The Deuring Correspondence in isogeny-based cryptography: SQISign and new isogeny problems.

Antonin Leroux

International Workshop on Post-Quantum Cryptography, 11/12/2021

DGA, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Inria Saclay

 [Yoo+17] Digital Signature: Based on SIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, slow.

Yoo et al. "A post-quantum digital signature scheme based on supersingular isogenies"

- [Yoo+17] Digital Signature: Based on SIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, slow.
- [GPS17] GPS signature: Based on quaternions \Rightarrow weaker assumption,

Multiple rounds \Rightarrow long sig, no implem.

Galbraith, Petit, and Silva "Identification Protocols and Signature Schemes Based on Supersingular Isogeny Problems"

- [Yoo+17] Digital Signature: Based on SIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, slow.
- [GPS17] GPS signature: Based on quaternions ⇒ weaker assumption,
 Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, no implem.
- [DG19] SeaSign: Based on CSIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ slow, size tradeoffs.

De Feo and Galbraith "SeaSign: Compact isogeny signatures from class group actions"

- [Yoo+17] Digital Signature: Based on SIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, slow.
- [GPS17] GPS signature: Based on quaternions ⇒ weaker assumption,
 Multiple rounds ⇒ long sig, no implem.
- [DG19] SeaSign: Based on CSIDH, Multiple rounds ⇒ slow, size tradeoffs.
- [BKV19] CSI-FiSh: Based on CSIDH + precomp. ⇒ bad scaling, similar to SeaSign with improved efficiency and sizes.

Beullens, Kleinjung, and Vercauteren "CSI-FiSh: Efficient isogeny based signatures through class group computations"

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence.

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence. Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3 candidate).

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence. Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3 candidate).

Secret Key (bytes)	Public Key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence. Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3 candidate).

Secret Key (bytes)	Public Key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1

Efficient verification and reasonably efficient signature.

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence. Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3 candidate).

Secret Key (bytes)	Public Key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1

Efficient verification and reasonably efficient signature.

	Keygen	Sign	Verify
ms	575	2,279	42

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

Signature:¹ one round, high soundness from Deuring Correspondence. Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3 candidate).

Secret Key (bytes)	Public Key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1

Efficient verification and reasonably efficient signature.

	Keygen	Sign	Verify
ms	575	2,279	42

New security assumption.

¹"SQISign: Compact Post-Quantum Signatures from Isogenies and Quaternions", L. De Feo, D. Kohel, **A. Leroux**, C. Petit and B. Wesolowski, ASIACRYPT 2020

The Deuring Correspondence

$$H(a,b) = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} + j\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}$$
 with $i^2 = a, j^2 = b$

²similary for the **right order** $\mathcal{O}_R(I)$

$$H(a,b) = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} + j\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}$$
 with $i^2 = a, j^2 = b$

Fractional ideals are \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank 4 inside H(a, b)

 $I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_2 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_3 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_4 \mathbb{Z}$

The **Reduced norm** $n(I) = \{ gcd(n(\alpha)), \alpha \in I \}$

²similary for the **right order** $\mathcal{O}_R(I)$

 $H(a,b) = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} + j\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}$ with $i^2 = a, j^2 = b$

Fractional ideals are \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank 4 inside H(a, b)

 $I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_2 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_3 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_4 \mathbb{Z}$

The **Reduced norm** $n(I) = \{ gcd(n(\alpha)), \alpha \in I \}$

An order \mathcal{O} is an *ideal* which is also a ring, it is **maximal** when not contained in another order.

²similary for the **right order** $\mathcal{O}_R(I)$

 $H(a,b) = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} + j\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}$ with $i^2 = a, j^2 = b$

Fractional ideals are \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank 4 inside H(a, b)

 $I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_2 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_3 \mathbb{Z} + \alpha_4 \mathbb{Z}$

The **Reduced norm** $n(I) = \{ gcd(n(\alpha)), \alpha \in I \}$

An order \mathcal{O} is an *ideal* which is also a ring, it is **maximal** when not contained in another order.

