Fundamentals of Theory and Practice of Mixed Integer Non Linear Programming

Claudia D'Ambrosio

LIX, CNRS & École Polytechnique

STOR-i masterclass - 21 February 2019

http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~dambrosio/teaching/STOR-i/stor-i_2019.php

Outline

Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs
- Global Optimization methods
 - Multistart
 - Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 - Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

Outline



Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- 3 Methods for convex MINLPs
- 4 Global Optimization methods
 - Multistart
 - Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 - Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

(MINLP)

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min f(x,y) & g_i(x,y) & \leq & 0 \quad \forall i=1,\ldots,m \\ & x & \in & X \\ & y & \in & Y \end{array}$$

where $f(x,y) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \, g_i(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \; \forall i,\ldots,m, \, X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n_2},$
and $n = n_1 + n_2.$

Hp. f and g are twice continuously differentiable functions.

(MINLP')

$$\min h(w,z) \tag{1}$$

$$p_i(w,z) \leq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r \tag{2}$$
$$w \in W \tag{3}$$

$$z \in Z$$
 (4)

where $h(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}$, $p_i(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R} \ \forall i = 1, ..., r$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{q_1}$, $Z \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{q_2}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2$.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Recap: Exact reformulations

(MINLP')

$$\min h(w, z) \tag{1}$$

$$p_i(w,z) \leq 0 \quad \forall i=1,\ldots,r$$
 (2)

$$w \in W$$
 (3)

$$z \in Z$$
 (4)

where $h(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}$, $p_i(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R} \ \forall i = 1, ..., r$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{q_1}$, $Z \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{q_2}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2$.

The formulation (MINLP') is an $\boldsymbol{exact\ reformulation}$ of (MINLP) if

- \forall (*w*', *z*') satisfying (2)-(4), \exists (*x*', *y*') feasible solution of (MINLP) s.t. ϕ (*w*', *z*') = (*x*', *y*')
- ϕ is efficiently computable
- ∀(w', z') global solution of (MINLP'), then φ(w', z') is a global solution of (MINLP)
- ∀(x', y') global solution of (MINLP), there is a (w', z') global solution of (MINLP')

Recap: Exact reformulations

(MINLP')

$$\min h(w, z) \tag{1}$$

$$p_i(w,z) \leq 0 \quad \forall i=1,\ldots,r$$
 (2)

$$w \in W$$
 (3)
 $z \in Z$ (4)

where $h(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}$, $p_i(w, z) : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R} \ \forall i = 1, ..., r$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{q_1}$, $Z \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{q_2}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2$.



Recap: Relaxations

r

(rMINLP)

$$\frac{\min f(w, z)}{g_i(w, z)} \leq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r$$

$$\frac{g_i(w, z)}{w \in W}$$

$$z \in Z$$

where $X \subseteq W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{q_1}$, $Y \subseteq Z \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{q_2}$, $q_1 \ge n_1$, $q_2 \ge n_2$, $\underline{f(w, z)} \le f(x, y)$ $\forall (x, y) \subseteq (w, z)$, and $\{(x, y)|g(x, y) \le 0\} \subseteq \operatorname{Proj}_{(x, y)}\{(w, z)|\underline{g(w, z)} \le 0\}$. Examples:

- continuous relaxation: when $(w, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, W = X, f(x, y) = f(x, y), g(x, y) = g(x, y)
- linear relaxation: when q = n, W = X, Z = Y, f(w, z) and g(w, z) are linear
- convex relaxation: when q = n, W = X, Z = Y, f(w, z) and g(w, z) are convex



- Nodes $N = I \cup L \cup J$
- Arcs A $(i, j) \in (I \times L) \cup (L \times J) \cup (I \times J)$ on which materials flow
- Material attributes: K

- Arc capacities: u_{ij} , $(i, j) \in A$
- Node capacities: C_i , $i \in N$
- Attribute requirements $\alpha_{kj}, k \in K, j \in J$

3 → 4 3

• refinery processes in the petroleum industry

∃ > < ∃</p>

Image: A matrix and a matrix

- refinery processes in the petroleum industry
- different specifications: e.g., sulphur/carbon concentrations or physical properties such as density, octane number, ...

