# Learning heuristics for graph algorithms 

Benjamin Negrevergne, kickoff Esigma

## Tools for data analysis

■ Historically

- Focus on generality
- Data mining algorithms that can work with different algebraic structures
- Data mining algorithms that can work with different constraints
- Focus on efficiency
- parallel and distributed computing
- Nowadays
- Topic 1: Detecting salient events in game data
- Topic 2: Recognizing style automatically (painting/music)
- Topic 3: Distributed deep learning
- Next
- Learning solving strategies for CSP solving


## Supervised learning

## - Problem

- $f$ : unknown, hard to specify
- $E$ : a set of examples $(x, f(x))$
- Goal, find $\hat{f}$ such that

$$
\hat{f} \sim f
$$

- Approach
- We choose a family of function $\hat{f}=f_{\theta}$ parameterized with $\theta$
- We optimize the parameters $\theta$ such that
- $f_{\theta}$ minimize the error on the given examples
- $f_{\theta}$ generalizes well on new examples drawn from the same distribution


## Supervised learning

- We can learn complex relations between inputs and outputs


Baroque

## Deep learning

We represent $f_{\theta}$ as a neural network organized in layers.
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Main takeaway: do not try to specify the features yourself.

## Learning in graph algorithmics



Can we learn $f$ ?

## Learning in graph algorithmics



## Can we learn $f$ ?

What is there to learn?

- How to formalize the learning task?
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What is there to learn?

- Given a graph optimization problem $G$ and a distribution $\mathbb{D}$ of problem instances, can we learn a heuristic that generalizes to unseen instances of $\mathbb{D}$


## How to formalize the learning task?

## As a regression task

## Given

- A set $X$ of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $|X|=n$
- a path $p=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ with $m<n$ We denote $P=(X, p)$ is a partially solved TSP
Learn

$$
Q:(P, x) \mapsto \text { minimal-final-length }(P \sqcup x)
$$



TSP- ml (P, p):
if $\exists x \in X \backslash P$
$T S P-m l\left(P \sqcup \operatorname{argmax}_{x}(Q(P, x))\right.$

## How can we learn $Q$

- Machine learning algorithms works with vectors

Problem: how to represent a vertex $v$ as a vector $\mu_{v}$ ?

- $\mu_{v}=\left(x_{v}, y_{v}\right)$
- only captures local properties of $v$
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- After $T$ iteration, each node embedding $\mu_{v}^{T}$ will contain information about its T-hop neighbors
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How to choose the aggregation function $F$ ?

- should include some notion of the degree other local vs. global statistics
- should probably be highly non-linear
- should depend on the problem were are looking at
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How to choose the aggregation function $F$ ?

- should include some notion of the degree other local vs. global statistics
- should probably be highly non-linear
- should depend on the problem were are looking at

Take away from before: do not try to specify the features yourself!

- End-to-end learning together with $Q$ for the task at hand

$$
\mu^{(t+1)}(v)=\operatorname{rel} u\left(\theta_{1} x_{v}+\theta_{2} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mu_{u}(t)+\theta_{3} \sum_{u \in N(v)} r e l u\left(\theta_{4} w(v, u)\right)\right)
$$

Model parameters: $\theta_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}, \theta_{4} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$

## Reinforcement learning

(1) Solve a TSP
(2) Observe reward
(3) Update parameters of $Q$ and $F$
$\varepsilon-$ greedy algorithm to balance exploration / exploitation

## Quality of the approximation


(c) TSP random

## Minimum vertex cover Maximum cut
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## Interesting perspectives

## ■ Vertex embeddings

- if $d\left(\mu^{T}(u), \mu^{T}(v)\right)$ is small, then $u, v$ are "similar" in terms of TSP solving
- $\mu_{v}^{T}$ capture the properties of $v$ and its neighborhood that are relevant to solve a TSP
- Does this similarity measure corresponds to any natural property? (e.g. in a social network?)
- Does it correspond to any known graph property?
- What about if we optimize $\mu_{v}$ for graph coloring, maxcut ... ?
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■ Discovering new algorithms

- Dai et al. were able to discover a new algorithm for MAXCUT that hasn't been analyzed before

■ CSP solving

- some problems need both learning and reasoning (e.g. CPC)
- How to combine constraint propagation and learning?

Thanks

