

λ-calculus: confluence, termination

We recall that λ-terms t are of the form x (a variable) or $\lambda x.t$ (an abstraction) or tu (an application). The β -reduction is the closure under context of the relation $(\lambda x.t)u \rightarrow t[u/x]$, i.e. the relation generated by

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.t)u \rightarrow t[u/x]} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\lambda x.t \rightarrow \lambda x.t'} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{tu \rightarrow t'u} \quad \frac{u \rightarrow u'}{tu \rightarrow tu'}$$

We write \rightarrow^* for the reflexive and transitive closure of \rightarrow .

1 Reduction graphs

The *reduction graph* of a λ-term t is the graph, whose vertices are λ-terms, defined as the smallest graph such that t is a vertex and there is an arrow between two vertices t and t' whenever $t \rightarrow t'$.

1. Write the respective reduction graphs of

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)z \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda xy.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda xy.xy))$$

2. Can a reduction graph have loops?
3. Can a reduction graph be infinite?
4. Can a reduction graph be infinitely branching?

2 Confluence of the λ-calculus

Our goal is to show that the β -reduction is *confluent*, i.e. $u_1 \xleftarrow{*} t \xrightarrow{*} u_2$ implies that there exists v such that $u_1 \xrightarrow{*} v \xleftarrow{*} u_2$.

1. Show that β -reduction is *locally confluent*: $u_1 \leftarrow t \rightarrow u_2$ implies that there exists v such that $u_1 \xrightarrow{*} v \xleftarrow{*} u_2$.
2. Does local confluence imply confluence in general?

The *parallel reduction* $t \Rightarrow u$ on λ-terms is defined by:

$$\frac{}{x \Rightarrow x} \quad \frac{t \Rightarrow t' \quad u \Rightarrow u'}{(\lambda x.t)u \Rightarrow t'[u'/x]} \quad \frac{t \Rightarrow t'}{\lambda x.t \Rightarrow \lambda x.t'} \quad \frac{t \Rightarrow t' \quad u \Rightarrow u'}{tu \Rightarrow t'u'}$$

3. Show that \Rightarrow is reflexive.
4. Show that \Rightarrow has the *diamond property*: $u_1 \leftarrow t \Rightarrow u_2$ implies that there exists v such that $u_1 \Rightarrow v \leftarrow u_2$.
5. Show that \Rightarrow is confluent.
6. Show that $\rightarrow \subseteq \Rightarrow \subseteq \rightarrow^*$. Provide counter-examples showing that these inclusions are strict.
7. Conclude that \rightarrow is confluent.

3 Termination of the simply typed λ -calculus

We recall the rules of the simply-typed λ -calculus:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : A \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : A}{\Gamma \vdash tu : B}$$

where, in the first rule, we suppose $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$. We want to show that every typable term t (in an arbitrary context) is *strongly normalizable*, meaning that there is no infinite reduction from t .

1. Can we show the property by induction on the derivation of the typing of t ?

In the course of the proof, will need the following *well-founded induction* principle.

2. Suppose given a set X equipped with a binary relation \rightarrow which is *well-founded*: there is no infinite sequence of reductions. Suppose given a property P on the elements of X such that, for every $t \in X$, we have

$$\forall t \in X. ((\forall t' \in X. t \rightarrow t' \Rightarrow P(t')) \Rightarrow P(t))$$

Show that $\forall t \in X. P(t)$ holds. How can we recover recurrence as a particular case of this?

We define $\mathcal{R}(A)$, the *reducible* terms of type A , by induction by

- $\mathcal{R}(A)$, for A atomic, is the set of strongly normalizable terms,
- $\mathcal{R}(A \Rightarrow B)$ is the set of terms t such that $tu \in \mathcal{R}(B)$ for every $u \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

A term is *neutral* when it is not an abstraction. We are going to show that following conditions hold:

- (CR1) if $t \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ then t is strongly normalizable,
- (CR2) if $t \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $t \rightarrow t'$ then $t' \in \mathcal{R}(A)$,
- (CR3) if t is neutral and for every t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ we have $t' \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ then $t \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

3. Show that these conditions imply that a variable x belongs to $\mathcal{R}(A)$ for every type A .
4. Show the conditions (CR1), (CR2) and (CR3) by induction on A .
5. Suppose that $t[u/x] \in \mathcal{R}(B)$ for every $u \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Show that $\lambda x.t \in \mathcal{R}(A \Rightarrow B)$.
6. Suppose that $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : A$ is derivable. Show that for all $u_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A_1), \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{R}(A_n)$, we have $t[u_1/x_1, \dots, u_n/x_n] \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.
7. Show that all typable terms are reducible.
8. Show that all typable terms are strongly normalizable.
9. Use this to show that typable terms are confluent.