Minkowski decompositions of associahedra into faces of a standard simplex

> Carsten Lange UPMC

Séminaire Equipe Modéles Combinatoires – LIX École Polytechnique 14 Janvier 2013

# Agenda

What is... a permutahedron/associahedron
How to... realise associahedra
What is... a Minkowski decomposition
How to... decompose associahedra

# Permutahedra

-- definition --

idea: apply action of  $\Sigma_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to generic point

convex hull of points



# Permutahedra

-- definition --

idea: apply action of  $\Sigma_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to generic point

half space affine hyperplane convex hull of points  $x_1 + x_2 \geq 3$  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ (1,2,3) (2,1,3) {  $(\sigma(1),...,\sigma(n)) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_n$  } • H-representation (1,3,2) (3,1,2)  $\sum_{i \in [n]} x_i = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ • (2,3,1) (3,2,1) half space  $\sum_{i \in K} x_i \ge \frac{1}{2} |K| (|K|+1)$  $x_3 \geq 1$ for  $\emptyset \neq K \subset [n]$ 

# Permutahedra

-- definition --

idea: apply action of  $\Sigma_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to generic point

half space affine hyperplane convex hull of points  $x_1 + x_2 \geq 3$  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ (1,2,3) (2,1,3) {  $(\sigma(1),...,\sigma(n)) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_n$  } H-representation (3,1,2)  $\sum_{i \in [n]} x_i = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ (3,2,1) space  $\sum_{i \in K} x_i \geq \frac{1}{2} |K| (|K|+1)$  $x_3 \geq 1$ for  $\emptyset \neq K \subset [n]$ 

generalised Permutahedra -- definition, zi-coordinates --

- idea: change permutahedron's right-hand sides • H-representation  $\sum_{i \in [n]} x_i = Z_{[n]}$   $\sum_{i \in I} x_i \ge Z_I$  for  $\emptyset \neq I \subset [n]$ (want all "redundant"  $z_I$ -values tight)
- z<sub>1</sub>-coordinates
   vector of all z<sub>1</sub>-values
   (redundancies possible; choose all z<sub>1</sub>-values tight)
- Ø P({z<sub>I</sub>})

generalised permutahedron with given  $z_I$ -coordinates

#### What is... Minkowski sum -- definition --

P and Q polytopes

Minkowski sum P+Q is the polytope  $p+q \mid p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$ 

#### What is... Minkowski sum -- definition --

P and Q polytopes
Minkowski sum P+Q is the polytope  $\{ p+q \mid p \in P \text{ and } q \in Q \}$ Example (edges of standard simplex): (0,0,1) (0,0,1) (0,0,1)

(1,0,0)

(0,1,0)

(0,1,0)

(0,2,0)

(1,1,0)





## Generalised Permutahedra

-- y<sub>I</sub>-coordinates --

Theorem [Postnikov, 2009] Every Minkowski sum of dilated faces of a standard simplex yields a generalised permutahedron

y\_-coordinates (à la Postnikov)
vector of dilation factors y\_I for Ø ⊂ I ⊆ [n]
(y\_I ≥ 0; y\_I = 0 ⇔ face not used)

Observation:  $z_{I}$  and  $y_{I}$ -vectors have same size

Are  $z_I$  and  $y_I$ -coord's related? If yes, how?

#### Relate yI- & ZI-Coordinates -- yI- & ZI-Coordinates as functions --

 $\begin{cases} 1,2,3 \\ Z_{\{1,2,3\}} \\ \{1,2,3\} \\ \{1,2\} \\ Z_{\{1,2\}} \\ \{1,3\} \\ Z_{\{2,3\}} \\ \{2,3\} \\ Z_{\{2,3\}} \\ Z_{\{3,3\}} \\ Z_{\{3$ 

z<sub>I</sub>-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (geometric constraints on z<sub>I</sub>) yı-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (yı ≥ 0)

## Relate yI- & ZI-coordinates -- yI- & ZI-coordinates as functions --

 $\begin{cases} 1,2,3 \\ Z_{\{1,2,3\}} \\ \{1,2,3\} \\ Z_{\{1,2\}} \\ Z_{\{1,2\}} \\ Z_{\{1,3\}} \\ Z_{\{2,3\}} \\ Z_{\{3,3\}} \\ Z_{\{2,3\}} \\ Z_{\{3,3\}} \\ Z_{\{3$ 

z<sub>I</sub>-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (geometric constraints on z<sub>I</sub>) yı-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (yı ≥ 0)



z<sub>I</sub>-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (geometric constraints on z<sub>I</sub>)

yı-coordinates as function on Boolean lattice (yı ≥ 0)

#### What is... an associahedron -- combinatorial description --

n = 3

- combinatorics of CW-complex (Stasheff)
   vertices = triangulations of (n+2)-gon
   k-face = triangulation minus k diagonals
   can be realised as (n-1)-dim polytope
- polytopal realisations were given by Milnor (unpublished), Lee, Haiman, Sternberg&Shnider and Stasheff&Shnider, Loday...

> equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $X_1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $X_1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $X_1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $X_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $X_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

• (3,1,2

(1, 4, 1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

affine hyperplane  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6$ 

 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 

 $X_2$ 

**X**3

 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3$  (1,4,1)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

 $0 \xrightarrow{4} 3$   $1 \qquad 2$ 

 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3$ 

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

> n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>



 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3$ 



equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



n=3

labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>



 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3$ 



equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>



 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 



equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>



 $x_1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  $x_2 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  $x_3 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ 



equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



n=3

labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup>

> equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



n=3 labelled pentagon 2-dim associahedron realised in R<sup>3</sup> Realise Associahedra
 -- example (Shnider, Sternberg&Stasheff, Loday) - Loday: Computes coord's (planar binary trees)

equivalently: label (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}



half space  $x_1 + x_2 \ge 3$ 

Realise Associahedra -- example: Loday's associahedra II --Iabel (n+2)-gon cyclicly decreasing with {0,...,n+1}

E.g.:  $A = \{1, 2\}$  $\sum_{i \in A} x_i \ge z_A := |A|(|A|+1)/2 \xrightarrow{0} \sqrt{3}$ 

{1,2,3}

{1} {2} {3}

A is "bad subset"  $\Leftrightarrow$  not RHS of oriented diagonal  $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$   $\{2,3\}$ E.g.:  $A = \{1,3\}$  $\sum_{i \in A} x_i \ge z_A := -\infty$ 



 $z_{\{1,3\}} = 2$  is tight value



 $Z_{\{1,3\}} = 2$  is tight value

known:  $y_I = 1 \Leftrightarrow I \text{ good subset}$  $y_I = 0 \Leftrightarrow I \text{ bad subset}$ 





•

•

•





•



## Associahedra -- Loday's realisation in dimension 3 --



How to ... realise associahedra
-- Loday's realization generalized -(Hohlweg&L., 2007)
2<sup>n-2</sup> allowed labellings of (n+2)-gon with {0,1,...,n+1}
A is "good subset" :⇔ RHS of diagonal
Then

$$\begin{split} & \sum X_i = z_{[n]} = n(n+1)/2 \\ & \sum_{i \in A} x_i \ge z_A = |A|(|A|+1)/2 \quad (A \text{ good subset}) \\ & \text{yields H-description of associahedron.} \end{split}$$

Furthermore:

V-description generalising Loday's algorithm possible

#### How to ... realise associahedra

-- allowed labellings of (n+2)-gon -partition {1,...,n} into two sets: "Up" and "Down" with 1,n ∈ Down
"c-labelling" of (n+2)-gon label one vertex "O" label one vertex "O" label paths starting at 0 by Up and Down label label remaining vertex "n+1"

#### How to ... realise associahedra

-- allowed labellings of (n+2)-gon -partition {1,...,n} into two sets: "Up" and "Down" with 1,n ∈ Down
"c-labelling" of (n+2)-gon label one vertex "O" label paths starting at 0 by Up and Down label label remaining vertex "n+1"
Example: n=4, 2<sup>4-2</sup> = 4 different labellings of hexagon



## Associahedra -- Hohlweg&L. (Down = {1,3,4}) --



Coordinates revisited -- Use  $^{\circ} \bigcirc^{4}$  instead of  $^{\circ} \bigcirc^{3}$  --{1,2,3} {1,2,3}  $z_{I} = \sum_{J \subseteq I} y_{J}$  $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$   $\{2,3\}$   $\{1,2\}$ {1,3} {2,3}  $y_{J} = \sum_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_{I}$ {1} {2} {3} {1} {2} {3} I={2} bad subset: tight value:  $Z_{2} = 0$ 

