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## Link with Logjam attack (N. Heninger's talk)

Solving actual practical problem:
Given a fixed finite field GF(q),


- Logjam: $\operatorname{GF}(q)=\operatorname{GF}(p)$ (standardized) prime field
- Pairing-based cryptosystems: $\mathrm{GF}(q)=\mathrm{GF}\left(p^{2}\right), \mathrm{GF}\left(p^{6}\right), \mathrm{GF}\left(p^{12}\right)$

Could we compute individual discrete logs in $\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{2}\right), \operatorname{GF}\left(p^{6}\right), \operatorname{GF}\left(p^{12}\right)$ in less than 1 min ?

## DLP in the target group of pairing-friendly curves

## Why DLP in finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}, \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}, \ldots$ ?

In a subgroup $\mathbb{G}=\langle g\rangle$ of order $\ell$,

- $(g, x) \mapsto g^{x}$ is easy (polynomial time)
- $\left(g, g^{x}\right) \mapsto x$ is (in well-chosen subgroup) hard: DLP.

- where $E / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a pairing-friendly curve
- $\mathbb{G}_{1}, \mathbb{G}_{2}, \mathbb{G}_{T}$ of large prime order $\ell$ (generic attacks in $O(\sqrt{\ell})$ : take e.g. 256-bit $\ell$ )
- $1 \leq k \leq 12$ embedding degree: very specific property (specific attacks (NFS): take 3072-bit $p^{k}$ )


## DL records in small characteristic

## X Small characteristic:

- supersingular curves $E / \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: \mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^{4 n}}, E / \mathbb{F}_{3^{m}}: \mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{3^{6 m}}$

Practical attacks (first one and most recent):

- Hayashi, Shimoyama, Shinohara, Takagi: GF(3 $3^{6.97}$ ) ( 923 bit field) (2012)
- Granger, Kleinjung, Zumbragel: GF( $\left.2^{9234}\right)$, $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4404}\right)(2014)$
- Adj, Menezes, Oliveira, Rodríguez-Henríquez: GF( $3^{822}$ ) $\operatorname{GF}\left(3^{978}\right)$ (2014)
- Joux: GF( $\left(2^{2395}\right)$ (with Pierrot, 2014), GF( $\left.2^{6168}\right)$ (2013)

Theoretical attacks:

- [Barbulescu Gaudry Joux Thomé 14] Quasi-Polynomial-time Algorithm (QPA)


## Common used pairing-friendly curves

$\checkmark$ Curves over prime fields $E / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ where QPA does NOT apply (with $\log p \geq \log \ell \approx 256$ bits, s.t. $k \log p \geq 3072$ )

- supersingular: $\mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}(\log p=1536)$
- [Miyaji Nakabayashi Takano 01] (MNT): $\mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ $(\log p=1024), \mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}(\log p=768), \mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}(\log p=512)$
- [Barreto Naehrig 05] (BN): $\mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{12}}(\log p=256$, optimal)
- [Kachisa Schaefer Scott 08] (KSS): $\mathbb{G}_{T} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{18}}$ (used for 192-bit security level: 384-bit $\ell, \log p=512, k \log p=9216)$


## Theoretical attacks in non-small characteristic fields

Variants of NFS, generic fields

- MNFS [Coppersmith 89]: $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, [Barbulescu Pierrot 14], [Pierrot 15]: $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$
Specific to pairing target groups, when $p=P\left(x_{0}\right)$, with $\operatorname{deg} P \geq 2$
- [Joux Pierrot 13]
- [Barbulescu Gaudry Kleinjung 15] Tower NFS
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Variants of NFS, generic fields

- MNFS [Coppersmith 89]: $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, [Barbulescu Pierrot 14], [Pierrot 15]: $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$
Specific to pairing target groups, when $p=P\left(x_{0}\right)$, with $\operatorname{deg} P \geq 2$
- [Joux Pierrot 13]
- [Barbulescu Gaudry Kleinjung 15] Tower NFS

These attacks were not taken into account in the 3072-bit target field recommendation.

