

# On some insight and extensions of the Radial Basis Function method.

Andrea Cassioli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LIX - ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE (FR)  
cassioli@lix.polytechnique.fr

11/07/2013



# Outline

- 1 Brief summary of the RBF method
- 2 Some more insight on the bumpiness
- 3 Other variants of the RBF method

# The RBF method

## Problem definition

We aim to solve

$$\min_{x \in X} f(x)$$

where:

- ▶  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the (bounded) feasible set of  $x$
- ▶  $f(x)$  is a black-box function whose evaluation is “**costly**”

# The RBF method

## Basic building blocks

For a given (unisolvent) set of samples  $S$ :

$$s(x|S) = \sum_{y \in S} \lambda_y \phi(\|x - y\|) + p(x, c) = \Phi(x)\lambda + P(x)^T c$$

Coefficients  $\lambda, c$  are computed solving the linear system

$$\Phi\lambda + Pc = f$$

$$P^T\lambda = 0$$

the degree of the polynomial depends on  $\phi()$ .

# The RBF method

## Basic algorithm

```
determine suitable  $S_0$ ;  
 $k \leftarrow 0$ ;  
while stopping criteria not fulfilled do  
    determine  $s_k(x|S_k)$ ;  
     $y_k = \arg \min_{x \in X} s_k(x)$ ;  
    select the aspiration level  $\hat{f}$ ;  
    determine  $x_{k+1}$  based on  $\mu(x|y_k, \hat{f})$ ;  
     $S_{k+1} = S_k \cup x_{k+1}$ ;  
end
```

# The RBF method

## Comments

A lot of freedom:

- ▶ which radial basis
- ▶ the degree of the polynomial
- ▶ how solve the auxiliary problems
- ▶ how to select the reference value

# The RBF method

Do we really care about convergence?

*"In principle, these methods may have convergence guarantees if the point selection strategy is well-chosen; but this is irrelevant in view of the fact that for expensive functions, only few (perhaps up to 1000) function evaluations are admissible"*<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Arnold Neumaier, "Complete search in continuous global optimization and constraint satisfaction", *Acta numerica* 13.1 (2004): 271–369.

# The RBF method

## Convergence

Based on the well known theorem of Törn, A., Zilinskas, A.:

### Theorem

*If an algorithm generates a sequence of points that are dense in in the feasible set  $X$  it converges to the optimal solution.*

Basically we will get arbitrary close to optimum...

# The RBF method

## Convergence

### Theorem

*If an RBF method is well posed (see usual properties of  $s()$ ) and*

- ▶  *$S_k$  is unisolvent*
- ▶ *for the reference value holds that*

$$\hat{f}_{k+1} < \min_x s(x|S_k)$$

- ▶  *$x_{k+1}$  is a minimizer(maximizer) for the bumpiness function*

*then the point selected at iteration  $k + 1$  is distinct for any other points in  $S_k$ .*

# The RBF method

## Convergence

### Corollary

*If for the designed RBF method the previous theorem holds, then the method converges to the global optimum of  $f(x)$ .*

### Proof.

If the previous theorem holds, then the sequence of points  $\{x_i\}$  is dense in  $X$  and for the Torn and Zilinskas theorem we converge to the global optimum. □

# The RBF method

## Convergence

- ▶ Granted if an infinite subsequence of sampled point is dense in  $X$
- ▶ In some cases convergence to first-order stationary points
- ▶ In probability if we can sample the feasible set along  $\{x_k\}$

# On the bumpiness function

## A step back on the interpolant function

Let consider 1D cubic splines for a set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ :

- ▶ must fulfill some condition on first/second derivatives
- ▶ it's *natural* if  $s''(x) = 0$
- ▶ minimize

$$I(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s''(x)^2 dx$$

which a curvature measure

The 1D cubic RBF is a natural spline

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i |x - x_i|^3 + c_1 + c_2 x$$

# On the bumpiness function

## A step back on the interpolant function

Generalize to a general radial basis  $\phi()$ , we obtain

$$I(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s''(x)^2 dx = \dots = 12\lambda^T \Phi \lambda + 12P\lambda,$$

but asking for a natural spline we get

$$I(s) = 12\lambda^T \Phi \lambda$$

An 1D RBF is the natural spline for that basis and set of points.

