

Computing in the λ -calculus

Samuel Mimram

samuel.mimram@lix.polytechnique.fr

<http://lambdacat.mimram.fr>

November 16, 2020

We recall that λ -terms t are of the form x (a variable) or $\lambda x.t$ (an abstraction) or tu (an application). The β -reduction is the closure under context of the relation $(\lambda x.t)u \rightarrow t[u/x]$, i.e. the relation generated by

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.t)u \rightarrow t[u/x]} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\lambda x.t \rightarrow \lambda x.t'} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{tu \rightarrow t'u} \quad \frac{u \rightarrow u'}{tu \rightarrow tu'}$$

We write \rightarrow^* (resp. \leftrightarrow^*) for the reflexive and transitive (resp. and symmetric) closure of \rightarrow .

1 Reduction graphs

The *reduction graph* of a λ -term t is the graph, whose vertices are λ -terms, defined as the smallest graph such that t is a vertex and there is an arrow between two vertices t and t' whenever $t \rightarrow t'$.

1. Write the respective reduction graphs of $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)z$ and $(\lambda xy.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda xy.xy))$.
2. Can a reduction graph have loops? be infinite? be infinitely branching?

Solution. Yes (take $\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$), yes (take $(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$) and no.

2 Computing in pure λ -calculus

We encode the booleans true and false as the λ -terms

$$\top = \lambda x.\lambda y.x \quad \perp = \lambda x.\lambda y.y$$

1. Define a λ -term if encoding conditional branching: we should have

$$\text{if } \top t u \xrightarrow{*} t \quad \text{if } \perp t u \xrightarrow{*} u$$

Solution. We define $\text{if} = \lambda b t u. b t u$.

2. Define λ -terms encoding conjunction, disjunction and negation of booleans.

Solution. We define

$$\text{and} = \lambda a b. \text{if } a b \perp \quad \text{or} = \lambda a b. \text{if } a \top b \quad \text{not} = \lambda a. \text{if } a \perp \top$$

3. Define an encoding of pairs of terms in λ -calculus, as well as projections.

Solution. We define

$$\text{pair} = \lambda x y b. \text{if } b x y \quad \pi_1 = \lambda p. p \top \quad \pi_2 = \lambda p. p \perp$$

The Church encoding of a natural number n in λ -calculus is

$$\lambda f x. \underbrace{f(f \dots (f x))}_{n \text{ times}}$$

4. Define the interpretation of the successor, addition, multiplication and exponential functions.

Solution. We can define

$$\text{suc} = \lambda n f x. f(n f x) \quad \text{add} = \lambda m n f x. m f(n f x) \quad \text{mul} = \lambda m n f x. m(n f)x \quad \text{exp} = \lambda m n. n m$$

or

$$\text{add} = \lambda m n. m \text{ suc } n \quad \text{mul} = \lambda m n. m(\text{add } n)0 \quad \text{exp} = \lambda m n. n(\text{mul } m)1$$

5. Define a function which tests whether its argument, a natural number, is 0 or not.

Solution. We define

$$\text{iszero} = \lambda n x y. n(\lambda z. y)x$$

6. Assuming given the predecessor function, define the subtraction function. Can you see how to define the predecessor?

Solution. We define

$$\text{sub} = \lambda m n. n \text{ pred } m$$

A *fixpoint combinator* is a term Y such that

$$Y t \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} t(Y t)$$

7. Recall Russell's paradox in naive set theory.

Solution. Consider the set $r = \{x \mid x \notin x\}$. If $r \in r$ then $r \notin r$ and if $r \notin r$ then $r \in r$. In other words, $r \in r \Leftrightarrow r \notin r$.

8. Encoding a set t as a predicate which indicates whether an element belongs to it, we can write $t u$ instead of $u \in t$, and $\lambda x. t$ instead of $\{x \mid t\}$. Assuming given a term \neg for negation, translate Russell's paradox in λ -calculus, and generalize it in order to obtain a fixpoint combinator Y .

Solution. We write $r = \lambda x. \neg(x x)$ and we have $r r = \neg(r r)$. Otherwise said, $r r$ is a fixpoint for \neg . Generalizing this to any function f instead of \neg , we define

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x))(\lambda x. f(x x))$$

9. Given a term t , show that the β -equivalence class of $Y t$ is always infinite.

Solution. We have

$$Y t \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} t(Y t) \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} t(t(Y t)) \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} \dots$$

10. Program the factorial function in OCaml. Modify your implementation in order not to use the `rec` keyword, but you can use the function `fix` defined by

```
let rec fix f = f (fix f)
```

In practice, what happens when you evaluate this definition? Fix `fix`.

Solution. We define the auxiliary function

```
let fact_fun f n = if n = 0 then 1 else n * f (n-1)
```

from which we can deduce the implementation of factorial by

```
let fact = fix fact_fun
```

If we try to evaluate it, we obtain

Stack overflow during evaluation (looping recursion?).

but we can fix this with an η -expansion of the `fix` function:

```
let rec fix f x = f (fix f) x
```

which is due to the particular evaluation strategy we have in OCaml.

11. Assuming given predecessor, define the factorial function in λ -calculus.

Solution. We define

$$\text{fact} = Y (\lambda f n. \text{if } (\text{iszero } n) 1 (f (\text{pred } n)))$$

12. The Fibonacci sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $\phi_0 = 0$, $\phi_1 = 1$ and $\phi_n = \phi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-2}$. Give a naive OCaml implementation of this function. What is (roughly) its complexity? Provide a saner implementation.

Solution. The naive implementation is

```
let rec fib n =
  if n = 0 then 0
  else if n = 1 then 1
  else fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
```

whose complexity is exponential. A saner version is obtained by computing two successive values of fib:

```
let fib n =
  let rec aux i (p,q) =
    if i = 0 then (p,q) else aux (i-1) (q,p+q)
  in
  fst (aux n (0,1))
```

13. Implement the predecessor function in OCaml and in λ -calculus.

Solution. For the predecessor, we can similarly compute the result by iterating n times the function $\phi = (m, n) \mapsto (n, n + 1)$ to $(0, 0)$:

```
let pred n =
  let rec aux i (p,q) =
    if i = 0 then (p,q) else aux (i-1) (q,q+1)
  in
  fst (aux n (0,0))
```

This easily translates into a λ -term.

14. Show that $\Theta = (\lambda x f. f(xxf))(\lambda x f. f(xxf))$ is also a fixpoint combinator (due to Turing). What is the advantage over Y ?

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta t &= (\lambda x f. f(xxf))(\lambda x f. f(xxf))t \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda f. f((\lambda x f. f(xxf))(\lambda x f. f(xxf))f))t \\ &\rightarrow t((\lambda x f. f(xxf))(\lambda x f. f(xxf))t) \\ &= t(\Theta t) \end{aligned}$$

If we look precisely at the situation with Y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y t &= (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx)))t \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda x. t(xx))(\lambda x. t(xx)) \\ &\rightarrow t((\lambda x. t(xx))(\lambda x. t(xx))) \\ &\leftarrow t(Y t) \end{aligned}$$

So the situation is slightly simpler: we have $\Theta t \xrightarrow{*} t(\Theta t)$ as opposed to only $Y t \xleftarrow{*} t(Y t)$.