- refinery processes in the petroleum industry
- different specifications: e.g., sulphur/carbon concentrations or physical properties such as density, octane number, ...
- wastewater treatment, e.g., Karuppiah and Grossmann (2006)

- refinery processes in the petroleum industry
- different specifications: e.g., sulphur/carbon concentrations or physical properties such as density, octane number, ...
- wastewater treatment, e.g., Karuppiah and Grossmann (2006)
- Formally introduced by Haverly (1978)

- refinery processes in the petroleum industry
- different specifications: e.g., sulphur/carbon concentrations or physical properties such as density, octane number, ...
- wastewater treatment, e.g., Karuppiah and Grossmann (2006)
- Formally introduced by Haverly (1978)
- Alfaki and Haugland (2012) formally proved it is strongly NP-hard

"Simple" constraints

- Variables x_{ij} for flow on arcs
- Flow balance constraints at pools:

$$\sum_{i\in I_l} x_{il} - \sum_{j\in J_l} x_{lj} = 0, \quad \forall l \in L$$



< 47 ▶

-

"Simple" constraints

Inputs / Variables x_{ii} for flow on arcs Pools L Outputs J Flow balance constraints at pools: $\sum_{i\in I_l} x_{il} - \sum_{i\in J_l} x_{lj} = 0, \quad \forall l \in L$ Capacity constraints: $\sum x_{ij} + \sum x_{il} \leq C_i, \quad \forall i \in I$ $i \in J_i$ $l \in L_i$ $\sum x_{lj} \leq C_l, \quad \forall l \in L$ i∈Jı $\sum x_{ij} + \sum x_{lj} \leq C_j, \quad \forall j \in J$

"Complicating" constraints

- Inputs have associated attribute concentrations $\lambda_{ki}, k \in K, i \in I$
- Concentration of attribute in pool is the weighted average of the concentrations of its inputs.
- This results in bilinear constraints.

"Complicating" constraints

- Inputs have associated attribute concentrations $\lambda_{ki}, k \in K, i \in I$
- Concentration of attribute in pool is the weighted average of the concentrations of its inputs.
- This results in bilinear constraints.
- P-formulation (Haverly 78):
 Keep track of concentration p_{kl} of attribute k in pool l

"Complicating" constraints

- Inputs have associated attribute concentrations $\lambda_{ki}, k \in K, i \in I$
- Concentration of attribute in pool is the weighted average of the concentrations of its inputs.
- This results in bilinear constraints.
- P-formulation (Haverly 78):
 Keep track of concentration p_{kl} of attribute k in pool l
- **Q-formulation** (Ben-Tal et al. 94): Variables *q_{il}* for proportion of flow into pool / coming from input *i*

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

P-formulation

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_i} x_{ll} &\leq C_i, \qquad \forall i \in I \\ \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} &\leq C_l, \qquad \forall l \in L \\ \sum_{i \in I_j} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_i} x_{lj} &\leq C_j, \qquad \forall l \in L \\ \sum_{i \in I_i} x_{il} - \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} &= 0, \qquad \forall l \in L \\ p_{kl} &= \frac{\sum_{i \in I_i} \lambda_{ki} x_{il}}{\sum_{i \in J_i} x_{lj}} \quad \forall k \in K, l \in L \\ \frac{\sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} p_{kl} x_{lj}}{\sum_{i \in I_j \cup L_j} x_{ij}} &\leq \alpha_{kj}, \qquad \forall k \in K, j \in J \end{split}$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

P-formulation

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_i} x_{il} \leq C_i, \qquad \forall i \in I$$

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} \leq C_l, \qquad \forall l \in L$$

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} \leq C_j, \qquad \forall j \in J$$

$$\sum_{i \in I_i} x_{il} - \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} = 0, \qquad \forall l \in L$$

$$p_{kl} \sum_{i \in J_i} x_{ij} = \sum_{i \in I_i} \lambda_{ki} x_{il} \qquad \forall k \in K, l \in L$$

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} p_{kl} x_{lj} \leq \alpha_{kj} \sum_{i \in I_j \cup L_j} x_{ij}, \quad \forall k \in K, j \in J$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Q-formulation

$$egin{aligned} x_{il} &= q_{il} \sum_{j \in J_l} x_{lj}, & orall i \in I, l \in L_i \ & \sum_{i \in I_l} q_{il} = 1, & orall l \in L \end{aligned}$$

2

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Q-formulation

$$egin{aligned} x_{il} &= q_{il} \sum_{j \in J_l} x_{lj}, & orall i \in I, l \in L_i \ & \sum_{i \in I_l} q_{il} = 1, & orall l \in L \end{aligned}$$