# On the bumpiness function

## A step back on the interpolant function

Moving to the multidimensional case, we note that the  $I(s)$  function comes from the product

$$\langle u(x, \lambda), v(x, \mu) \rangle = (-1)^m \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v(x_i) = (-1)^m \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i u(x_i),$$

yielding

$$\langle s, s \rangle = (-1)^m \lambda^T \Phi \lambda$$

which is a seminorm once  $P^T \lambda = 0$ .

# On the bumpiness function

## Meaning

For the surrogate model, *centers* are fixed, and we look for the  $\lambda$ 's.

For the *bumpiness*, one center is not fixed (the next point) and we minimize the seminorm of

$$s(x|S \cup \hat{x}) = s(x|S) + (\hat{f} - s(\hat{x}|S))\hat{L}(x)$$

where  $L$  is an RBF of the same family that attains 1 in  $\hat{x}$  and 0 everywhere else.

# On the bumpiness function

## Meaning

How to think about the bumpiness?

Imagine:

- ▶ an elastic carpet that has be fixed in points at certain heights
- ▶ put your finger at the aspiration level
- ▶ move it until you find the point in which the carpet resists less to your pressure
- ▶ this is the next point!

# On the bumpiness function

## Pros...

- ▶ "simple" method
- ▶ a meaningful concept
- ▶ promote convergence
- ▶ allow for balancing exploration/intensification via the aspiration level

# On the bumpiness function

## ...and Cons

- ▶ hard to optimize (very bumpy...)
- ▶ numerically unstable (log scaling)
- ▶ boundary "effect"
- ▶ difficult to relate to the geometry of  $S$
- ▶ requires a (good) lower bound on the optimal value of the surrogate model

- ① use the surrogate model has merit function solving

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in X} s(x) \\ \|x - x_i\| \geq \Delta_i \quad i = 1 \dots k \end{aligned}$$

- ② Parallel version<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Rommel Gaglac Regis, “Global optimization of computationally expensive functions using serial and parallel radial basis function algorithms”, [Diss., 2004](#).

<sup>2</sup>Rommel G Regis and Christine A Shoemaker, “Constrained global optimization of expensive black box functions using radial basis functions”, *Journal of Global Optimization* 31.1 (2005): 153–171.

- 1 next point among a pool of perturbations of the best solution so far
- 2 putative points scored using  $s()$  and/or the geometry of the sample set
- 3 several variants depending on the scoring and globalization strategies
- 4 convergence in probability

---

<sup>3</sup>Rommel G Regis and Christine A Shoemaker, “A stochastic radial basis function method for the global optimization of expensive functions”, *INFORMS Journal on Computing* 19.4 (2007): 497–509.

## ConstrLMSRBF<sup>4</sup>

- 1 extends the LMSRBF version of SRS
- 2 build surrogates models for both objective function and constraints
- 3 require a first feasible point
- 4 consider feasibility violation in scoring the putative points
- 5 tested up to 4000 function evaluations

---

<sup>4</sup>Rommel G Regis, “Stochastic radial basis function algorithms for large-scale optimization involving expensive black-box objective and constraint functions”, *Computers & Operations Research* 38.5 (2011): 837–853.

A Trust-Region based RBF method (no bumpiness):

- 1 test the model for "validity" and add new points if necessary
- 2 find a minimizer of the model in the TR
- 3 compute the improvement ratio
- 4 update TR

---

<sup>5</sup>Rodrigue Ouevray and Michel Bierlaire, "BOOSTERS: A derivative-free algorithm based on radial basis functions", *International Journal of Modelling & Simulation* 29.1 (2009): 26.

Extends BOOSTER with a more complex handling of the TR.

- 1 use only a subset of samples
- 2 the surrogate is built enforcing well conditioning (fully linearity)
- 3 the next point is the (approximate) minimizer of the surrogate on the TR
- 4 very complex framework

---

<sup>6</sup>Stefan M Wild, Rommel G Regis, and Christine A Shoemaker, “ORBIT: Optimization by radial basis function interpolation in trust-regions”, *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 30.6 (2008): 3197–3219.

