(R) \) has to be strictly smaller than 1, which also implies that \( C''(\rho, u) \) remains finite for \( u \) slightly larger than 1. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, \( P(\chi \geq k) \leq \exp(-ck) \) for some \( c > 0 \), hence \( \chi \leq n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Since a connected planar graph \( C \) with \( n \) vertices has \( (n - 1)/2 \) pairs of distinct vertices, \( \max(\chi) \) over all pairs of distinct vertices of \( C \) is also smaller than \( n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. This concludes the proof, since one easily shows that \( \max(\chi) \) is equal to one plus the diameter of \( \tau \). \( \square \)

Lemma 4.6 easily implies that the diameter of a random connected planar graph \( C \) with \( n \) vertices is at most \( n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Indeed, calling \( \tau \) the block-decomposition tree of \( C \) and \( B_i \) the blocks of \( C \), one has

\[
D(C) \leq D(\tau) \cdot \max_i D(B_i).
\]

Lemma 4.6 ensures that \( D(\tau) \leq n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Moreover Theorem 4.4 easily implies that a random 2-connected planar graph of size \( k \leq n \) has diameter at most \( n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate, whatever \( k \leq n \) is (proof by splitting in two cases: \( k \leq n^{1/4} \) and \( n^{1/4} \leq k \leq n \)). Hence, since each of the blocks has size at most \( n \), \( \max_i D(B_i) \leq n^{1/4+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Therefore we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To show Theorem 1.2, one needs to extend the statements of Theorem 4.4 and Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 to the case of a random graph of size \( n \) with weight \( y > 0 \) on each edge. Then, one uses the fact (proved in [12]) that for each \( \mu \in (1, 3) \) there exists \( y > 0 \) such that a random planar graph with \( n \) edges and weight \( y \) on edges has probability \( \Theta(n^{-1/2}) \) to have \( \lceil \mu n \rceil \) edges.

We conclude with a remark on so-called “subcritical” graph families, these are the families where the system

\[
y = z \exp(B'(y)) =: F(z, y)
\]

(11)

to specify pointed connected from pointed 2-connected graphs in the family is admissible, i.e., \( F(z, y) \) is analytic at \((\rho, \tau)\) where \( \rho \) is the radius of convergence of \( y = y(z) \) and \( \tau = y(\rho) \).

Define the block-distance of a vertex \( v \) in a vertex-pointed connected graph \( G \) as the minimal number of blocks one can use to travel from the pointed vertex to \( v \); and define the block-height of \( G \) as the maximum of the block-distance over all vertices of \( G \). With the terminology of Lemma 3.2, one easily checks that the block-height is a height-parameter for the system (11). Hence by Lemma 3.2, the block-height \( h \) of a random pointed connected graph \( G \) with \( n \) vertices from a subcritical family is in \([n^{1/2-\epsilon}, n^{1/2+\epsilon}] \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. Clearly \( D(G) \geq h - 1 \) since the distance between two vertices is at least the block-distance minus 1. Hence \( D(G) \geq n^{1/2-\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. For the upper bound, note that \( D(G) \leq h \cdot \max_i (|B_i|) \), where the \( B_i \)'s are the blocks of \( G \). Using Lemma 2.1 and subcriticality one easily shows that \( \max_i (|B_i|) \leq n^\epsilon \) a.a.s. with exponential rate. This implies that \( D(G) \leq n^{1/2+\epsilon} \) a.a.s. with exponential rate.

11
References


A Appendix: Omitted proofs

A.1 Proof of Lemma 3.6

Let us treat the case $x = 1$, where $\alpha(x) = 1/3$. It is proved in [1] that for $x = 1$, there exists $c > 0$ such that $P(X_n = [n/3]) \sim cn^{-2/3}$. So it is sufficient for us to prove that $P(X_n = [n/3], \max(|M_i|) > n^{3/4}) = o(n^{-2/3})$.

Claim. Given a fixed $\delta > 0$, we have for $i > n^{2/3+\delta}$

$$P(X_n = [n/3], |M_i| = i) = O(\exp(-n^{\delta/2})).$$

Proof of the claim. Let $a_m$ be the number of rooted maps and $c_m$ the number of rooted 2-connected maps with $m$ edges. As proved in [18], these have the well known asymptotic estimates $a_m \sim c\rho^{-m}m^{-5/2}$, $c_m \sim c^2\sigma^{-m}m^{-5/2}$ (with $\rho = 1/12$ and $\sigma = 4/27$). Equation (6) implies that (omitting to write $x = 1$ as parameter of $H$)

$$P(X_n = k) = c_k \frac{[z^n]H(z)^k}{a_n}.$$  

It is proved in [11] (Theo.1 (iii)-(b)) that for $k \geq n/3 + n^{2/3+\delta}$,

$$[z^n]H(z)^k = O(\sigma^k \rho^{-n} \exp(-n^\delta)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Let $k_0 := [n/3]$ and $i > n^{2/3+\delta}$. We have

$$P(X_n = k_0, |M_1| = i) = c_{k_0} \frac{a_i[z^{n-i}]H(z)^{k_0}/M(z)}{a_n} \leq c_{k_0} \frac{a_i[z^{n-i}]H(z)^{k_0}}{a_n} = O(\sigma^{-k_0} \rho^{n-i} [z^{n-i}]H(z)^{k_0}).$$

Since $k_0/(n-i) \geq \frac{1}{3}(1+i/n)$ we have $k_0 \geq (n-i)/3 + (n-i)^{2/3+\delta}$, so (12) ensures that

$$[z^{n-i}]H(z)^{k_0} = O(\sigma^{k_0} \rho^{-n+i} \exp(-(n-i)^\delta)).$$

Hence, for $i > n^{2/3+\delta}$,

$$P(X_n = k_0, |M_1| = i) = O(\exp(-(n-i)^\delta)),$$

which implies that $P(X_n = k_0, |M_1| = i) = O(\exp(-n^\delta/2))$ (indeed $P(X_n = k_0, |M_1| = i) = 0$ if $i > n - k_0$, and $\exp(-(n-i)^\delta) = O(\exp(-n^\delta/2))$ for $i \leq n - k_0$). \hspace{1cm} $\triangle$

The claim implies that $P(X_n = [n/3], |M_1| > n^{2/3+\delta}) = O(n \exp(-n^\delta/2))$, and by symmetry the same estimate holds for each peace $M_i$. As a consequence $P(X_n = [n/3], \max(|M_i|) > n^{2/3+\delta}) = O(n^\delta \exp(-n^\delta/2))$. Hence

$$P(X_n = [n/3], \max(|M_i|) \leq n^{2/3+\delta}) \sim P(X_n = [n/3]) = \Theta(n^{-2/3}).$$

This concludes the proof for $x = 1$ (taking $\delta = 3/4 - 2/3 = 1/12$). For arbitrary $x > 0$, the arguments are the same, essentially because the equation relating $M(x,z)$ and $C(x,z)$ has the same composition form for any fixed $x > 0$. 
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