• Attribute constraints

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} \left(\sum_{i \in I_l} \lambda_{ki} q_{il} \right) \le \alpha_{kj} \sum_{i \in I_j \cup L_j} x_{ij}, \quad \forall k \in K, j \in J$$

э

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Q-formulation

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_i} x_{il} \leq C_i, \qquad \forall i \in I$$

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} \leq C_l, \qquad \forall l \in L$$

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} \leq C_j, \qquad \forall j \in J$$

$$x_{il} - \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_l} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \forall i \in I, l \in L_i$$

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{j}} \left(\sum_{i \in I_l} \lambda_{ki} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{l}}\right) \leq \alpha_{kj} \sum_{i \in I_j \cup L_j} x_{ij}, \quad \forall k \in K, j \in J$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

From NLP to MINLP

- Decide whether to install pipes or not (0/1 decision)
- Associate a binary variable z_{ij} with each pipe (suppose for now on arcs from input to output)

Extra constraints:

$$egin{aligned} x_{ij} &\leq \min(\mathcal{C}_i,\mathcal{C}_j) z_{ij} & \forall i \in I, j \in J_i \ z_{ij} \in \{0,1\} & \forall i \in I, j \in J_i \end{aligned}$$

Objective Function

Fixed cost for installing pipe

$$\min \sum_{i \in I} c_i \left(\sum_{l \in L_i} x_{il} + \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{ij} \right) - \sum_{j \in J} p_j \left(\sum_{i \in I_j} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} \right) + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} f_{ij} z_{ij}$$

Outline

Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- Example: Pooling Problem

Methods for convex MINLPs

- Global Optimization methods
 - Multistart
 - Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 - Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

<u>Branch-and-bound</u> algorithm: solve continuous (NLP) relaxation at each node of the search tree and branch on variables. NLP solver used: Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs

- NLP solver used:
- Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum
- No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs.

LB = 30

NLP solver used:



NLP solver used:



NLP solver used:



NLP solver used:



NLP solver used:



NLP solver used:



- NLP solver used:
- Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs.





NLP solver used:




<u>Branch-and-bound</u> algorithm: solve continuous (NLP) relaxation at each node of the search tree and branch on variables.

NLP solver used:

Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs.



<u>Branch-and-bound</u> algorithm: solve continuous (NLP) relaxation at each node of the search tree and branch on variables.

NLP solver used:

Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs.



<u>Branch-and-bound</u> algorithm: solve continuous (NLP) relaxation at each node of the search tree and branch on variables.

NLP solver used:

Local NLP solvers \rightarrow local optimum No valid bound for nonconvex MINLPs.



Outer Approximation and nonconvex MINLPs

Several methods for convex MINLPs use **Outer Approximation** cuts (Duran and Grossman, 1986) which are not exact for nonconvex MINLPs.

$$g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad o \quad g_i(x^k) +
abla g_i(x^k)^T \left(\begin{array}{c} x - x^k \end{array}
ight) \leq 0$$

where $\nabla g(x^k)$ is the Jacobian of g(x) evaluated at point (x^k) .



Outline

Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- 3 Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

- Multistart
- Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 - Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Global Optimization methods



objective function
 convex relaxation in whole space
 a: solution of convex relaxation in whole space
 b: local solution of objective function in whole space

Exact

- "Exact" in continuous space:
 ε-approximate (find solution within pre-determined ε distance from optimum in obj. fun. value)
- For some problems, finite convergence to optimum (ε = 0)



Heuristic

 Find solution with probability 1 in infinite time

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline

Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

- Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 - Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• The easiest GO method

1:
$$f^* = \infty$$

2: $x^* = (\infty, ..., \infty)$
3: while \neg termination do
4: $x' = (random(), ..., random())$
5: $x = localSolve(P, x')$
6: if $f_P(x) < f^*$ then
7: $f^* \leftarrow f_P(x)$
8: $x^* \leftarrow x$

- 9: **end if**
- 10: end while
- Termination condition: e.g. repeat k times

)

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Global optimum (COUENNE)

< A

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Multistart with IPOPT, k = 5

글 🕨 🖌 글

< • > < • > >

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Multistart with IPOPT, k = 10

Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)

21 February 2019 24 / 54

- E

< • > < • > >

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Multistart with IPOPT, k = 20

- E

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Multistart with IPOPT, k = 50

문▶ ▲ 문

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4$$



Multistart with SNOPT, k = 20

Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)

21 February 2019 27 / 54

- E

Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification
- Expression trees
- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

Falk and Soland (1969) "An algorithm for separable nonconvex programming problems".