## Last DL records, with the NFS-DL algorithm

| $\operatorname{GF}(p)$ | $\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{\prime 2}\right), p^{\prime 2}=q$ [BGGM15] |
| :--- | :--- |

Massive precomputation ( $\mathrm{d}=$ core-day, $\mathrm{y}=$ core-year)
[Logjam] 512-bit p: 10y $\quad$ 530-bit q: 0.2y +1.25 GPU d
[BGIJT14] 596-bit $p: 131 \mathrm{y}$ 598-bit $q: 0.75 \mathrm{y}+18$ GPU-d
$175 \times$ faster
Individual Discrete Log

| 512 -bit $p: 70$ s median $\checkmark$ | 530 -bit $q:$ few d |
| :---: | :--- |
| slow |  |
| slow |  |

[Logjam]: see weakdh.org
[BGGM15]: Barbulescu, Gaudry, G., Morain
[BGIJT14]: Bouvier, Gaudry, Imbert, Jeljeli, Thomé

This talk:

- Faster individual discrete logarithm in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, especially $k=2,3,4,6$
- Apply to pairing target group $\mathbb{G}_{T}$
- source code: part of http://cado-nfs.gforge.inria.fr/


## NFS - Number Field Sieve algorithm
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## Number Field Sieve algorithm for DL in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$

Polynomial selection:
compute $f(x), g(x)$ with
1.
$\varphi=\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)(\bmod p)$ and
$\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /(\varphi(x))$
2. Relation collection
3. Linear algebra modulo $\ell \mid p^{k}-1$ )
$\rightarrow$ here we know the discrete log of a subset of elements.

| $\log \mathrm{DB}$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| $p_{i}<B_{0}$ |

1. Individual target discrete logarithm for each given DLP instance

- not so trivial
- this talk: pratical improvements very efficient for small $k$


## Example: [MNT01] parameters (explicitly advised to NOT use them)

Polynomial selection: Conjugation method [BGGM15]

- $k=3, p=12 y_{0}^{2}+1, t=-6 y_{0}-1, \ell \mid p+1-t=12 y_{0}^{2}+6 y_{0}+2$, with $y_{0}=-8702303353090049898316902$
- $f=12 x^{6}-24 x^{5}-85 x^{4}+70 x^{3}+215 x^{2}+96 x+12$
- $\varphi_{y}=g=x^{3}-y x^{2}-(y+3) x-1$, where $y=y_{0}+1$ ( $\varphi_{y_{0}}$ not irr.) $=x^{3}+8702303353090049898316901 x^{2}+8702303353090049898316898 x-1$
- $f(\bmod p)=12 \varphi_{y} \varphi_{-y}=\operatorname{Res}_{y}\left(\varphi_{y}, 12 y^{2}+1\right)$

$$
G=X+6 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}^{*}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(\varphi(X))
$$

randomized target $T=t_{0}+t_{1} X+t_{2} X^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}^{*}$

## Preimage in $\mathbb{Z}[x] /(f(x))$ and $\rho$ map

randomized target $T=t_{0}+t_{1} X+t_{2} X^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}^{*}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(\varphi(X))$
Most simple preimage $\mathbf{T}$ choice:
$\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{0}}+\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{1}} x+\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}} x^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x] /(f(x))$, with $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}} \equiv t_{i}(\bmod p)$.
We can always choose $\mathbf{T}$ s.t.