Coordinates revisited -- Use  $^{\circ}\bigcirc^{4}$  instead of  $^{\circ}\bigcirc^{3}$  --{1,2,3} {1,2,3}  $z_{I} = \sum_{J \subseteq I} y_{J}$ {2,3}  $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$   $\{2,3\}$   $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$  $y_{J} = \sum_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_{I}$ {1} {2} {3} {1} {2} {3} I={2} bad subset:  $!! y_{\{1,2,3\}} = -1 !!$ tight value:  $Z_{2} = 0$ 

Coordinates revisited -- Use  $^{\circ}\bigcirc^{2}$  instead of  $^{\circ}\bigcirc^{3}$  --{1,2,3} {1,2,3}  $z_{I} = \sum_{J \subseteq I} y_{J}$  $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$   $\{2,3\}$   $\{1,2\}$   $\{1,3\}$   $\{2,3\}$  $y_{J} = \sum_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_{I}$ {1} {2} {3} {1} {2} {3} bad subset: I={2}  $!! y_{\{1,2,3\}} = -1 !!$ tight value:  $z_{\{2\}} = 0$ 

What is the meaning of a negative  $y_I$  value??

#### Minkowski decomposition -- definition --

P and Q polytopes

R is Minkowski difference P-Q of P and Q There is a polytope R such that R+Q = P (pitfall: not always defined!!)

#### Minkowski decomposition -- definition --

P and Q polytopes

R is Minkowski difference P-Q of P and Q
There is a polytope R such that R+Q = P (pitfall: not always defined!!)
\*Minkowski decomposition of P"
Write P as Minkowski sums and differences of polytopes Qi

Idea:

Use Minkowski decompositions of generalised permutahedra for positive & negative y<sub>I</sub>-values



affine hyperplane x+y+z=4



0

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

2 +2/

{1,2,3} {1,3} {2,3} {1,2} {2} {3} {1}

0

4







 $\mathbf{O}$ 

affine hyperplane x+y+z=6



0

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

•

 $\bullet$ 

2 + 2 / Ŧ

•

 $\begin{cases} 1, 2, 3 \\ -1 \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} 1, 2 \\ 2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} 1, 3 \\ 1 \end{cases} \begin{cases} 2, 3 \\ 2 \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} 1 \\ 1 \end{cases} \begin{cases} 2 \\ 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} 3 \\ 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$ 

0

4

# $P(\{z_I\})$ & decompositions

Theorem [Ardila,Benedetti&Doker, 2010] Every generalised permutahedron P({z<sub>I</sub>}) has a unique Minikowski decomposition  $P({z_I}) = \Sigma_{J \subseteq [n]} y_J \Delta_J$ where  $y_J = \Sigma_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_I$ 

# $P(\{z_I\})$ & decompositions

Theorem [Ardila,Benedetti&Doker, 2010] Every generalised permutahedron P({z<sub>I</sub>}) has a unique Minikowski decomposition  $P({z_I}) = \Sigma_{J \subseteq [n]} y_J \Delta_J$ where  $y_J = \Sigma_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_I$ 

Proof:

Set  $z_{\bar{I}} := \sum_{J \subseteq I; y_{J} < 0} (-y_{J})$  and  $z_{\bar{I}}^{\dagger} := \sum_{J \subseteq I; y_{J} \geq 0} y_{J}$ . By inclusion-exclusion  $z_{I} + z_{\bar{I}} = z_{\bar{I}}^{\dagger}$  which yields  $P(\{z_{I}\}) + P(\{z_{\bar{I}}\}) = P(\{z_{\bar{I}}\})$ since  $P(\{a_{I}+b_{I}\}) = P(\{a_{I}\}) + P(\{b_{I}\})$ .

# $P(\{z_I\})$ & decompositions

Theorem [Ardila,Benedetti&Doker, 2010] Every generalised permutahedron P({z<sub>I</sub>}) has a unique Minikowski decomposition  $P({z_I}) = \Sigma_{J \subseteq [n]} y_J \Delta_J$ where  $y_J = \Sigma_{I \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J-I|} z_I$ 

#### Corollary:

 $y_I$ -values for associahedra of Hohlweg&Lange computable by Möbius inversion from complete set of tight  $z_I$ -values

