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The discrete logarithm problem (DLP) in $\mathbb{H}$ consists in:

- Given: $h \in \mathbb{H}$,
- Find: $0 \leq i<N$, such that $h=g^{i}$.

Notation: $i$ is the discrete logarithm of $h$ in base $g$, denoted $\log _{g} h$.
For the general case where we don't know very specific structures on $\mathbb{H}$, this problem is believed to be hard (exponential run time in the size of $N$ ).
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- Immediate property: for any two integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$,

$$
\hat{e}\left(k_{1} Q, k_{2} R\right)=\hat{e}(Q, R)^{k_{1} k_{2}} .
$$
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A Type 1 paring means that we have

- $\mathbb{G}$ is a subgroup of prime order $r$ of either
- $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the group of rational points of an elliptic curve $E$; or
- $\operatorname{Jac} C_{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the jacobian of a genus-2 hyperelliptic curve $C$.
- $\mathbb{G}_{T}$ is the subgroup of order $r$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}{ }^{k}$
- $k$ is the embedding degree of $\mathbb{G}$, that is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that $r \mid\left(q^{k}-1\right)$.
- Used pairing maps:
- Weil pairings.
- Tate pairings and modifications (Eta, Ate ...).
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## Main Type 1 pairings

Most interesting small characteristic Type 1 pairings:

- The $k=4$ pairings derived from supersingular elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{2 n}$ :
- $Y^{2}+Y=X^{3}+X$; and
- $Y^{2}+Y=X^{3}+X+1$.
- The $k=6$ pairings derived from supersingular elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{3 n}$ :
- $Y^{2}=X^{3}-X+1$; and
- $Y^{2}=X^{3}-X-1$.
- The $k=12$ pairing derived from supersingular gen.-2 curves over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ :
- $Y^{2}+Y=X^{5}+X^{3}$; and
- $Y^{2}+Y=X^{5}+X^{3}+1$.


## Example of protocols

- Identity-based non-interactive key exchange
- Sakai-Oghishi-Kasahara, 2000.
- One-round three-party key agreement
- Joux, 2000.
- Identity-based encryption
- Boneh-Franklin, 2001.
- Sakai-Kasahara, 2001.
- Short digital signatures
- Boneh-Lynn-Shacham, 2001.
- Zang-Safavi-Naini-Susilo, 2004.
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The elliptic (hyperelliptic) curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) is believed to be hard in genus-1 and 2 curves (exponential complexity).

Reduction attack on supersingular elliptic curves:

- Menezes-Okamoto-Vanstone (1993), Frey-Rück (1994)

| $\mathrm{DLP}_{\mathbb{G}}$ | $<\mathrm{P}$ | $\operatorname{DLP}_{\mathbb{G}_{T}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d P$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $\hat{e}(d P, P)=\hat{e}(P, P)^{d}$. |

- For cryptographic applications on pairings over supersingular curves:
- The embedding degree is relatively small.
- Require the DLP in $\mathbb{G}_{T}$ to be hard.
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Table: Believed security for supersingular curves till 2012

| Base field $(\mathbb{F} q)$ | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Embedding degree $(k)$ | 4 | 6 | 12 |
| Lower security $\left(\approx 2^{64}\right)$ | $n=239$ | $n=97$ | $n=71$ |
| Medium security $\left(\approx 2^{80}\right)$ | $n=373$ | $n=163$ | $n=127$ |
| Higher security $\left(\approx 2^{128}\right)$ | $n=1223$ | $n=509$ | $n=367$ |
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Later in 2012, Joux introduced a "pinpointing" technique that improved the Joux-Lercier algorithm to $L_{Q}\left[\frac{1}{3}, 0.961\right]$.
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## Subsequent records

- Apr 2013 - Göloğlu et al. solve DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}^{*}=\mathbb{F}_{\left(2^{8}\right){ }^{3.255}}^{*}$ in 750 CPU hours.
- May 2013 - Joux solves DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6168}}^{*}=\mathbb{F}_{\left(2^{8}\right)^{3.257}}^{*}$ in 550 CPU hours.

Kummer/twisted Kummer extensions: $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with $n \mid q \mp 1$.
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Select polynomials $h_{0}, h_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}[X]$ such that

- degree of $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ is at most $\delta$, a small positive integer.
- $X^{q} \cdot h_{1}-h_{0}$ has a degree-n irreducible factor $I_{X}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}[X]$.

Then $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d n}}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}(x)=\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}[X] /\left(I_{X}\right)$ and $x^{q}=\frac{h_{0}(x)}{h_{1}(x)}$.
Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d n}}^{*}$ be a generator, and let $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{d n}}^{*}$.
Compute $\log _{g} h$ :

- Factor base computation: find logarithms of all degree-1 elements (and degree-2 if $d=2$ ) in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d n}}$ in polynomial time.
- Descent stage: $\log _{g} h$ is expressed as a linear combination of logs of elements in the factor base using classical methods and a new descent method (based on solving multivariate bilinear equations).
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- quasi-polynomial time algorithm (QPA):

$$
(\log Q)^{O(\log \log Q)} \approx\left(L_{Q}[-1, c]\right)^{(\log Q)} .
$$

- asymptotically smaller than $L_{Q}[\alpha, c]$, for any $\alpha>0$ and $c>0$.
- same setup as in Joux's algorithm:
- Factor base computation: find logarithms of linears in polynomial time.
- Descent stage: $\log _{g} h$ is expressed as a linear combination of logs of elements in the factor base using a descent strategy quite similar to Joux's method for computing logarithms of degree-2 elements.
[We have another QPA by Granger-Kleinjung-Zumbrägel from April 2014.]
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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We also analyzed the cryptographic DLP in the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12 \cdot 367}}$ and found the new algorithms more effective (much more parallelizable) than the Joux 2012 algorithm:

| DLP algorithm | Coppersmith04 | Joux12 | Joux13-QPA13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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- Main issue: only $50 \%$ of the degree-2 polynomial descended. Fix: adapt an idea of Coppersmith and employ a Joux and Göloğlu et al. strategy to get $97 \%$ of the quadratics descending while avoiding the remainder in the descent phase.
- Run time: 888 CPU hours. [previous record: 896313 CPU hours]
- First computations of discrete logarithms in a cryptographic finite field using the new algorithms.
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Solving DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ when $q \approx \delta \cdot n$ for some small integer $\delta$

- The descent phase works exactly as in [GKZ14a].
- Compute the logarithms of degree-1 and degree-2 by solving one linear algebra in time $O\left(q^{5}\right)$.
- Compute the logarithms of degree-3 elements solving $q$ linear algebras in time $O\left(q^{6}\right)$.
- Compute the logarithms of elements in a degree-4 family solving $q$ linear algebras in time $O\left(q^{6}\right)$ and the logarithms of some other degree-4 families of smaller size.
- Discrete logarithm computation in the cryptographic subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{3 \text { 3-479 }}$ in time 8,600 CPU hours.
- Current record in characteristic three.
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- Computation of logarithms of degree-1, 2, 3 elements: already done 378163 CPU hours in a cluster of 5096 cores (Abacus Cinvestav).
- Descent of a challenge element 508-to-15: already done 619413 CPU hours using about 300 cores.
- Main issue: management of small degree elements during the descent (billions of nodes expected).
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