The (maximal) left order² $\mathcal{O}_L(I)$ of an *ideal* is

 $\mathcal{O}_L(I) = \{ \alpha \in H(a, b), \alpha I \subset I \}$

²similary for the **right order** $\mathcal{O}_R(I)$

The Deuring Correspondence

Supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}	Maximal Orders in \mathcal{A}_{p}
E	$\mathcal{O}\cong End(E)$
Isogeny with $\varphi: E \to E_1$	Ideal I_{φ} left \mathcal{O} -ideal
	and right \mathcal{O}_1 -ideal
Degree deg(φ)	Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

The Deuring Correspondence

Supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}	Maximal Orders in \mathcal{A}_{p}
E	$\mathcal{O}\cong End(E)$
Isogeny with $\varphi: E \to E_1$	Ideal I_{φ} left \mathcal{O} -ideal
	and right \mathcal{O}_1 -ideal
Degree deg(φ)	Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

Example : $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $A_p = H(-1, -p)$.

Supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}	Maximal Orders in \mathcal{A}_{p}
E	$\mathcal{O}\cong End(E)$
Isogeny with $\varphi: E \to E_1$	Ideal I_{φ} left \mathcal{O} -ideal
	and right \mathcal{O}_1 -ideal
Degree deg(φ)	Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

Example : $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $A_p = H(-1, -p)$.

$$E_0: y^2 = x^3 + x$$
$$\mathsf{End}(E_0) = \langle 1, \iota, \frac{\iota + \pi}{2}, \frac{1 + \iota \pi}{2} \rangle \cong \langle 1, i, \frac{i + j}{2}, \frac{1 + k}{2} \rangle$$

Supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}	Maximal Orders in \mathcal{A}_p
E	$\mathcal{O} \cong End(E)$
Isogeny with $\varphi: E \to E_1$	Ideal I_{φ} left \mathcal{O} -ideal
	and right \mathcal{O}_1 -ideal
Degree deg (φ)	Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

Example : $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $A_p = H(-1, -p)$.

$$E_0: y^2 = x^3 + x$$

$$\mathsf{End}(E_0) = \langle 1, \iota, \frac{\iota + \pi}{2}, \frac{1 + \iota \pi}{2} \rangle \cong \langle 1, i, \frac{i + j}{2}, \frac{1 + k}{2} \rangle$$

 $\pi: (x, y) \mapsto (x^{p}, y^{p})$ is the Frobenius

Supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}	Maximal Orders in \mathcal{A}_{p}
E	$\mathcal{O}\cong End(E)$
Isogeny with $\varphi: E \to E_1$	Ideal I_{φ} left \mathcal{O} -ideal
	and right \mathcal{O}_1 -ideal
Degree deg(φ)	Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

Example : $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $\mathcal{A}_p = H(-1, -p)$.

$$E_0: y^2 = x^3 + x$$

$$\mathsf{End}(E_0) = \langle 1, \iota, \frac{\iota + \pi}{2}, \frac{1 + \iota \pi}{2} \rangle \cong \langle 1, i, \frac{i + j}{2}, \frac{1 + k}{2} \rangle$$

 $\pi : (x, y) \mapsto (x^p, y^p)$ is the Frobenius $\iota : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, \sqrt{-1}y)$ is the twisting automorphism of E_0 . **Supersingular** ℓ -**Isogeny Problem**: Given a prime p and two supersingular curves E_1 and E_2 over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute an ℓ^e -isogeny $\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$ for $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Supersingular ℓ -**Isogeny Problem**: Given a prime p and two supersingular curves E_1 and E_2 over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute an ℓ^e -isogeny $\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$ for $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

 \updownarrow

Quaternion ℓ -Isogeny Path Problem: Given a prime number p, two maximal orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ of \mathcal{A}_p , find an ideal J of norm ℓ^e for $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $\mathcal{O}_L(J) \cong \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_R(J) \cong \mathcal{O}_2.$

Supersingular ℓ -**Isogeny Problem**: Given a prime p and two supersingular curves E_1 and E_2 over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute an ℓ^e -isogeny $\varphi: E_1 \to E_2$ for $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

 \updownarrow

Quaternion ℓ -Isogeny Path Problem: Given a prime number p, two maximal orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ of \mathcal{A}_p , find an ideal J of norm ℓ^e for $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $\mathcal{O}_L(J) \cong \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_R(J) \cong \mathcal{O}_2.$

[Koh+14]: *heuristic polynomial* time algorithm KLPT for quaternion path problem.

Kohel et al. "On the quaternion *l*-isogeny path problem"

Algorithmic summary of effective Deuring Correspondence

Problems with X are hard, \checkmark are easy. All \checkmark are obtained using KLPT.

Algorithmic summary of effective Deuring Correspondence

Problems with X are hard, \checkmark are easy. All \checkmark are obtained using KLPT.