#### yI-coord's for associahedra -- Statement of results --

ZI-values for redundant inequalities computable from "good subsets S" using "Up and Down interval decomposition" of I  $\odot$  "type" of interval decomposition simplifies y<sub>I</sub>-computation: I of "type (1,l)":  $y_{I} = (-1)^{|I-I_{1}|} (z_{I_{1}} - z_{I_{2}} - z_{I_{3}} + z_{I_{4}})$ I of "type (k,l), k > 1'':  $y_I = 0$  $\bigcirc$  Loday-type formula for  $y_I$ -values:  $I \neq \{u\}$  of type (1,1):  $y_I = (-1)^{|I-D_1|} K_V \cdot K_{\Gamma}$ I={u} of type (1,1):  $y_{I} = (-1)^{|I-D_{1}|} (K_{Y} \cdot K_{\Gamma} - (n+1))$ I of type (k,l), k>1:  $y_I = 0$  $K_{\gamma}$  and  $K_{\Gamma}$ : "signed lengths" on boundary of (n+2)-gon

#### Up&Down intervals

-- Up and Down interval decomposition -Definition [L., 2011]
open down interval (d<sub>i</sub>,d<sub>j</sub>)

all numbers  $k \in Down \text{ s.t. } d_i < k < d_j$ 

or closed up interval  $[u_i, u_j]$  all numbers k ∈ Up s.t.  $u_i ≤ k ≤ u_j$ 

 ✓ Up and Down interval decomposition of I ⊆ [n] family of maximal closed up intervals of I "nested" in maximal open (down) intervals of I

type of decomposition: (#down intervals, #up intervals)

# Up&Down intervals

-- examples --



"Up" Ø "Down" {1,2,3,4} 0 1 3 {2} {1,3,4}

no up-intervals down-intervals: Ø, {1}, {2}, {3}, {4} {1,2}, {2,3}, {3,4} {1,2,3}, {2,3,4} {1,2,3,4}

only up-interval {2} down-intervals: Ø, {1}, {3}, {4}, {1,3}, {3,4}, {1,3,4} decomposition type of {2},{2,3}, {1,4}, {2,4}????

## Cyclohedra

-- revisit defintion of generalised permutahedra --

- Cyclohedra ("type B generalised associahedra") can be realised using certain associahedra
- Minkowski decomposition into dilated faces of standard simplex à la Ardila/Benedetti/Doker?



## Cyclohedra

-- revisit defintion of generalised permutahedra --

- Cyclohedra ("type B generalised associahedra") can be realised using certain associahedra
- Minkowski decomposition into dilated faces of standard simplex à la Ardila/Benedetti/Doker?



No! Compute y<sub>I</sub>-coordinates and compare resulting polytope with cyclohedron
Postnikov and Postnikov, Reiner & Williams: "generalised permutahedra P({z<sub>I</sub>})" are in the deformation cone of classical permutahedron!

## Open Problems

 $\oslash$  Feasible  $z_{I}$  - and  $y_{I}$  - coordinates? Lattice points of associahedra? Relation to brick polytopes? Minkowski decompositions for other types? Implications for cluster algebras? Formulae in terms of Coxeter group of type A?

- [Ardila,Benedetti&Doker]: Matroid polytopes and their volume, FPSAC/Discrete&Compututational Geometry, 2009/10
- [Hohlweg&L., 2007]: Realizations of the associahedron and cyclohedron, Discrete&Computational Geometry, 2007

#### [L., 2011]

Minkowski decompositions of associahedra and the computation of Möbius inversion, arXiv (abstracts: FPSAC 2011 & CCCG 2011)

- [Loday, 2004]
   Realization of the Stasheff polytope, Archiv der Mathematik, 2004
- [Postnikov]
   Permutahedra, associahedra, and beyond,
   International Mathematical Research Notices, 2009
- [Postnikov, Reiner, Williams]
   Faces of generalised permutahedra,
   Documenta Mathematica, 2008



 $\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2} + \Delta_{3} + \Delta_{4} + \Delta_{1,2} + \Delta_{2,3} + \Delta_{3,4} + \Delta_{1,2,3} + \Delta_{2,3,4} + \Delta_{1,2,3,4}$ 



 $\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{3} + \Delta_{4} + 3\Delta_{1,2} + \Delta_{1,3}$  $+ 2\Delta_{2,3} + \Delta_{3,4} + \Delta_{1,3,4} + 2\Delta_{2,3,4}$  $- (\Delta_{2} + \Delta_{1,2,3} + \Delta_{1,2,3,4})$