- $\left|\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}}\right|<p$
- $\operatorname{deg} \mathbf{T}<\operatorname{deg} f$
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We need $\rho(\mathbf{T})=T$ (where $\rho$ is simply a reduction modulo $(\varphi, p)$ ) when $f$ (resp. $g$ ) is monic
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## Booting Step

## Norm computation

$f$ monic,

$$
\mathbf{T}=t_{0}+t_{1} x+\ldots+t_{d} x^{d} \in \mathbb{Z}[x] /(f(x)), d<\operatorname{deg} f:
$$

$$
\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(\mathbf{T})=\operatorname{Res}(f, \mathbf{T}) \leq A\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\|f\|_{\infty}^{d}
$$

with $\|f\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{deg} f}\left|f_{i}\right|$
Example: [MNT01], $k=3, \operatorname{deg} g=3,\|g\|_{\infty}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)$

$$
p=908761003790427908077548955758380356675829026531247
$$

$\mathbf{T}=314159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510+$ $582097494459230781640628620899862803482534211706798 x+$ $214808651328230664709384460955058223172535940812829 x^{2}$
$f=12 x^{6}-24 x^{5}-85 x^{4}+70 x^{3}+215 x^{2}+96 x+12$
$g=x^{3}+8702303353090049898316901 x^{2}+8702303353090049898316898 x-1$
$\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(\mathbf{T})\left(\approx\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}^{6}\|f\|_{\infty}^{2}\right)=1017$ bits $\sim p^{6}$
$\operatorname{Norm}_{g}(\mathbf{T})\left(\approx\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}^{3}\|g\|_{\infty}^{2}\right)=665$ bits $\sim p^{4}$

## Booting step complexity

Given random target $T_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}^{*}$, and $G$ a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}^{*}$ repeat

1. take $t$ at random in $\{1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ and set $T=g^{t} T_{0}$
2. factorize $\operatorname{Norm}(\mathbf{T})$
until it is $B_{1}$-smooth: $\operatorname{Norm}(\mathbf{T})=\prod_{q_{i} \leq B_{1}} q_{i} \prod_{p_{i} \leq B_{0}} p_{i}$
$L$-notation: $Q=p^{k}, L_{Q}[1 / 3, \mathbf{c}]=\mathrm{e}^{(\mathbf{c}+o(1))(\log Q)^{1 / 3}(\log \log Q)^{2 / 3}}$ for $\mathbf{c}>0$.
Norm factorization done with ECM method, in time $L_{B_{1}}[1 / 2, \sqrt{2}]$
Lemma (Booting step running-time)
if $\operatorname{Norm}(\mathbf{T}) \leq Q^{e}$, take $B_{1}=L_{Q}\left[2 / 3,\left(e^{2} / 3\right)^{1 / 3}\right]$, then the running-time is $L_{Q}\left[1 / 3,(3 e)^{1 / 3}\right]$ (and this is optimal).

## Booting step complexity

- $\mathbb{F}_{p}: \operatorname{Norm}($ preimage $) \leq p=Q$, running-time: $L_{Q}[1 / 3,1.44]$ with $B_{1}=L_{Q}[\mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3}, 0.69]$ [Commeine Semaev 06, Barbulescu 13]
- med. char. $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}, J L S V 1$ poly. select.: $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g=k$, $\|f\|_{\infty}=\|g\|_{\infty}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)$, Norm(preimage) $\leq Q^{3 / 2}$, running-time: $L_{Q}[1 / 3,1.65]$, with $B_{1}=L_{Q}[\mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3}, 0.91]$ [Joux Lercier Naccache Thomé 09, Barbulescu Pierrot 14]

| field | $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| polynomial selec. |  | gJL | $\mathrm{JLSV}_{1}$ | Conj |
| NFS dominating, $c$ | 1.92 | 1.92 | 2.42 | 2.20 |
| $L_{Q}\left[\frac{1}{3}, c\right], 512$-bit $Q$ | $2^{64}$ | $2^{64}$ | $2^{81}$ | $2^{73}$ |
| Norm(T) $)<Q^{e}=$ | $Q$ | $Q$ | $Q^{3 / 2}$ | $Q$ |
| time $L_{Q}[1 / 3, c], c$ | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.65 | 1.44 |
| nb of operations, 512 -bit $Q$ | $2^{48}$ | $2^{48}$ | $2^{55}$ | $2^{48}$ |
| $q_{i}$ bound $B_{1}$ | $2^{90}$ | $2^{90}$ | $2^{118}$ | $2^{90}$ |