20 years ago: first general-purpose "exact" algorithms for nonconvex MINLP.

- Tree-like search
- Explores search space exhaustively but implicitly
- Builds a sequence of decreasing upper bounds and increasing lower bounds to the global optimum
- Exponential worst-case
- Only general-purpose "exact" algorithm for MINLP Since continuous vars are involved, should say "ε-approximate"
- Like BB for MILP, but may branch on continuous vars Done whenever one is involved in a nonconvex term

3





Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)



크



Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)

21 February 2019 30 / 54

크



크



Convex relaxation on C_1 : lower bounding solution \bar{x}

크



localSolve. from \bar{x} : new upper bounding solution x^*

크

3 → 4 3



æ



э



Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)

æ



æ



No more subproblems left, return x* and terminate

Claudia D'Ambrosio (CNRS & X)

3 → 4 3

Spatial B&B: Pruning

- *P* was branched into C_1, C_2
- 2 C_1 was branched into C_3, C_4
- Solution C_3 was pruned by optimality $(x^* \in \mathcal{G}(C_3) \text{ was found})$
- C₂, C₄ were pruned by bound (lower bound for C₂ worse than f*)
- So No more nodes: whole space explored, $x^* \in \mathcal{G}(P)$
 - Search generates a tree
 - Suproblems are nodes
 - Nodes can be pruned by optimality, bound or infeasibility (when subproblem is infeasible)
 - Otherwise, they are branched

3 N K 3 N

$$\sum_{h}\prod_{k}f_{hk}(x,y)$$

where $f_{hk}(x, y)$ are univariate functions $\forall h, k$.

$$\sum_{h}\prod_{k}f_{hk}(x,y)$$

where $f_{hk}(x, y)$ are univariate functions $\forall h, k$.

• Exact reformulation of MINLP so as to have "isolated basic nonlinear functions" (additional variables and constraints).

$$\sum_{h}\prod_{k}f_{hk}(x,y)$$

where $f_{hk}(x, y)$ are univariate functions $\forall h, k$.

- Exact reformulation of MINLP so as to have "isolated basic nonlinear functions" (additional variables and constraints).
- Relax (linear/convex) the basic nonlinear terms (library of envelopes/underestimators).

$$\sum_{h}\prod_{k}f_{hk}(x,y)$$

where $f_{hk}(x, y)$ are univariate functions $\forall h, k$.

- Exact reformulation of MINLP so as to have "isolated basic nonlinear functions" (additional variables and constraints).
- Relax (linear/convex) the basic nonlinear terms (library of envelopes/underestimators).
- Relaxation depends on variable bounds, thus branching potentially strengthen it.

Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs
- Global Optimization methods
 - Multistart
 - Spatial Branch-and-Bound
 Standard form
 - Convexification
 - Expression trees
 - Variable ranges
 - Bounds tightening
 - Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

Consider a NLP for simplicity. Transform it in a standard form like:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min c^{\mathsf{T}}(x,w) & \leq & b \\ & A(x,w) & \leq & b \\ & w_{ij} & = & x_i \bigotimes x_j & \text{ for suitable } i,j \\ & x & \in & X \\ & w & \in & W \end{array}$$

where, for example, $\bigotimes \in \{$ sum, product, quotient, power, exp, log, sin, cos, abs $\}$ (Couenne).

ľ

Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs
- Global Optimization methods
 - Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification
- Expression trees
- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)
Relax $w_{ij} = x_i \bigotimes x_j \forall$ suitable *i*, *j* where $\bigotimes \in \{$ sum, product, quotient, power, exp, log, sin, cos, abs $\}$ such that:

$$w_{ij} \leq \text{overestimator}(x_i \bigotimes x_j)$$

 $w_{ij} \geq \text{underestimator}(x_i \bigotimes x_j)$

Convex relaxation is not the tightest possible, but built automatically.

Relax $w_{ij} = x_i \bigotimes x_j \forall$ suitable *i*, *j* where $\bigotimes \in \{$ sum, product, quotient, power, exp, log, sin, cos, abs $\}$ such that:

$$w_{ij} \leq \text{overestimator}(x_i \bigotimes x_j)$$

 $w_{ij} \geq \text{underestimator}(x_i \bigotimes x_j)$

Convex relaxation is not the tightest possible, but built automatically.