Algorithmic summary of effective Deuring Correspondence

Problems with X are hard, ✓ are easy. All ✓ are obtained using KLPT.

 $E \to \mathcal{O} \not$ $\checkmark \qquad \mathcal{O} \to E \checkmark$

 $\varphi \to I_{\varphi} \quad \mathbf{X} \qquad \qquad I_{\varphi} \to \varphi \quad \mathbf{V}$

 $E_1, E_2 \to \varphi \quad \mathbf{X} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{V}$

[Eis+18; Wes22]: use KLPT to prove *polynomial* time reduction from supersingular ℓ -isogeny problem to :

Endomorphism Ring Problem: Given a supersingular elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute its endomorphism ring.

Eisenträger et al. "Supersingular Isogeny Graphs and Endomorphism Rings: Reductions and Solutions" and Wesolowski "The supersingular isogeny path and endomorphism ring problems are equivalent" Proof of Knowledge of Endomorphism Ring

Use KLPT to prove knowledge of endomorphism ring?

Use KLPT to prove knowledge of endomorphism ring?

First attempt: GPS Signature in 2017, derived from 2-special sound *identification protocol*.

Use KLPT to prove knowledge of endomorphism ring?

First attempt: GPS Signature in 2017, derived from 2-special sound *identification protocol*.

SQISign contributions:

- A new generic KLPT algorithm to reach high soundness.
- New algorithmic tools to make the scheme **practical**.

Galbraith, Petit, and Silva "Identification Protocols and Signature Schemes Based on Supersingular Isogeny Problems"

[GPS17]: A 2-special sound identification protocol.

[GPS17]: A 2-special sound identification protocol.

Prover wants to demonstrate knowledge of $End(E_A)$ for public key E_A . E_0 is a **public** special curve. [GPS17]: A 2-special sound identification protocol.

Prover wants to demonstrate knowledge of $End(E_A)$ for public key E_A . E_0 is a **public** special curve.










Prover wants to demonstrate knowledge of $End(E_A)$ for public key E_A . E_0 is a **public** special curve.



Repeat this λ times to reach 2^{λ} -bits of soundness.











Prover wants to demonstrate knowledge of $End(E_A)$ for public key E_A . E_0 is a **public** special curve.



Probability to cheat without knowledge of $End(E_A)$: $O(\frac{1}{\deg \varphi})$.

Soundness: Given *two* valid transcripts for *two* different challenges for the *same* commitment, some knowledge is revealed on the secret key.

Soundness: Given *two* valid transcripts for *two* different challenges for the *same* commitment, some knowledge is revealed on the secret key.



Soundness: Given *two* valid transcripts for *two* different challenges for the *same* commitment, some knowledge is revealed on the secret key.



Soundness: Given *two* valid transcripts for *two* different challenges for the *same* commitment, some knowledge is revealed on the secret key.



Smooth Endomorphism Problem: Given a supersingular elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute a non-trivial endomorphism $\theta \in End(E)$ of smooth norm.

Soundness: Given *two* valid transcripts for *two* different challenges for the *same* commitment, some knowledge is revealed on the secret key.



Smooth Endomorphism Problem: Given a supersingular elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , compute a non-trivial endomorphism $\theta \in End(E)$ of smooth norm.

[Eis+18]: prove *heuristic polynomial* reduction to the **Endomorphism Ring Problem**.





Show that σ is a random isogeny \Rightarrow depends on the alg. to compute σ .



Show that σ is a random isogeny \Rightarrow depends on the alg. to compute σ . Solution from [Koh+14]: σ reveal a path to E_0 .



Show that σ is a random isogeny \Rightarrow depends on the alg. to compute σ . Solution from [Koh+14]: σ reveal a path to E_0 .

We propose a new SigningKLPT algorithm.

Lemma: Fix D as σ 's degree. There exists $\mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}$ a set of isogenies of degree D such that:

Lemma: Fix *D* as σ 's degree. There exists $\mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}$ a set of isogenies of degree *D* such that: SigningKLPT outputs an *uniform element* in $\{\rho, \rho = [\tau]_* \iota, \iota \in \mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}\}.$



Lemma: Fix *D* as σ 's degree. There exists $\mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}$ a set of isogenies of degree *D* such that: SigningKLPT outputs an *uniform element* in $\{\rho, \rho = [\tau]_* \iota, \iota \in \mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}\}.$



ZK reduces to the distinguishing problem between:

1. σ is uniformly random isogeny of degree D;

Lemma: Fix *D* as σ 's degree. There exists $\mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}$ a set of isogenies of degree *D* such that: SigningKLPT outputs an *uniform element* in $\{\rho, \rho = [\tau]_* \iota, \iota \in \mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}\}.$



ZK reduces to the distinguishing problem between:

- 1. σ is uniformly random isogeny of degree D;
- 2. σ is uniformly random in $[\tau]_* \mathcal{P}_{deg(\tau)}$.