## Optimizing the Preimage Computation

## Preimage optimization

$f, \operatorname{deg} f,\|f\|_{\infty}, g, \operatorname{deg} g,\|g\|_{\infty}$ are given by the polynomial selection step (NFS-DL step 1)

To reduce the norm,

- reduce $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}$
- and/or reduce $d=\operatorname{deg} \mathbf{T}$


## Previous work

- $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ : Rational Reconstruction. $T \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{T}$ is an integer $<p$. Rational Reconstruction gives $\mathbf{T}=u / v(\bmod p)$ with $u, v<\sqrt{p}$
- booting step: we want $u, v$ to be $B_{1}$-smooth at the same time, instead of $\mathbf{T}$ to be $B_{1}$-smooth. $\mathbf{T}$ is already split in two integers of half size each.
- [Blake Mullin Vanstone 84] Waterloo algorithm in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$ :
$\mathbf{T}=U / V=\frac{u_{0}+\ldots+u_{\left\lfloor d / 2 x^{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor}\right.}}{v_{0}+\ldots+v_{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor} x^{[d / 2\rfloor}}$ reduce degree
- [Joux Lercier Smart Vercauteren 06] in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}: \mathbf{T}=U / V=\frac{u_{0}+\ldots+u_{d} X^{d}}{v_{0}+\ldots+v_{d} X^{d}}$, where $\left|u_{i}\right|,\left|v_{i}\right| \sim p^{1 / 2}$ reduce coefficient size
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How much is the booting step improved?

## Booting step: First experiments

Commonly assumed: launch at morning coffee ... finished for afternoon tea.

- $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}} 600$ bits was easy (BGGM15 record), as fast as for $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\prime}}(<$ one day)
- $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}} 400$ bits and MNT 508 bits were much slower (days, week)
- $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}} 400$ bits was even worse ( $>$ one week)

What happened?

- $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}:\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}=p, \operatorname{deg} f=6,[J L S V 06]$ method: $\operatorname{Norm}(\mathbf{T}) \leq Q \rightarrow$ $c=1.44$ (but still much slower)
- $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}:\|f\|_{\infty}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right), \operatorname{Norm}(\mathbf{T}) \leq Q^{3 / 2} \rightarrow c=1.65$
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Because of the constant hidden in the $O()$ ?

## Our solution

## Lemma
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$\log (T)=\log (u \cdot T)(\bmod \ell)$ for any $u$ in a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.

## Our solution

## Lemma

Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.
$\log (T)=\log (u \cdot T)(\bmod \ell)$ for any $u$ in a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.

- $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$
- target $T=t_{0}+t_{1} x+\ldots+t_{d} x^{d}$
- we divide the target by its leading term:
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\log (T)=\log \left(T / t_{d}\right) \quad(\bmod \ell)
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From now we assume that the target is monic.

## Our solution

## Lemma

Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.
$\log (T)=\log (u \cdot T)(\bmod \ell)$ for any $u$ in a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.

- $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$
- target $T=t_{0}+t_{1} x+\ldots+t_{d} X^{d}$
- we divide the target by its leading term:

$$
\log (T)=\log \left(T / t_{d}\right) \quad(\bmod \ell)
$$

From now we assume that the target is monic.
Similar technique in pairing computation: Miller loop denominator elimination [Boneh Kim Lynn Scott 02]

## Subfield Simplification + LLL

We want to reduce $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}$. Example with $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ :

- $f=x^{6}+19 x^{5}+90 x^{4}+95 x^{3}+10 x^{2}-13 x+1$
- $\varphi=x^{3}-y x^{2}-(y+3) x-1 y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathbf{T}=t_{0}+t_{1} x+x^{2}$
- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1\end{array}\right]$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$ outputs a short vector $r$, linear combination of $L$ 's rows.
$r=\lambda_{0} p+\lambda_{1} p x+\lambda_{2} T+\lambda_{3} \varphi+\lambda_{4} x \varphi+\lambda_{5} x^{2} \varphi$.
$r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{5} x^{5},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 6}=O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)$