<sup>7</sup>Stefan M Wild and Christine Shoemaker, “Global convergence of radial basis function trust region derivative-free algorithms”, *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 21.3 (2011): 761–781.

- 1 extends the original RBF method
- 2 consider BB constraints as penalty
- 3 select next point using bumpiness
- 4 aspiration level is varied and putative next points clustered
- 5 in some cases the aspiration level is ignored and the optimum of  $s()$  is used

---

<sup>8</sup>Kenneth Holmström, “An adaptive radial basis algorithm (ARBF) for expensive black-box global optimization”, *Journal of Global Optimization* 41.3 (2008): 447–464.

At iteration  $k$ , the Grid Mode uses a set  $w = \{w_1 \dots w_t\}$  of positive weight and determines

$$x_i = \arg \min_{x \in X} \mu(x, s_k - w_i f_\Delta) \quad i = 1 \dots t,$$

points are then clustered<sup>9</sup> and one is selected using heuristics.

---

<sup>9</sup>Donald R Jones, “A taxonomy of global optimization methods based on response surfaces”, *Journal of global optimization* 21.4 (2001): 345–383.

- 1 use an alternative merit function
- 2 consider approximation and interpolation
- 3 extends to multi-objective optimization

---

<sup>10</sup>Stefan Jakobsson et al., “A method for simulation based optimization using radial basis functions”, *Optimization and Engineering* 11.4 (2010): 501–532.

From interpolation to approximation  $\eta[0, 1]$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll}\min & \eta \lambda^T \Phi \lambda + (1 - \eta) \|\epsilon\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & \Phi \lambda + P c = \epsilon + f \\ & P^T \lambda = 0 \\ & \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^k\end{array}$$

- ❶  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  yields original RBF method
- ❷  $\eta \rightarrow 1$  yield the smoothest surrogate model

The choice of  $\eta$  can be done using cross-validation.

It maximizes

$$Q(y) = \int_{\Omega} (U_S(x) - U_{S \cup y}(x)) \omega(s(x|S)) dV(x)$$

where  $\omega()$  is a suitable weight function and

$$U(x) = \min_{z \in S} \|x - z\|$$

# References I

- Holmström, Kenneth. “An adaptive radial basis algorithm (ARBF) for expensive black-box global optimization”. *Journal of Global Optimization* 41.3 (2008): 447–464. Print.
- Jakobsson, Stefan, et al. “A method for simulation based optimization using radial basis functions”. *Optimization and Engineering* 11.4 (2010): 501–532. Print.
- Jones, Donald R. “A taxonomy of global optimization methods based on response surfaces”. *Journal of global optimization* 21.4 (2001): 345–383. Print.
- Neumaier, Arnold. “Complete search in continuous global optimization and constraint satisfaction”. *Acta numerica* 13.1 (2004): 271–369. Print.

## References II

- Oeuvray, Rodrigue and Michel Bierlaire. “BOOSTERS: A derivative-free algorithm based on radial basis functions”. *International Journal of Modelling & Simulation* 29.1 (2009): 26. Print.
- Regis, Rommel G. “Stochastic radial basis function algorithms for large-scale optimization involving expensive black-box objective and constraint functions”. *Computers & Operations Research* 38.5 (2011): 837–853. Print.
- Regis, Rommel G and Christine A Shoemaker. “A stochastic radial basis function method for the global optimization of expensive functions”. *INFORMS Journal on Computing* 19.4 (2007): 497–509. Print.
- . “Constrained global optimization of expensive black box functions using radial basis functions”. *Journal of Global Optimization* 31.1 (2005): 153–171. Print.

## References III

- Regis, Rommel Gaglac. “Global optimization of computationally expensive functions using serial and parallel radial basis function algorithms”. *Diss.* 2004. *Print.*
- Wild, Stefan M, Rommel G Regis, and Christine A Shoemaker. “ORBIT: Optimization by radial basis function interpolation in trust-regions”. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 30.6 (2008): 3197–3219. *Print.*
- Wild, Stefan M and Christine Shoemaker. “Global convergence of radial basis function trust region derivative-free algorithms”. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 21.3 (2011): 761–781. *Print.*