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## An Obvious Counterpoint

In contrast to the experimental sciences, in mathematics one can irrefutably prove things!
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## The GGMZ approach

Let the target field be $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ with $k \geq 1$ small and fixed and $n=O(q)$.

- Assume there exists $h_{1}, h_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[X]$ of low degree $d_{h}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}\left(X^{q}\right) X-h_{0}\left(X^{q}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod f) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is irreducible and of degree $n$

- Let $x$ be a root of $f$ so that $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}(x)$ and let $y=x^{q}$. Then by (1) we have $x=h_{0}(y) / h_{1}(y)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}(y)$
- Factor base is $\left\{x+d: d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right\}$ (observe $\left.(y+d)=\left(x+d^{1 / q}\right)^{q}\right)$
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## A Basic Identity

For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ we have the following equality in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ :

$$
x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c=\frac{1}{h_{1}(y)}\left(y h_{0}(y)+a y h_{1}(y)+b h_{0}(y)+c h_{1}(y)\right)
$$
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- If both sides split over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ then we have a relation


## Bluher polynomials

Let $k \geq 3$ and consider the polynomial $X^{q+1}+a X^{q}+b X+c$.
If $a b \neq c$ and $a^{q} \neq b$, this may be transformed into

$$
F_{B}(\bar{X})=\bar{X}^{q+1}+B \bar{X}+B, \quad \text { with } \quad B=\frac{\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1}}{(c-a b)^{q}}
$$

via $X=\frac{c-a b}{b-a^{q}} \bar{X}-a$.

## Theorem (Bluher '02)

The number of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$s.t. the polynomial $F_{B}(\bar{X}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[\bar{X}]$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ equals

$$
\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is odd }, \quad \frac{q^{k-1}-q}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is even } .
$$

## Degree 1 relation generation: $k \geq 3$

- Compute $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1}+B X+B\right.$ splits over $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right\}$
- Since $B=\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1} /(c-a b)^{q}$, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ s.t. $b \neq a^{q}$, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ s.t. $x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$
- For each such $(a, b, c)$, test if $y h_{0}(y)+a y h_{1}(y)+b h_{0}(y)+c h_{1}(y)$ splits; if so then have a relation
- If $q^{3 k-3}>q^{k}\left(d_{h}+1\right)$ ! then for $d_{h} \geq 1$ constant we expect to compute logs of degree 1 elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ in time

$$
O\left(q^{2 k+1}\right)
$$
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For the base field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, relevant set of triples is

$$
\left\{\left(a, a^{q}, c\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \text { and } c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, c \neq a^{q+1}\right\} .
$$

## On the fly degree 2 elimination

For $Q(x)=x^{2}+q_{1} x+q_{0}$ let $\bar{Q}(y)=Q(x)^{q}=y^{2}+q_{1}^{q} y+q_{0}^{q} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ be an element to be eliminated, i.e., written as a product of linear elements.

- For any univariate polynomials $w_{0}, w_{1}$ we have

$$
w_{0}\left(x^{q}\right) x+w_{1}\left(x^{q}\right)=\frac{1}{h_{1}(y)}\left(w_{0}(y) h_{0}(y)+w_{1}(y) h_{1}(y)\right)
$$

- Compute a reduced basis of the lattice
$L_{\bar{Q}}=\left\{\left(w_{0}(Y), w_{1}(Y)\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[Y]^{2}: w_{0}(Y) h_{0}(Y)+w_{1}(Y) h_{1}(Y) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod \bar{Q}(Y))\right\}$
- In general we have $\left(u_{0}, Y+u_{1}\right),\left(Y+v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$, with $u_{i}, v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$, and for $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ we have $\left(Y+v_{0}+s u_{0}, s Y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right) \in L_{\bar{Q}}$
- r.h.s. $\left(y+v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) h_{0}(y)+\left(s y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right) h_{1}(y)$ has degree $d_{h}+1$, so cofactor splits with probability $\approx 1 /\left(d_{h}-1\right)$ !
- I.h.s. is $\left(x^{q}+v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) x+\left(s x^{q}+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right)$ which is of the form

$$
x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c
$$

## On the fly degree 2 elimination

Consider the I.h.s. $x^{q+1}+s x^{q}+\left(v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) x+\left(v_{1}+s u_{1}\right)$.