## Subfield Simplification + LLL

We want to reduce $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}$. Example with $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ :

- $f=x^{6}+19 x^{5}+90 x^{4}+95 x^{3}+10 x^{2}-13 x+1$
- $\varphi=x^{3}-y x^{2}-(y+3) x-1 y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathbf{T}=t_{0}+t_{1} x+x^{2}$
- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1\end{array}\right]$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$ outputs a short vector $r$, linear combination of $L$ 's rows.
$r=\lambda_{0} p+\lambda_{1} p x+\lambda_{2} T+\lambda_{3} \varphi+\lambda_{4} x \varphi+\lambda_{5} x^{2} \varphi$.
$r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{5} x^{5},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 6}=O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)$
- $\log \rho(r)=\log (T)(\bmod \ell)$
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We want to reduce $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}$. Example with $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ :

- $f=x^{6}+19 x^{5}+90 x^{4}+95 x^{3}+10 x^{2}-13 x+1$
- $\varphi=x^{3}-y x^{2}-(y+3) x-1 y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathbf{T}=t_{0}+t_{1} x+x^{2}$
- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \rho(p)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}} \\ & T \\ & \rho(\varphi)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}\end{aligned}$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$ outputs a short vector $r$, linear combination of $L$ 's rows.
$r=\lambda_{0} p+\lambda_{1} p x+\lambda_{2} T+\lambda_{3} \varphi+\lambda_{4} x \varphi+\lambda_{5} x^{2} \varphi$.
$r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{5} x^{5},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 6}=O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)$
- $\log \rho(r)=\log (T)(\bmod \ell)$


## Subfield Simplification + LLL

We want to reduce $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}$. Example with $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ :

- $f=x^{6}+19 x^{5}+90 x^{4}+95 x^{3}+10 x^{2}-13 x+1$
- $\varphi=x^{3}-y x^{2}-(y+3) x-1 y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathbf{T}=t_{0}+t_{1} x+x^{2}$
- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_{0} & \varphi_{1} & \varphi_{2} & 1\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \rho(p)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}} \\ & T \\ & \rho(\varphi)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}\end{aligned}$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$ outputs a short vector $r$, linear combination of $L$ 's rows.
$r=\lambda_{0} p+\lambda_{1} p x+\lambda_{2} T+\lambda_{3} \varphi+\lambda_{4} x \varphi+\lambda_{5} x^{2} \varphi$. $r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{5} x^{5},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 6}=O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)$
- $\log \rho(r)=\log (T)(\bmod \ell)$ because $\rho(r)=\lambda_{2} T$ with $\lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$


## Subfield Simplification + LLL

$$
\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(\mathbf{T})=\operatorname{Res}(f, \mathbf{T}) \leq A\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\infty}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\|f\|_{\infty}^{d}
$$

- $\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(r) \leq\|r\|_{\infty}^{6}\|f\|_{\infty}^{5}=O\left(p^{2}\right)=O\left(Q^{2 / 3}\right)<O(Q)$

MNT example: $\log Q=508$ bits

|  | $\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(\mathbf{T})$ |  | $\operatorname{Norm}_{g}(\mathbf{T})$ |  | $L_{Q}[1 / 3, c]$ |  | $q_{i} \leq B_{1}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Q^{e}$ | bits | $Q^{e}$ | bits | $c$ | time | $L_{Q}\left[\frac{2}{3}, c\right]$ |
| Nothing | $Q^{2}$ | 1010 | $Q^{4 / 3}$ | 667 | 1.58 | $2^{53}$ | $2^{109}$ |
| $[J L S V 06]$ | $Q$ | 508 | $Q^{5 / 3}$ | 847 | 1.44 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{90}$ |
| This work | $\mathbf{Q}^{2 / 3}$ | 340 | $Q$ | 508 | $\mathbf{Q} .26$ | $2^{42}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{69}$ |

## $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}: \mathrm{JLSV}_{1}$ polynomial selection and booting step improvement

## $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}$ of 400 bits

[JLSV06] first method: choose $f$ of degree 4 and very small coefficients, and set $g=f+p$. Booting step on $f$ side, with the $\mathbf{T}=U / V$ method.