- Underestimator/overestimator of convex/concave function: tangent cuts (OA)
- Odd powers or Trigonometric functions: separate intervals in which function is convex or concave and do as for convex/concave functions
- Product or Quotient: Mc Cormick relaxation

A B F A B F

Spatial B&B: Examples of Convexifications



P. Belotti, J. Lee, L. Liberti, F. Margot, A. Wächter, "Branching and bounds tightening techniques for non-convex MINLP". Optimization Methods and Software 24(4-5): 597-634 (2009).

Example: Standard Form Reformulation

$$\min x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 \ge 1 \\ x_1 \in [0, 1] \\ x_2 \in [0, 1]$$

2

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

A B A A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Example: Standard Form Reformulation

$$\min x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 \ge 1 \\ x_1 \in [0, 1] \\ x_2 \in [0, 1]$$

becomes

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min w_{1}\,+\,w_{2} \\ w_{1} &=& x_{1}^{2} \\ w_{2} &=& x_{1}x_{2} \\ x_{1}\,+\,x_{2} &\geq& 1 \\ x_{1} &\in& [0,1] \\ x_{2} &\in& [0,1] \end{array}$$

2

3 > 4 3

var x1 <= 1, >= 0; var x2 <= 1, >= 0;

minimize of: $x1^{**2} + x1^{*}x2$; subject to constraint: x1 + x2 >= 1;

э

Example: .mod from Couenne

var x1 <= 1, >= 0; var x2 <= 1, >= 0;

minimize of: $x1^{**2} + x1^{*}x2;$ subject to constraint: $x1 + x2 \ge 1;$ # Problem name: extended-aw.mod

original variables

var x_0 >= 0 <= 1 default 0; var w_1 >= 0 <= 1 default 1; var w_2 >= 0 <= 1 default 0; var w_3 >= 0 <= 1 default 0; var w_4 >= 0 <= 2 default 0;

objective

minimize obj: w_4;

aux. variables defined

aux1: w_1 = (1-x_0); aux2: w_2 = (x_0**2); aux3: w_3 = (x_0*w_1); aux4: w_4 = (w_2+w_3);

constraints

э.

Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification

Expression trees

- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

Representation of objective f and constraints g

Encode mathematical expressions in trees or DAGs

E.g.
$$x_1^2 + x_1 x_2$$
:



Representation of objective f and constraints g

Encode mathematical expressions in trees or DAGs

E.g.
$$x_1^2 + x_1 x_2$$
:



Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification
- Expression trees
- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

- Crucial property for sBB convergence: convex relaxation tightens as variable range widths decrease
- convex/concave under/over-estimator constraints are (convex) functions of x^L, x^U
- it makes sense to **tighten** x^L , x^U at the sBB root node (trading off speed for efficiency) and at each other node (trading off efficiency for speed)

Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification
- Expression trees
- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

- In sBB we need to tighten variable bounds at each node
- Two methods:
 - Optimization Based Bounds Tightening (OBBT)
 - Feasibility Based Bounds Tightening (FBBT)
- OBBT:

for each variable x in P compute

- $\underline{x} = \min\{x \mid \text{conv. rel. constr.}\}$
- $\overline{x} = \max\{x \mid \text{conv. rel. constr.}\}$

Set $\underline{x} \leq x \leq \overline{x}$

Bounds Tightening

- In sBB we need to tighten variable bounds at each node
- Two methods:
 - Optimization Based Bounds Tightening (OBBT)
 - Feasibility Based Bounds Tightening (FBBT)

FBBT:

propagation of intervals up and down constraint expression trees, with tightening at the root node

Example: $5x_1 - x_2 = 0$.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Bounds Tightening

- In sBB we need to tighten variable bounds at each node
- Two methods:
 - Optimization Based Bounds Tightening (OBBT)
 - Feasibility Based Bounds Tightening (FBBT)

• FBBT: propagation of intervals up and down constraint expression trees, with tightening at the root node Example: $5x_1 - x_2 = 0$. Up: $\otimes:[5,5] \times [0,1] = [0,5]; \bigcirc:[0,5] - [0,1] = [-1,5]$. Root node tightening: $[-1,5] \cap [0,0] = [0,0]$. Downwards: $\otimes:[0,0] + [0,1] = [0,1]$; $x_1:[0,1]/[5,5] = [0,\frac{1}{5}]$