- Recall $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1}+B X+B\right.$ splits over $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right\}$
- For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we try to solve $B=\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1} /(c-a b)^{q}$ for $s$, i.e., find $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ that satisfies

$$
B=\frac{\left(-s^{q}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{q+1}}{\left(-u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}-v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{q}}
$$

by taking GCD with $s^{q^{k}}-s$ : Cost is $O\left(q^{2} \log q^{k}\right) \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$-ops

- Expected probability of success is $\approx 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(d_{h}-1\right)!}\right)^{q^{k-3}}$
- Hence need $q^{k-3}>\left(d_{h}-1\right)$ ! to eliminate $\bar{Q}(y)$ with good probability: Expected cost is

$$
O\left(q^{2}\left(d_{h}-1\right)!\log q^{k}\right) \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}} \text {-ops }
$$

## Alternative solution finding

We need to compute $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ that satisfy the equation:

$$
B=\frac{\left(-s^{q}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{q+1}}{\left(-u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}-v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{q}}
$$

- Use an explicit $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ basis $\left\{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{k-1}\right\}$, and introduce $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variables $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k-1}$ s.t. $s=s_{0}+s_{1} \alpha+\cdots+s_{k-1} \alpha^{k-1}$
- Gives a quadratic system, solvable in $O\left(\left(k\binom{2 k}{k+1}\right)^{\omega}\right) \mathbb{F}_{q}$-ops
- For fixed $k, d_{h}$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$ this method has cost $O(1) \mathbb{F}_{q}$-ops, i.e., it has polylogarithmic complexity
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## Computing DLPs in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4404}}$

On 30/1/14 we (GKZ) announced the solution of a DLP in the Jacobian of $H_{0} / \mathbb{F}_{2}: Y^{2}+Y=X^{5}+X^{3}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}$, which has a subgroup of prime order $r=\left(2^{734}+2^{551}+2^{367}+2^{184}+1\right) /(13 \cdot 7170258097)$ and embedding degree 12 .

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[U] /\left(U^{12}+U^{3}+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(u)$
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] /(I(X))=\mathbb{F}_{2}(x)$ where $I(X)$ the irreducible degree 367 divisor of $h_{1}\left(X^{64}\right) X-h_{0}\left(X^{64}\right)$, with

$$
h_{1}=X^{5}+X^{3}+X+1, h_{0}=X^{6}+X^{4}+X^{2}+X+1
$$

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12.367}}$ is then the compositum of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}$

For small degree elimination, represent $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ with $q=2^{6}, k=2$ :

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[U] /\left(T^{6}+T+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(t)$
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}[V] /\left(V^{2}+t V+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}(v)$


## Factor base logs and initial descent

To have enough relations for degree one elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4404}} / \mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ we would need $q^{2 k-3}>(6+1)$ !. So we used relations in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8808}} / \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$ :

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}[W] /\left(W^{4}+W^{3}+W^{2}+t^{3}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}(w)$
$\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}} / \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ acts on the degree 1 factor base $\left\{x+a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}\right\}$ :

$$
(x+a)^{2^{367}}=x+a^{2^{367}}=x+a^{2^{7}}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ factor base has 699,252 elements and linear system was solved in 4896 core hours on a 24 core cluster.
Initial descent: We performed a continued fraction initial split, then degree-balanced classical descent to degrees $\leq 8$ in 38224 core hours.

## Eliminating small degree elements over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$

We used Joux's small degree elimination, our degree 2 elimination and one other idea.

