

TD5 – Realizability

Samuel Mimram

24 November 2014

We write Λ for the set of λ -terms and Π for the set of *stacks* (sequences $t_1 \cdot t_2 \cdots t_n$ of λ -terms). *Processes* are elements (t, π) of $\Lambda \times \Pi$, often written $t \star \pi$.

1. Recall the definition of λ -terms, as well as reduction \succ for the Krivine machine (operating on processes).

An element of $\mathcal{P}(\Pi)$ is called a *truth value*. Suppose fixed a set \perp closed under anti-reduction. A term $t \in \Lambda$ *realizes* a truth value $U \in \Pi$, what we write $t \Vdash U$ when $\forall \pi \in U, t \star \pi \in \perp$.

2. We suppose fixed a set \mathcal{T} of *generators* of given arity and write \mathcal{T}^* for the set of generated terms. We also suppose fixed a set \mathcal{R} of propositions of given first-order and second-order arities. The syntax of second order formulas is

$$A ::= X \mid R(A_1, \dots, A_m, a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid A \Rightarrow B \mid \forall x.A \mid \forall X.A$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{T}^*$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Recall the rules of second order logic in natural deduction.

We define an interpretation $\llbracket A \rrbracket \in \mathcal{P}(\Pi)$ by induction on the formula A by

$$\llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket = \{t \cdot \pi \mid t \in \llbracket A \rrbracket, \pi \in \llbracket B \rrbracket\} \quad \llbracket \forall x.A \rrbracket = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{T}^*} \llbracket A[a/x] \rrbracket \quad \llbracket \forall X.A \rrbracket = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{P}(\Pi)} \llbracket A[V/X] \rrbracket$$

where $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \{t \in \Lambda \mid \forall \pi \in \llbracket A \rrbracket, t \star \pi \in \perp\}$ denotes the set of *realizers* of the formula A . Above, we have supposed fixed an interpretation of the first- and second-order free variables (by abuse of notation, given $V \in \mathcal{P}(\Pi)$, we still write V for a variable whose interpretation is V). We write $t \Vdash A$ when $t \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$ and say that t *realizes* A .

3. Show that $t \Vdash A \Rightarrow B$ and $u \Vdash A$ implies $tu \Vdash B$.
4. Show that if for every $u \in \Lambda$, $u \Vdash A$ implies $tu \Vdash B$, then $\lambda x.tx \Vdash A \Rightarrow B$.

We admit the *adequation lemma*: if $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : A$ is derivable and $\forall i, t_i \Vdash A_i$ then $t[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n] \Vdash A$.

5. Show that $\theta : \forall X.(X \Rightarrow X)$ implies that for every $(t, \pi) \in \Lambda \times \Pi$ we have $\theta \star t \cdot \pi \succ t \star \pi$ (use the closure by anti-reduction of $\{t \star \pi\}$ for \perp).
6. We write $\text{Bool}(x) = \forall X.X(0) \Rightarrow X(1) \Rightarrow X(x)$ (which is equivalent to $x = 0 \vee x = 1$). Show that $\vdash \theta : \text{Bool}(0)$ implies $\theta \star t \cdot u \cdot \pi \succ t \star \pi$. And similarly for $\vdash \theta : \text{Bool}(1)$.
7. We write $\exists x.A$ for the formula $\forall X.(\forall x.(A \Rightarrow X)) \Rightarrow X$. What is its interpretation? What is the interpretation of $\exists x.\text{Bool}(x)$ if we suppose that $\mathcal{T}^* = \{0, 1\}$?
8. Define similarly the formulas $A \wedge B$ and $A \vee B$, \perp and $\neg A$. What is their interpretation?
9. Given $U, V \in \mathcal{P}(\Pi)$, we write $U \leq V$ when there exists θ such that $\theta \Vdash U \Rightarrow V$. Show that \leq is a preorder and $(\mathcal{P}(\Pi), \leq)$ a boolean algebra. Which one is it when $\perp = \emptyset$?
10. Prove the adequation lemma.