Outline

1) Recap

- What is a MINLP?
- Exact reformulations
- Relaxations
- 2 Example: Pooling Problem
- Methods for convex MINLPs

Global Optimization methods

Multistart

Spatial Branch-and-Bound

- Standard form
- Convexification
- Expression trees
- Variable ranges
- Bounds tightening
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (RLT)

- All nonlinear terms are quadratic monomials
- Aim to reduce gap betwen the problem and its convex relaxation
- ⇒ replace quadratic terms with suitable linear constraints (fewer nonlinear terms to relax)
- Can be obtained by considering linear relations (called **reduced RLT constraints**) between original and linearizing variables

- For each $k \leq n$, let $w_k = (w_{k1}, \ldots, w_{kn})$
- Multiply Ax = b by each xk, substitute linearizing variables wk, get reduced RLT constraint system (RRCS)

$$\forall k \leq n \ (Aw_k = bx_k)$$

•
$$\forall i, k \leq n$$
 define $z_{ki} = w_{ki} - x_i x_k$, let $z_k = (z_{k1}, \ldots, z_{kn})$

- Substitute b = Ax in RRCS, get $\forall k \le n(A(w_k x_k x) = 0)$, i.e. $\forall k \le n(Az_k = 0)$. Let B, N be the sets of basic and nonbasic variables of this system
- Setting $z_{ki} = 0$ for each nonbasic variable implies that the RRCS is satisfied \Rightarrow It suffices to enforce quadratic constraints $w_{ki} = x_i x_k$ for $(i, k) \in N$ (replace those for $(i, k) \in B$ with the linear RRCS)

Example: pooling problem

Q-formulation

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_i} x_{il} &\leq C_i, &\forall i \in I \\ \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} &\leq C_l, &\forall l \in L \\ \sum_{i \in I_j} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} &\leq C_j, &\forall j \in J \\ \hline & & X_{il} - q_{il} \sum_{j \in J_i} x_{lj} = 0 &\forall i \in I, l \in L_i \\ & & \sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{l \in L_j} x_{lj} \left(\sum_{i \in I_l} \lambda_{ki} q_{il}\right) \leq \alpha_{kj} \sum_{i \in I_j \cup L_j} x_{ij}, &\forall k \in K, j \in J \end{split}$$

æ

PQ-formulation by Sahinidis and Tawarmalani (2005). Like Q-formulation but with extra (redundant) constraints:

•
$$x_{lj} \sum_{i \in I_l} q_{il} = x_{lj} \quad \forall l \in L, j \in J_l$$

•
$$q_{il} \sum_{j \in J_l} x_{lj} \leq C_l q_{il} \quad \forall i \in I, l \in L_i$$

(4) (5) (4) (5)

A D M A A A M M

PQ-formulation by Sahinidis and Tawarmalani (2005). Like Q-formulation but with extra (redundant) constraints:

•
$$x_{lj} \sum_{i \in I_l} q_{il} = x_{lj} \quad \forall l \in L, j \in J_l$$

•
$$q_{il} \sum_{j \in J_l} x_{lj} \leq C_l q_{il} \quad \forall i \in I, l \in L_i$$

One of the strongest known formulation!

A D M A A A M M

Citations

- Sherali, Alameddine, A new reformulation-linearization technique for bilinear programming problems, JOGO, 1991
- Falk, Soland, An algorithm for separable nonconvex programming problems, Manag. Sci. 1969.
- Horst, Tuy, *Global Optimization*, Springer 1990.
- Ryoo, Sahinidis, Global optimization of nonconvex NLPs and MINLPs with applications in process design, Comp. Chem. Eng. 1995.
- Adjiman, Floudas et al., A global optimization method, αBB, for general twice-differentiable nonconvex NLPs, Comp. Chem. Eng. 1998.
- Smith, Pantelides, A symbolic reformulation/spatial branch-and-bound algorithm for the global optimisation of nonconvex MINLPs, Comp. Chem. Eng. 1999.
- Nowak, Relaxation and decomposition methods for Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming, Birkhäuser, 2005.
- Belotti, et al., *Branching and bounds tightening techniques for nonconvex MINLP*, Opt. Meth. Softw., 2009.
- Vigerske, PhD Thesis: Decomposition of Multistage Stochastic Programs and a Constraint Integer Programming Approach to Mixed-Integer Nonlinear Programming, Humboldt-University Berlin, 2013.

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 三