Joux's method: For $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}[X]$ of degree $D>2$ let $F, G$ have degree $<D$. Consider

$$
G(X) \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}(F(X)-\alpha G(X))=F(X)^{q} G(X)-F(X) G(X)^{q}
$$

- $F^{(q)}(y), G\left(\left(h_{0} / h_{1}\right)(y)\right), F\left(\left(h_{0} / h_{1}\right)(y)\right), G^{(q)}(y)$ have small degree
- Insisting r.h.s. $\equiv 0(\bmod \bar{Q}(y))$ results in bilinear quadratic system
- For solutions check if the cofactor is $(D-1)$-smooth
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## Degree 2 elimination over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$

Let $\bar{Q}(y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24.367}}$ be an element to be eliminated.

- As before we have $y=x^{64}$ and $x=h_{0}(y) / h_{1}(y)$, and for any univariate polynomials $w_{0}, w_{1}$ we have

$$
w_{0}\left(x^{q}\right) x+w_{1}\left(x^{q}\right)=\frac{1}{h_{1}(y)}\left(w_{0}(y) h_{0}(y)+w_{1}(y) h_{1}(y)\right)
$$

- A reduced basis for the lattice $L_{\bar{Q}}$ is $\left(u_{0}, Y+u_{1}\right),\left(Y+v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$, with $u_{i}, v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$. For $s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}},\left(Y+v_{0}+s u_{0}, s Y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right) \in L_{\bar{Q}}$
- r.h.s. $\frac{1}{h_{1}(y)}\left(\left(y+v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) h_{0}(y)+\left(s y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right) h_{1}(y)\right)$ has degree $d_{h}+1=7$, so cofactor splits with probability $\approx 1 / 5$ !
- I.h.s. is $x^{q+1}+s x^{q}+\left(u_{00}+s v_{00}\right) x+\left(u_{10}+s v_{10}\right)$, which splits if

$$
B=\frac{\left(s^{64}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{65}}{\left(u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}+v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{64}}
$$

- Probability of success is $\approx 1-(1-1 / 5!)^{64} \approx 0.415$, but amplified to near certainty using recursive techniques


## New 'traps' in the descent

During the descent, we encountered several polynomials $\bar{Q}(Y)$ that were not eliminable via Joux's method.

- All were factors of $h_{1}(Y) \cdot c+h_{0}(Y)$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}$ and hence $h_{0}(Y) / h_{1}(Y) \equiv c(\bmod \bar{Q}(Y))$
- $\Longrightarrow$ r.h.s. equals $F^{(q)}(Y) G(c)+F(c) G^{(q)}(Y)(\bmod \bar{Q}(Y))$
- This can't be zero $\bmod \bar{Q}(Y)$ if the degrees of $F$ and $G$ are smaller than the degree of $\bar{Q}$, unless $F$ and $G$ are both constants
- However, writing $h_{1}(Y) \cdot c+h_{0}(Y)=\bar{Q}(Y) \cdot R(Y)$ we have $\bar{Q}(Y)=h_{1}(Y) \cdot\left(\left(h_{0} / h_{1}\right)(Y)+c\right) / R(Y)=h_{1}(Y) \cdot(X+c) / R(Y)$
- Hence $\log (\bar{Q}(y)) \equiv \log (x+c)-\log (R(y))$, since $\log \left(h_{1}(y)\right) \equiv 0$
- In all the cases we encountered, the $\log$ of $R(y)$ was solvable
- Note that these traps are different to those identified by Cheng, Wan and Zhuang, which are factors of $h_{1}\left(X^{q}\right) X-h_{0}\left(X^{q}\right)$ (or of $h_{1}(X) X^{q}-h_{0}(X)$ if using Joux's representation)
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## The GKZ QPA



- For an arbitrary element $h$ we compute random $h^{\prime}=h+r \cdot /$ s.t. $\operatorname{deg} h^{\prime}=2^{e}>4 n$ and $h^{\prime}$ is irreducible (Wan '97), then descend.