## $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}$ of 400 bits

[JLSV06] first method: choose $f$ of degree 4 and very small coefficients, and set $g=f+p$. Booting step on $f$ side, with the $\mathbf{T}=U / V$ method.

Relation collection and Linear algebra do not scale well for large $p$

## $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}$ of 400 bits

[JLSV06] first method: choose $f$ of degree 4 and very small coefficients, and set $g=f+p$. Booting step on $f$ side, with the $\mathbf{T}=U / V$ method.

Relation collection and Linear algebra do not scale well for large p
We use JLSV06 other method: $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g=k,\|f\|_{\infty}=\|g\|_{\infty}=p^{1 / 2}$
$p=314159265358979323846270891033$ of 98 bits ( 30 dd )
$\ell=9869604401089358618834902718477057428144064232778775980709$ of 192 bits
$f=x^{4}-560499121640472 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+560499121640472 x+1$
$g=560499121639105 x^{4}+4898685125033473 x^{3}-3362994729834630 x^{2}$
$-4898685125033473 x+560499121639105$
$\varphi=g$

Terribly slow booting step (more than one week)

## Terribly slow booting step

- $T=t_{0}+t_{1} x+t_{2} x^{2}+x^{3}$
- define
$L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & 1\end{array}\right]$
- $\operatorname{dim} 4$ because $\max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)=4$
- compute $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$, get $r,\|r\|_{\infty} \approx p^{3 / 4}$, $\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(r) \approx\|r\|_{\infty}^{4}\|f\|_{\infty}^{3} \approx p^{9 / 2}=Q^{9 / 8}$ of 450 bits!
- Booting step, nb of operations: $2^{44}$
- Large prime bound $B_{1}$ of 82 bits


## Terribly slow booting step

- $T=t_{0}+t_{1} x+t_{2} x^{2}+x^{3}$
- define
$L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & 1\end{array}\right] \leftarrow$ could we find something else, monic?
- $\operatorname{dim} 4$ because $\max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)=4$
- compute $\operatorname{LLL}(L)$, get $r,\|r\|_{\infty} \approx p^{3 / 4}$, $\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(r) \approx\|r\|_{\infty}^{4}\|f\|_{\infty}^{3} \approx p^{9 / 2}=Q^{9 / 8}$ of 450 bits!
- Booting step, nb of operations: $2^{44}$
- Large prime bound $B_{1}$ of 82 bits


## Our solution: quadratic subfield simplification

Lemma
Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}, k$ even. We can always find $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, such that $T^{\prime}=u \cdot T$ and $T^{\prime}$ is of degree $k-2$ instead of $k-1$.

## Our solution: quadratic subfield simplification

## Lemma

Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}, k$ even. We can always find $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, such that $T^{\prime}=u \cdot T$ and $T^{\prime}$ is of degree $k-2$ instead of $k-1$.

- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0}^{\prime} & t_{1}^{\prime} & 1 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & 1\end{array}\right]$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L) \rightarrow$ short vector $r$ linear combination of $L$ rows

$$
r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{3} x^{3},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 4}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

## Our solution: quadratic subfield simplification

## Lemma

Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, $k$ even. We can always find $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, such that $T^{\prime}=u \cdot T$ and $T^{\prime}$ is of degree $k-2$ instead of $k-1$.

- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0}^{\prime} & t_{1}^{\prime} & 1 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & 1\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \rho(p)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}} \\ & \\ & T^{\prime} \\ & T\end{aligned}$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L) \rightarrow$ short vector $r$ linear combination of $L$ rows
$r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{3} x^{3},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 4}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)$
- $\rho(r)=\lambda_{2} T^{\prime}+\lambda_{3} T=\underbrace{\left(\lambda_{2} u+\lambda_{3}\right)} T$
$\in$ subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$


## Our solution: quadratic subfield simplification

## Lemma

Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, $k$ even. We can always find $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$, such that $T^{\prime}=u \cdot T$ and $T^{\prime}$ is of degree $k-2$ instead of $k-1$.

- define $L=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ t_{0}^{\prime} & t_{1}^{\prime} & 1 & 0 \\ t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & 1\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \rho(p)=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}} \\ & \\ & T^{\prime} \\ & T\end{aligned}$
- $\operatorname{LLL}(L) \rightarrow$ short vector $r$ linear combination of $L$ rows

$$
r=r_{0}+\ldots+r_{3} x^{3},\left\|r_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \operatorname{det}(L)^{1 / 4}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

- $\rho(r)=\lambda_{2} T^{\prime}+\lambda_{3} T=\underbrace{\left(\lambda_{2} u+\lambda_{3}\right)} T$ $\in$ subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k / 2}}$
- $\log \rho(r)=\log (T)(\bmod \ell)$
$\operatorname{Norm}_{f}(r)=\|r\|_{\infty}^{4}\|f\|_{\infty}^{3}=p^{7 / 2}=Q^{7 / 8}<Q$


## Summary of results

| $\mathbb{G}_{T} \subset$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norm bound |  |  |  |  |
| prev. | $Q$ [JLSV06] |  | $Q^{3 / 2}$ (nothing) |  |
| this work | $Q^{1 / 2}$ | $Q^{2 / 3}$ | $Q^{7 / 8}$ | $Q^{11 / 12}$ |
| Booting step running time in $L_{Q}[1 / 3, c]$ |  |  |  |  |
| prev. c (*) | 1.44 |  | 1.65 |  |
| new $c$ | 1.14 | 1.26 | 1.38 | 1.40** |
| numerical values for a 512-bit $Q$ |  |  |  |  |
| prev. nb of operations | $2^{48}$ |  | $2^{55}$ |  |
| new nb of operations | $2^{38}$ | $2^{42}$ | $2^{46}$ | $2^{47}$ |
| $q_{i}$ bound $B_{1}=L_{Q}\left[2 / 3, c^{\prime}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |
| previous $B_{1}$ | $2^{90}$ |  | $2^{118}$ |  |
| new $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $2^{57}$ | $2^{69}$ | $2^{83}$ | $2^{85}$ |

* [CommeineSemaev06, JouxLercierNaccacheThomé09, Barbulescu13, Bar.Pierrot14]
** with cubic subfield simplification


## Summary of results

- Accepted paper at Asiacrypt 2015, Auckland, New Zealand
- online version HAL 01157378
- guillevic@lix.polytechnique.fr


## DL record computation in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4}}$ of 392 bits (120dd)

Joint work with R. Barbulescu, P. Gaudry, F. Morain

$$
\begin{aligned}
p= & 314159265358979323846270891033 \text { of } 98 \text { bits }(30 \mathrm{dd}) \\
\ell= & 9869604401089358618834902718477057428144064232778775980709 \text { of } 192 \text { bits } \\
f= & x^{4}-560499121640472 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+560499121640472 x+1 \\
g= & 560499121639105 x^{4}+4898685125033473 x^{3}-3362994729834630 x^{2} \\
& -4898685125033473 x+560499121639105 \\
\varphi= & g \\
G= & x+3 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{4}} \\
T_{0}= & 31415926535897 x^{3}+93238462643383 x^{2}+27950288419716 x+93993751058209 \\
& \log _{G}\left(\mathbf{T}_{0}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

136439472586839838529440907219583201821950591984194257022
$(\bmod \ell)$