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{deg}(\tau)}$ can be computed from $\mathsf{deg}(\tau)$ only and has exponential size.

The effective Deuring Correspondence: algorithmic challenges

[GPS17]: IdealToIsogeny : $J \mapsto \sigma$ polynomial alg. for degree D, domain E with E[D] and action of End(E) on this set. No implementation!

[GPS17]: IdealToIsogeny : $J \mapsto \sigma$ polynomial alg. for degree D, domain E with E[D] and action of End(E) on this set. No implementation!

We have $D \gg p^2$ and the kernel cannot be represented in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

[GPS17]: IdealToIsogeny : $J \mapsto \sigma$ polynomial alg. for degree D, domain E with E[D] and action of End(E) on this set. No implementation!

We have $D \gg p^2$ and the kernel cannot be represented in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Two solutions:

• Take D powersmooth $\rightarrow E[D]$ in \sim small extension ([GPS17]).

[GPS17]: IdealToIsogeny : $J \mapsto \sigma$ polynomial alg. for degree D, domain E with E[D] and action of End(E) on this set. No implementation!

We have $D \gg p^2$ and the kernel cannot be represented in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Two solutions:

- Take D powersmooth $\rightarrow E[D]$ in \sim small extension ([GPS17]).
- Take D = l^f and split σ in smaller isogenies of degree l^e and apply IdealToIsogeny for each (SQISign).

New Pb: for generic E of known End(E), hard to evaluate End(E)...

For efficient signature: need a prime p such that $p^2 - 1$ is divided by $2^e T$ with odd smooth T satisfying $T^2 \sim p^3$.

For efficient signature: need a prime p such that $p^2 - 1$ is divided by $2^e T$ with odd smooth T satisfying $T^2 \sim p^3$.

We found a 256 bits prime p with e = 33, f = 1000 and 2^{13} -smooth integer of 395 bits:

$$T = 5^{21} \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 83 \cdot 107 \cdot 137 \cdot 751 \cdot 827 \cdot 3691 \cdot 4019 \cdot 6983$$
$$3^{53} \cdot 43 \cdot 103 \cdot 109 \cdot 199 \cdot 227 \cdot 419 \cdot 491 \cdot 569 \cdot 631 \cdot 677 \cdot 857 \cdot 859$$
$$883 \cdot 1019 \cdot 2713 \cdot 4283$$

For efficient signature: need a prime p such that $p^2 - 1$ is divided by $2^e T$ with odd smooth T satisfying $T^2 \sim p^3$.

We found a 256 bits prime p with e = 33, f = 1000 and 2^{13} -smooth integer of 395 bits:

$$T = 5^{21} \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 83 \cdot 107 \cdot 137 \cdot 751 \cdot 827 \cdot 3691 \cdot 4019 \cdot 6983$$
$$3^{53} \cdot 43 \cdot 103 \cdot 109 \cdot 199 \cdot 227 \cdot 419 \cdot 491 \cdot 569 \cdot 631 \cdot 677 \cdot 857 \cdot 859$$
$$883 \cdot 1019 \cdot 2713 \cdot 4283$$

Bottleneck of the signature: $\Theta(f/e)$ *T*-isogeny computations .

What now?
• Improve the KLPT algorithm and ideal to isogeny translation mechanism.

- Improve the KLPT algorithm and ideal to isogeny translation mechanism.
- Study the new ZK assumption.

- Improve the KLPT algorithm and ideal to isogeny translation mechanism.
- Study the new ZK assumption.
- "SETA: Supersingular Encryption from Torsion Attacks", DDFKLPSW, ASIACRYPT 2021

- Improve the KLPT algorithm and ideal to isogeny translation mechanism.
- Study the new ZK assumption.
- "SETA: Supersingular Encryption from Torsion Attacks", DDFKLPSW, ASIACRYPT 2021
- "A New Isogeny Representation and Applications to Cryptography", L (preprint).