## The GKZ QPA



- For an arbitrary element $h$ we compute random $h^{\prime}=h+r \cdot l$ s.t. $\operatorname{deg} h^{\prime}=2^{e}>4 n$ and $h^{\prime}$ is irreducible (Wan '97), then descend.
- Complexity is tree arity to the power depth $=q^{\log _{2} n+o(\log q)}$


## Eliminating smoothness heuristics

- If $d_{h} \leq 2$, then r.h.s. cofactor of $\bar{Q}(y)$ is at most linear $\Longrightarrow$ no smoothness heuristics needed for the descent
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## Eliminating smoothness heuristics

- If $d_{h} \leq 2$, then r.h.s. cofactor of $\bar{Q}(y)$ is at most linear $\Longrightarrow$ no smoothness heuristics needed for the descent
- Using a technique due to Enge-Gaudry, one can obviate the need to compute the factor base logs by performing a descent of $g^{\alpha_{i}} h^{\beta_{i}}$ for base $g$, target $h$ and random $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$, more than $q^{k}$ times

Hence no smoothness heuristics are needed!

## Ensuring the elimination step works

To eliminate a degree 2 element $\bar{Q}(y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}}$, we need to find a Bluher value $B$ and an $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}}$ that satisfy

$$
B=\frac{\left(-s^{q}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{q+1}}{\left(-u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}-v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{q}}
$$

## Theorem (Helleseth-Kholosha '10)

For $k d \geq 3$ the set of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}}^{\times}$s.t. $X^{q+1}+B X+B$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}}$ is the image of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ under the map

$$
u \mapsto \frac{\left(u-u^{q^{2}}\right)^{q+1}}{\left(u-u^{q}\right)^{q^{2}+1}}
$$

Thus need lower bound for $\#\left\{(s, u) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}} \times\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k d}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)\right\}$ on the curve $\left(u-u^{q^{2}}\right)^{q+1}\left(-u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}-v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{q}-\left(u-u^{q}\right)^{q^{2}+1}\left(-s^{q}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{q+1}=0$

## Main results

## Theorem

Given a prime power $q>61$ that is not a power of 4, an integer $k \geq 18$, coprime polynomials $h_{0}, h_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[X]$ of degree at most two and an irreducible degree I factor I of $h_{1} X^{q}-h_{0}$, the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k \mid}}^{\times}$where $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k l}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[X] /(I)$ can be solved in expected time
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## Theorem

For every prime $p$ there exist infinitely many explicit extension fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ for which the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{\times}$can be solved in expected quasi-polynomial time

$$
\exp \left((1 / \log 2+o(1))(\log n)^{2}\right)
$$

## The GKZ QPA

'On the discrete logarithm problem in finite fields of fixed characteristic' (previously 'On the Powers of 2')
arxiv:1507.01495

G., Thorsten Kleinjung \& Jens Zumbrägel

## (actual) Concluding remarks

- Implementing examples can be very informative
- Degree 2 elimination seems to be fundamental, sometimes complex, and theoretically very interesting (see Thorsten's talk next)
- Proving observations can be hard but worthwhile, especially due to presence of 'unknown unknowns'
- Some basic unanswered questions:
- Can one remove the field heuristic?
- Do faster algorithms exist for small characteristic?
- Do faster algorithms exist for large(r) characteristic?


## A comparison between the QPAs

|  | BGJT | GKZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field rep. | Heuristic | Heuristic |
| Elimination step | Heuristic $(\times 2)$ | Proven |
| Tree arity | $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ | $q$ |
| Complexity | $q^{(\log n / \log \log q)}$ | $q^{\log _{2} n+o(\log q)}$ |
| Practicality | Not yet | Yes, in $\mathbb{F}_{3^{2395}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1279}}$ |

